

Robertson, Jean

From: Duane Grant [grant@pmt.org]
Sent: Thursday, February 28, 2008 9:38 AM
To: Robertson, Jean
Subject: RE: Water Transfer #74429 - Harman Land Ranch etc.

Jean,

Thanks for the reminder. I have been working with the IYR to get their signature, and we are making progress. They are completely OK to do the transfer and create the PPU, but want some kind of assurance that they would be able to extract their water from the PPU in the unlikely event that our relationship with them ends. Our lease agreement provides for the extraction of the IYR rights from the PPU in such an event. However, extracting the IYR rights from the PPU would be subject to approval from IDWR, and could theoretically be denied even if the IYR, Harmans, and ourselves were in full agreement. Is there any way that IDWR could give approval in advance, effectively assuring the IYR that their rights could be extracted from the PPU in the future?

As far as ownership change question below, the ownership would stay the same as is currently recorded.

I could come to TF tomorrow and meet with you if you would like, just let me know.

Duane Grant
707E 600N
Rupert, Idaho 83350
Office 208-531-5149
Cell 208-431-0006

From: Robertson, Jean [mailto:Jean.Robertson@idwr.idaho.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 28, 2008 8:15 AM
To: grant@pmt.org
Subject: FW: Water Transfer #74429 - Harman Land Ranch etc.

Duane,
I'm still waiting to hear from you regarding this transfer. **Still need the signature page from the Idaho Youth Ranch too.** Please give me a call soon so we can set up an appointment to get the questions answered and this transfer processed. I have done quite a bit of work on it but can't go any further until I hear from you. Thanks, Jeanie 736-3033

From: Robertson, Jean
Sent: Friday, January 18, 2008 10:41 AM
To: 'Duane Grant'
Subject: RE: Water Transfer #74429 - Harman Land Ranch etc.

Hi again,
I have gone over the deeds and it looks like everything is covered except for the part still owned by the Idaho Youth Ranch. (We still need the signature page from them for the part of the property in the transfer that still belongs to IYR.) That reminds me, some of our water rights are in the name of the Land Ranch and some in the names of the individual couples. Will this transfer change any ownership of the rights or will they stay in the ownership as they are and simply be put onto the large PPU? (I'm thinking the ownership of the rights will remain as is, but I wanted to clarify that point with you.) I also discovered that three of the wells are in slightly different legal descriptions than currently described so when you come in to initial the changes in the place of use, perhaps you can initial those changes also. Give me a call before you come so I can be sure to be available. BTW, keep in mind that we are off next Monday, holiday you know! Yeah! Talk to you soon. Jeanie

-----Original Message-----

From: Duane Grant [mailto:grant@pmt.org]
Sent: Tuesday, January 08, 2008 4:23 PM
To: Robertson, Jean

2/28/2008

Subject: RE: Water Transfer #74429 - Harman Land Ranch etc.

Jean,

It's good to see that someone has been assigned to working on this already! I look forward to meeting you.

I have reviewed the changes you noted, reviewed the map, and agree with the changes you have proposed. I will stop by your office either tomorrow or Friday to initial the changes.

Please let me know if you have other questions.

Duane Grant
707E 600N
Rupert, Idaho 83350
Office 208-531-5149
Cell 208-431-0006

From: Robertson, Jean [mailto:Jean.Robertson@idwr.idaho.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, January 08, 2008 2:41 PM

To: grant@pmt.org

Subject: Water Transfer #74429 - Harman Land Ranch etc.

Duane,

I have been assigned to work on this transfer which you previously worked on with Doug Jones. I'm having a little trouble coming up with the acres you want in some of the quarter-quarters. The problems are in Sections 25 and 36, along the railroad right-of-way. You have indicated that you have 18 acres in the SWSW, 32 acres in the SESW, and 37 acres in the SWSE - all in Section 25. As you can see on the enclosed aerial photo, I have drawn my lines as close to the edge of the irrigated property as possible, without going into the railroad right-of-way, and I came up with 14 ac, 26 ac, and 38 ac respectively. Then in Section 36, I came up with different amounts - 11 ac instead of 9 and 0.9 ac instead of 1. Also, they are marked in the box as NESE and NWSE of Section 36, but should actually be in the NENE and NWNE of Section 36. This would change the total acres in the large Permissible Place of Use of the transfer from 4486 to 4478.9. There would still be a combined acre limit of 4406 acres within the PPU of 4478.9 acres. If you could stop by the office one of these days when the weather is better and you are in town, we could go over this and perhaps you can initial the changes if you agree. Please call first to make sure I am available. Thanks, Jeanie Robertson

<<20080108144024470.pdf>>

25

14 ac

26 ac

38 ac

.9 ac

11 ac

36