

TESTIMONY LOG

IN THE MATTER OF APPLICATION)
 FOR PERMIT NO. 63-32573 IN THE)
 NAME OF M3 EAGLE LLC)
 _____)

April 15-16, 22-24, & May 4-5, 2009
 Beginning at 9:00 a.m. (MDT)
 Hearing Officer: Gary Spackman

DIGITAL AND TAPE INFORMATION:

DIGITAL TRACK	DIGITAL LOCATION	TAPE NO. & TIME STAMP	TAPE LOCATION	TESTIMONY DESCRIPTION
NO.	HRS-MIN-SEC	TO	FROM	
007	00 35 30	0006	0006	Begin Hearing
007	00 06 20	1520	186	Yesterday Opening
	00 50 17	1520		Yesterday end opening
	00 51 29	1876	1558	Mr. Thornton Opening
		1876		Mr. Spackman - objection ruling
	01 02 14		1900	Mr. Thornton - continue opening
	01 02 41	1915		Mr. Thornton - end opening
				Break
	01 02 55	3398	1925	William Brownlee testimony
				Lunch
008	00 00 02		3398	Brownlee continued
	00 53 13	5463		Brownlee - end of testimony
	00 53 30		5470	Thornton - cross of Brownlee
	01 34 16	7350		Thornton - end of cross
	01 34 16		7350	Smith - cross of Brownlee
	01 55 26	8486		end of tape
	01 55 26		0023	Smith cross - continued
		548		end of day
End of Testimony on 4/15/09				

4/16/09 Testimony LOG ^{M3EUC09C} Page 1 of

DIGITAL TRACK #	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		TAPE #	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO		FROM	TO	
5	0:10	0:40	25	0:25		^{CROSS} Brownlee - examine Mr. Smith
	10:10	0:50	8:50	10:8:40		objections to questions re location effect on surrounding wells.
	10:32	11:00	11:00			Ward S. asks Smith to defer questioning
	21:21		12:22			piece bunch sand Aquifer
	25:09		15:28			
	25:10		15:42			Friday - redirect of Brownlee
	27:21		16:-/22:			EXH 57
	36:-		22:40			Friday - end of redirect
	37:50		22:00			Thornton - re cross of Brownlee
	49:35-53:44		30:42-34:50			EXH 55 - letter to Dave T
	53:-		35			re-cross resumes
	57:10		36:41			Smith - re cross of Brownlee
	1:06:-		43:57			EXH 58 -
	1:11:25		47:28			Ward's questions
						Flack property .. Beacon licent surrounding property - use
1:13:45		49:56			EXH 53 - affidavit fabric	
					City of Ecate -	
1:16:54		52:36 -			p. 24 - future dev of Flack #	
		54:30			city willing to acquire water rts - potential conflicts	
1:19:50		54:07			irr of golf courses - effluent # groundwater would be used .. low 1st, then efflu	
1:21:15		55:05			Friday - re direct EXH 55	
1:22:00		56:40			EXH 56 p. 2 re phasing	
					EXH 55 & 56 offered into evidence	
1:24		58:40			Thornton - re cross	
					importance of monitoring	
1:30:-		63:40			EXH 58, p 24	
1:31:10		64:56			Smith - re cross	

4/16/09 Testimony LCB - M3 Eagle

DIGITAL TRACK #	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		TAPE #	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO		FROM	TO	
5	1:36:-		69:-	69:03		Colin S. explains his questions water rts & interaction w/ water rts w/ water municipal act.
						End of Browne testimony
	1:35		70:20			Church testimony - economist
						Fereday direct
	1:38:		72:10			EXH 40
	1:42		76:			present economy
	1:45		79:41			economic trends
	1:48		81:45			Pinnacle West - Sunco - Avinion
	1:48:50		82:55			growth trends N. Ada CO.
	1:51:30		85:05			growth in Eagle
	1:52:40		86:05			N Ada CO. highway projects
	Tape #		2			new tape change.
						Church Testimony (cont)
	1:54		:20			Highway projects - beltway
	1:58:50		2:00			Eagle in next 30 years.
	2:		3:50			EXH 40 - table 2
	2:03		5:10			current forecast
						EXH 5A, 61
	2:03:50		5:			EXH 5A - Housing Ada CO.
	2:06:20		6:00			M3 Eagle - 20 yr. build out
	2:07:		7:			EXH 61 - past employment trends
	2:21:20 ²⁰		16:40	16:00		30 year planning horizon
	2:22:45		17:12	19:00		EXH 5A 60 - 30 year
	2:26:40		19:10	20:51		EXH 40
	2:28:		20:00	21:00		Water Demand Projections
	2:30		22:40			30 year M3 Eagle planning

4/16/09 Testimony LOG - M3 Eagle

DIGITAL TRACK #	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		From TAPE #	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO	↓ #	FROM	TO	
5	2:31:30		23:01	24:00		Exh 62 - water volumes
	2:34:25		25:20	25:50		current economic downturn
	2:30:14		26:38			Friday - End of Direct Lunch Break
	2:38:45		27:-	40:09		Thornton - cross of Dr. Church
	2:55:10		41:-	45:00		Smith - cross of Dr. Church
						Friday - re direct - none
				46:30		End of Dr. Church testimony
	3:01:50			46:50		Witness Given Hdt
	3:04:			49:10		Exh 32L - resume
	3:05:50			50:20		scope of work we did for M3
	3:07:30		51:00			Exh 42 - Amd App. spreadsheet Tab A5-1
	3:15:		59:10	60:65		Reference sources
	3:16		61	61:50		Washington
	3:17		61:10			Exh 32L - Engineering Report
			32		*	* TAPE 3 *
	3:20		:35			ET data - references
	3:22		3:60			volume
	3:27:50		4:-			other reference data & how used
	3:28:		4:60	6:54		Exh 32L - Slide 2 - Summary of M3 water uses at full buildout
	3:32:20		8:60			Exh 42 - A5 Spreadsheet - p. 3
	3:35:10		8:40			Average Daily Well Diversions - ROWS 149-151 -- 149 - irr season
						ROW 151 - non irr season
	3:37:		9:45			ROW 197 - total Annual well Div. Vol.
	3:38		10:30			ROW 164 - max Daily well Div. - irr season
	3:40:45		11:00			Exh 32 - Eng report
	3:41:15		12:10			L slide 1 - pie chart - volume
	3:44		13:68			reuse of indoor potable
	3:45		14:50			Exh 42 tab 5, p 2 - spreadsheet

4/16/09

Testimony Log M3 Eagle

DIGITAL TRACK #	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		TAPE #	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO		FROM	TO	
	3:46		15:05			row 124 - total effluent converted ^{1992AF}
	3:47		15:50			1660 afy - row 132 C - available for irrigation
	3:47:25		15:45	16:50		How reuse water used
	3:51:25		18:49			golf course - 240 acres
	3:53:30		19:20	23:50		Exh 32 L slide 3 - well field div. Effluent Treatment
	3:59					Losses from ponds
	4:-:50		24:10			Storage & how it affects peak hr demands
	4:02:50		24:00			Surface water rts & how used in calculations
	4:05:-		25:40			Exh 32 L - discrepancy in farrow union shares used
	4:06:-		26:40	28:00		shares = 147 acres w/ correction & add shares acquired
	4:07:40		29:30			50 acre discrepancy
	4:10:-		31:10	32:45		margin of error
	4:13		33:-			assumptions w/ system efficiencies to ↓ demand - assumed w/ry efficient
	4:14:30		34:12	36:00		Exh 42 A, P 4 water conservation measures being considered by M3
	4:17:25		36:20	36:20		duffy of water < than standard
	4:18		36:40			standard volume ... M3 < standard
	4:19		37:40	38:		reuse water on golf course & provisions
			38:38			Exh 42A - tab A5, slide 2
	4:21		38:20			Bar Chart Exh 5.2
	4:21:30		39			Amount of Effluent available
						Cumulatively thru each phase
	4:22/4:22:50		39:50	40:05		Phase 1-5 1985 AF
			40:30			consumptive use estimates 538 AF
	4:23		40:50	42:00		Exh 32 tab L, slide 9

DIGITAL TRACK #	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		TAPE # 3	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO		FROM	TO	
				43:-		= afternoon brief
	4:27:-		43:45	44:30		consumptive use = 82% of water diverted - consistent w/ efficiencies of irrigation systems
	4:28:10		44:40	45:05		consumptive uses
	4:30:20		45:-	41:-		reuse - now it contributes to efficiency
	4:30:10		47:20	47:50		m3 demand estimate & how it compares to industry standards & the area
	4:33:-		47:00	48:00		30 year planning horizon & reasonableness
	4:34:		49:-			
	4:35		49:10			End of Direct of same Holt
	4:35:35		49:00	50:05		Thornton - cross of Holt
	4:36:30		50:00	54:06		Smith - cross of Holt
	4:41:40		55:-	56:00		redirect
			56:00			conservation measures & efficiencies no recycles
	4:44:		57:15			carry s. questions:
						reuse available 1660af - 1v 1818 af - difference is pump.
	4:45:47		58:36	59:30		60m winter effluent ponds - 158 af = pump. 1044 from effluent storage ponds > pond has been filled in winter
	4:47:-		59:55	62:25		irr demand & consumptive use calculation - how much irr of each lot varies throughout multiple years
	4:50:-		62:35	63:00		redirect by An. Lawrence
						reuse volume available: 1818af + reuse water available @ full buildout. All

4/16/09 Testimony LOG M3 EABLE

DIGITAL TRACK #	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		TAPE #	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO		FROM	TO	
			From			
	4:52:-	03:00	66:30			3500 ft ² irr area per lot - 42% of lot - conservatively high <i>single family</i>
	4:54:30	66:50	01:40			Smith - re the areas of HOIT
						End of HOIT testimony
	4:56:10	67:50	69:20			Testimony of Scott Wonders
	4:57:30	69:30	70:-			SCOPE OF WORK - 2006 - submittals & engineering, FEMA, water & sewer, road access - ALM
	4:59:-	70:10				verbal resume
	5:03:40	75:30	76:35			Exh 32 k - Summary of Facts & Opinions
	5:05:-	76:45	77:-			Engineering Plans - status
	5:06:-	77:30	79:-			Exh 53 - M3 Master Plan prepared by Stanley - still accurate
	5:09:-	79:50	81:-			Process - Distribution System - Master Plan
	5:09	81:-	85:65			Exh 38 - Potable Water Planning still preliminary
	5:13:-	85:70	end of tape			Phasing
						Change to TAP #4
	5:16:-	:30	1:10			Phasing
	5:18:-	1:00				Slide #11 - Exh - 38 - water Plan Schematic

DIGITAL TRACK #	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		TAPE #	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO		FROM	TO	
5			4			Slide 11 - Exh 38 (cont)
	5:22:-		4:-	4:10		7 wells shown in slide 11 but design can accommodate more
	5:23:-		4:30			1900 - 2100 opm/well - calculated
	5:24:-		5:32	6:30		Exh 39 - prelim sewer plan
	5:26:-		6:40	9:20		DESIGN OF VARIOUS SYSTEMS - FINANCIAL
	5:30:30		9:30	10:30		DEQ
	5:33:25		11:-	12:10		reuse plan
	5:36:10		12:00	13:50		reuse of system separate from IVV
	5:37:-		13:00	14:00		DEQ
	5:38:10		14:10	14:30		City of Edge
	5:39:-		14:40	15:45		Exh 42 - Application - cost est. of M3 at full buildout
	5:41:-		15:55	16:-		System - reasonableness, industry standards
				1		End of DIRECT
	5:42:-		16:20			Thornton - cross of Wonders
						Exh 53
	5:44:-		17:01	21:40		Exh 53
	5:50:-		21:50	25:05		Exh 53 - planning document
	5:55:30		25:10	25:30		Exh 53
	5:56:50		26:20	27:06		DOC - BOY? -
						off record to review document will not offer it.
	5:59:50		28:20			Thornton - end of cross of Wonders

4/16/09 Testimony Log

M3 E003

DIGITAL TRACK #	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		TAPE #	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO		FROM	TO	
	5: -	28:30				SMITH - CROSS OF WONDERS End of SMITH CROSS
	6:01:	29:20				RE DIRECT OF WONDERS
	6:02:15	29:40	30:50			(EXH 42) A - REUSE WATER
	6:03:20	30:00				EXH 53 - VERY PRELIMINARY
	6:05:	31:15	32:40			EXH 58
	6:06	32:55				3M BALLONS - TANKS CAPACITY -
		33:				END OF REDIRECT
	6:07	33:20	34:50			THORNTON - RE CROSS
		34:10				WARY'S QUESTIONS
	6:09:10	34:15	35:00			FIRE FLOWS
	6:11: -	35				EXH 38 - SLIDES
	6:11:30	36:41	37:50			SLIDE 10 - FIRE FLOWS
						6 STORAGE CALCULATION PER PRESSURE ZONES
	6:13:00	37:50	37:40			HAIT TESTIMONY RE FIRE FLOWS
						RE DIRECT - NONE
						RE CROSS - NONE
	6:14:15	38:41				END OF 4/16/09 TESTIMONY

Begin 4-22

M3 Eagle LLC

63-32573

DIGITAL TRACK #	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		TAPE #	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO		FROM	TO	
① 000005	00 00 05		0056	0098		Begin Hearing
000908	00 07 35		0099	0501		Mr. Dittus testimony - Mr. Ferrelly witness
000736	00 09 30		0502	0606		Experience with Eagle area
000931	00 17 00		0607	1089		Exhibit 19 discussion - PGSA*
001701	00 19 28		1090	1245		Aquifer Impact to UW wells
001929	00 23 07		1246	1481		Exhibit 23 Discussion
002308	00 25 34		1482	1643		Exhibit 41 Discussion
002535	00 27 24		1644	1759		Pause for lights - Back to discussion
002725	00 37 42		1760	2449		Exhibit 45 - Figure 15 Discussion
003743	00 39 33		2450	2578		HP Well Discussion - South Ada Co
003934	00 45 44		2579	3009		Exhibit 12 Figure 51 Discussion
004545	00 48 38		3010	3209		North Ada Co Monitoring Discussion
004839	00 52 56		3210	3535		Exhibit 45 Figure 18 Discussion
005257	00 59 14		3536	4008		Exhibit 44 Figure 48 Discussion
005915	01 05 29		4009	4494		Floating Feather well discussion
010530	01 12 40		4495	5073		Exhibit 50 - Model Discussion
011241	01 17 39		5074	5500		Experience filing GW applications
011740	01 20 16		5501	5711		Break - Resume Mr. Dittus Testimony
			5712			Artesian pressure definition
012017	01 22 49		5712	5941		- Cross Examination by Mr. Therten
012250	01 24 29		5942	6104		Question of origin PGSA term
012430	01 31 33		6105	6743		Define PGSA boundaries etc
013134	01 35 12		6744	7095		Knowledge of DOM wells that decline in ^{Traverse Valley}
013513	01 38 40		7096	7435		Exhibit 45 Figure 15
013841	01 44 14		7436	8038		Exhibit 12 Figure 51
014415	01 47 59		8039			Exhibit 50 - Experience Tyce Equation
014800	01 50 38		8440	8731		Characterization of DOM wells + PGSA
015039			8732			End - Begin Cross by Mr. Smith
			end tape (#1)			Surface Water sources for United Water
② 015039	01 55 49	②	0015	0114		Replace Tape - System Capacity (UW)
015550	02 00 19		0115	0246		Questions about Eagle wells
020020	02 05 14		0247	0394		West Boise / South Eagle wells
020515	02 08 13		0395	0474		Questions about flow + recharge + discharge
020814	02 11 49		0475	0589		Concerns with M3 Application

4-22

M3 Eagle LLC

63-32573

DIGITAL TRACK ① #	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		TAPE #	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO		FROM	TO	
			(#2)			(Continued Cross of Mr Dittus by Mr Smith)
021150	021704		0590	0739		Downey Downs Subdivision Wells - others
021705	022104		0740	0869		Brookwood well & effects
022105	022449		0870	0978		Discussion of faults in Eagle area - hydraulic etc
022450	022853		0979	1099		Questions of problems DOM wells in Eagle area
022854			1100	1227		Exhibit 50 Figure 3 Questions
0325737			1228	1241		End - Redirect Mr Ferrelay following Lunch Break
000001	000645		1242	1448		Mr Dittus " " " Questions about wells
000646	000959		1449	1549		Figure 3 Questions exhibits & wells in Eagle area
001000	001159		1550	1613		Exhibit 19B Figure 1 - faults
001200	001259		1614	1649		Re-Cross by Mr Thornton
001300	001502		1650	1711		objection & discussion - scope
001503	002152		1712	1932		continue re-cross Exhibit 50 Appendix A
002153	002544		1933	2055		end - start Recross by Mrs Smith
	002544			2055		Discussion of well problems
002545	002713		2056	2104		Exhibit 19B Figure 1 - faults
002714	002827		2105	2145		Exhibit 50 Figure 3 (pg 22)
002828			2146			End - ^{Begin} Hearing Officer Questions ^{for} Mr Dittus
						PGSA & Treasure Valley Hydrologic project
	003447			2352		Well construction questions
003448	003729		2353	2447		Exhibit 45 Figure 18 questions
003730	003936		2448	2516		Redirect from Mr Ferrelay -
003937	004016		2517	2538		End Mr Dittus Testimony
004017	004308		2539	2635		Start Mr Vincent Testimony
004309	005523		2636	3056		Questions - Knowledge TV area - geology
005524	010356		3057	3356		Discussion experience aquifer tests & PGSA
010357	011639		3357	3819		Memo - Peer Review - faults questions
011640	012129		3820	3989		Staff Memo pg 11 - effects on GW flow
012130	012812		3990	4253		Exhibit 50 pg 9 -
012813	013120		4254	4376		Exhibit 19b Figure 1 -
013121	013959		4377	4699		Break - Start Exhibit Figure 6
014000	014346		4700	4859		Continued discussion related to faults
						Exhibit 67 (Applicant) 68 + 69
014347	014513		4860	4918		Mr Vincent correction of testimony
014514	014957		4919	5108		Exhibit 42 Figure 6 Tab A4

4-22

M3 Eagle LLC

63-32573

DIGITAL TRACK #	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		TAPE #	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO		FROM	TO	
(2) From			(2) From			(Continued Testimony of Mr Vincent)
014958	015529		5109	5344		Questions about M3 testing - 8
015530	020121		5345	5588		Exhibit 12 Figure 81 (pg 220) 82
020122			5589			Pause-Resume Mr Fereday questioning Mr Vincent
020419	020418		5700	5699		Exhibit 12 Figure 80
020419	020829		5700	5849		Questions experience, staff memo
020830	021644		5850	6259		Exhibit 23 Figure 1 - How discussion
021645	022249		6260	6539		Impacts to Aquifer - Feather well Reference to Floating
022250	022632		6540	6711		Exhibit 29 ^{431 Ground} - Water Level Contours
022633	022758		6712	6777		Exhibit 47 Figure 9
022759	023329		6778	7041		Exhibit 140 Figure 1 ^{Water Levels} Eagle + Pearl
023330	024014		7042	7379		Groundwater Chemistry discussion
024015	024644		7380	7699		Transmissivity discussion - recharge
024645	025250		7700	8034		Water Levels in PGSA - McVay
025251	025709		8035	8279		NAC activities (North Ada Co)
025710	025831		8280	8355		CAMP discussion
025832	025		8356	8439		Pause-Resume Concerns with testing
025832	030723		(3) 0017	0225		Replace Tape-Resume Exhibit 12 Figure 88
030724			0226			End Mr Vincent testimony for now
	End		4-22-09	Testimony		
			0227			CROSS EXAMINATIONS BY FEREDAY
			0227	0322		CLARIFICATIONS BY VINCENT
00576			377	0390		RECALL BY THORNTON / AGREEMENT
00	01004		0390	0514		SMITH QUESTIONS VINCENT
10:04	1437		0514	0647		- REF EX #12
1437	1510		0647	0660		- NO FLOW BOUNDARY DISCUSSION
1510	2350		0660	2350		- ANCESTRAL WATER Q&A
2350	2430		0926	0945		- WATER RIGHT APPROVAL QUESTION
2430	2657		0945	1019		REDIRECT BY FEREDAY
2657	2917		1019	1092		WELLS IN PGSA Q&A
2917	3050		1092	1130		MAGNITOMETER EVAL
3050	3215		1130	1207		SEISMIC Q&A
3215	3423		1207	1254		ANCESTRAL WATER REF EX 43
3423	03730		1254	1415		PAUSE-RESUME Q&A ANCESTRAL
3720	3900		1415	1459		GODDARD WELL R

DIGITAL TRACK #	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		TAPE #	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO		FROM	TO	
3900	4130		1459	1555		RECHARGE BOISE R. MIXING
4130	4200		1555	1560		AGE DATING
4200	4220		1540	1546		END QUESTIONS FERRIS
4220	4314		1570	1605		SMITH REVIS & END QUESTIONS
4415	4655		1630	1720		WITNESS SQUIRES MS THESIS
4655	10:47		1720	2364		- EXPERIENCE
10:47	01 0900		2364	2438		- BOREHOLE GEOPHYSIC LOGGING
010900	01 1027		2438	2479		- BOREHOLE VIDEO
011027	01 1147		2479	2527		- DRILLERS LOGS
011147	01 1300		2527	2573		- GEOPHYSICS W/IN CASED WELLS
011300	01 1441		2573	2626		- GEOPHYSICS FOR MS EAGLE
11441	1 1029		2626	2754		REF EX 7
1 1029	1 2930		2754	3130		REF EX 44 FIG 3 & 1
1 2930	1 3444		3130	3320		ALSA DIFFERENT AQUIFER BEETER
1 3444	1 3740		3320	3430		FIG 2 EX 42 TAB A4
1 3740	1 4332		3430	3636		PAUSE RETURN TO EX 42
1 4332	1 4629		3636	3742		EX 44 FIG 3
1 4629	1 4750		3742	3790		FIG 1
1 4750	1 5429		3790	4037		TEST WELLS & FIG 4
1 5429	1 5820		4037	4177		EX 26 X-SECTIONS
1 5820	2 0150		4177	4314		XSECTIONS EX 27 ^{FROM} DRILLERS RTT
2 0150	2 0344		4314	4398		EX 26 AS DRAFT
2 0344	2:10:39		4398	4640		AS FINAL EX 44 FIG 3 & 4
						LUNCH BREAK
0	925		4640	5029		CONT EX 26 & 27 WITNESS SQUIRES
925	10:44		5029	5081		FIG 3 OF EX 44
1044	1734		5081	5370		SUNLORD PROP. ^{UNDER GROUND} OVERVIEW
1734	2018		5370	5378		REF EX 42 FIG 6 WR APPLICATION
2018	2500		5378	5675		REF FIG 3 & GREEN LINE CONTACT
2500	2800		5675	5813		FIG 6 SCATTERED WELLS & FLOW
2800	3330		5813	6049		REF FIG 4 XSECT OF EX 44
3330	3958		6049	6340		WILLOW CR AQUIFER CHARACTER
3958	4822		6340	6729		OTHER WR: AQUIFER LIMITS & DEVELOPMENT

4-23-00 M3 Eagle

23-32573

DIGITAL TRACK #	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		TAPE #	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO		FROM	TO	
						TESTIMONY BY SQUIRES CONT
4822	5350		6729	6980		XSECTION MAP EX 70 ADDED
5350	5725		6980	7168		BROWNLEE HIRE REQUESTS
5725			7168	7168		PAUSE BRK CHG TAPE
5725	01:05:55		0	222		REF HYDROGRAPH EX 45 FIG 18
1 05:55	01:21:30		222	695		CLARIFICATION OF 'GREEN LINE'
1 21:30	1 24:13		695	768		POD'S DISCUSSION
1 24:13	1 27:58		768	885		REF FIG 13 GODDARD WELL (HYDROGRAPH)
1 27:58	1 30 49		885	977		HYDRO-GEO STUDY SUMMARY
1 30 49	1:35:34		977	1117		- REF EX 1
1:35:34	1 44 45		1117	1477		WA APP FIG 11 EX 42
1:44 45	1 47:30		1477	1500		KEY WELL HE LOCATE & CONTOUR
1 47:30	1 57 30		1500	1834		EX 18 MEMO W/ MAPS & TABLE
1 57:30	1 59 57		1834	1917		EX 29 (NEWTON) & 31 (LINDHOLM) CONTOUR MAPS
1 59 57	2:03 39		1917	2040		LTR SCANKANS TO TUTHILL
2:03 39	2 04 11		2040	2130		FIG 9 DR TALSTON CONTOUR MAP
2:06:11	2:13 13		2130	2375		WELL CONSTRUCTION REF EX 3 MAP
2:13:13	2:26 20		2375	2840		EX 101 QUESTIONNAIRE
2:26:20	2:33 30		2840	3112		DRILL METHODS & ISSUES
2:33:30	2:35:27		3112	3107		BRK-RESUME 'DRY' WELL DISCUSSION
						MUNI SYS EFFICIENCY & QUALITY
	— END 04/23 —					
	— BEGIN 04/24 —					
TRACK #1	00:00:03	:06:45	3188	3420		DR. SPENCER WOOD, WORK EXPERIENCE, PUBLICATIONS
	00:06:45	:11:30	3420	3640		WORK FOR HYDROLOGIC INC., PIERCE GULCH SANDS
	00:11:30	:16:45	3640	3850		EXHIBIT 44 FIG. 6, X-SECTION, GEOPHYSICAL LOGS
	00:16:45	:23:00	3850	4110		EXHIBIT 44 FIG. 8, EXHIBIT 19G, TREASURE VALLEY GEOLOGY
	:23:00	:31:00	4110	4460		EXHIBIT 45 FIG. 3, MAP WELL LOCATIONS, GEOPH. LOGS
	:31:00	:39:40	4460	4850		FAULTING, MAG. SURVEY, EXHIBIT 19 FIG. 1 MAP, FAULTING
	:39:40	:50:00	4850	5340		EXHIBIT 44 FIG. 3, X-SECTION, GEOPH. LOGS, EXHIBIT 45 FIG. 2
	:50:00	1:09:43	5340	6367		CROSS-EX DR. SPENCE WOOD
	CHANGE TAPE/BREAK					
	1:09:43		6367			
	1:09:43	1:25:28	0015	0465		CONT. CROSS DR. SPENCE WOOD
	1:25:28	1:36:30	0465	0800		EXHIBIT 45 FIG. 4 & 3, PGS CONTINUITY

DIGITAL TRACK #1	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		TAPE #	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO		FROM	TO	
1:37:53 1:36:30			0838			CONT. CROSS DR. SPENCE WOOD
1:37:53	1:51:40	0838	1260			CONT. CROSS DR. SPENCE WOOD, BY MR. SMITH EXHIBIT 19B FIG. 1 THORNTON: EX. 33B PG. 6, POT. SURF. & FLOW DIR.
1:51:40	2:22:56	1260	2301			RECALL ED SQUIRES FOR DIRECT CONT. Q: PGSA DESCRIPTION, DRAWING GRAPH MONITORING PROGRAM, TUHP MODEL
	33:08:09					BREAK/LUNCH
TRACK #2 00:00:00	23:00	2308	3135			RESUME: DIRECT OF ED SQUIRES CONT. FROM JEFF FEREDA AQUIFER TESTING, EX. 12 & 42, KLING WELL TEST EX. 12 PG. 219 MAP, PG. 217, SUR 7 TEST (EX. 44)
23:00	35:10	3135	3610			EX. 44, ED SQUIRES EXPLANATION OF SUR 7 WELL TEST
35:10	45:05	3610	4010			GEOCHEMISTRY, EX. 33, EX. 43 FIG. 1 MAP &
45:05	54:50	4010	4425			FIG. 5 OF EX. 43, TRILINEAR DIAGRAM, WATER CHEMISTR.
54:50	1:08:10	4425	5031			AQUIFER MODELING
						BREAK
1:08:10	1:12:45	5031	5250			RESUME: DIRECT OF ED SQUIRES FROM JEFF FEREDA AGE OF WATER IN PGSA
1:12:45	1:14:20	5250	5325			FLOW DIRECTION/GRADIENT
1:14:20	1:18:00	5325	5510			PROPOSED WELLS
1:18:00	1:23:05	5510	5770			COST OF STUDY WORK, SUFFICIENCY OF WATER SUPPLY OPINIONS, CONCLUSIONS, MONITORING END DIRECT OF ED SQUIRES
1:24:07	1:30:20	5821	6150			CROSS OF ED SQUIRES BY THORNTON EX. 16 PG. 14, MODELING, STUDY AREA
1:30:20	1:31:40	6150	6230			OBJECTION, SUSTAINED
1:31:40	1:33:25	6230				CONT. EX. 16 7-7
						END TAPE 6327
1:33:30		0015				RESUME, NEW TAPE (#2)
		0075				OBJECTION, ANSWER QUESTION
1:36:30	1:42:50	0110	0300			SOURCE OF WATER SUPPLY, BOISE RIVER, RECHARGE AREA
1:42:50	1:49:10	0300	0490			RECHARGE AREA FOR PGSA, EX. 16 PG. 50/FIG. 6
1:49:10	1:52:55	0490	0600			MODEL DEVELOPMENT & RECHARGE
1:52:55	1:55:20	0600	0680			DRY WELLS,

DIGITAL TRACK #	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		TAPE #	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO		FROM	TO	
2	1:56:10	1:57:45	0700	0755		CONT. CROSS OF ED SQUIRES BY THORNTON
						IDWR STAFF MEMO, WATER LEVELS IN PGSA WELLS
	1:57:45	2:01:30	0755	0871		EX. 50 APPENDIX A, MEMO FROM MIKE McVAY, TABLE, LAST GRA
	2:01:30	2:04:00	0871	0950		GRAPH - DECLINE WATER LEVEL, EX. 45
	2:04:00	2:06:05	0950	1015		EX. 45 FIG 23
	2:06:05	2:08:45	1015	1100		OBJECTION, Q? in mid of objection OVERRULED
	2:08:45	2:12:04	1100	1207		EX. 45 FIG. 8, BREAK
		BREAK				
	2:12:04	2:22:40	1207	1550		CONT. CROSS OF ED SQUIRES BY THORNTON
						EX. 45 FIG. 8, HLI RESPONSE TO STAFF MEMO
	2:22:40	2:27:25	1550	1715		EX. 45 FIG. 14, REGRESSION ANALYSIS, INCREASE IN WATER LEVEL:
	2:27:25		1715	1966		WATER LEVELS IN WELLS, MONITORING WELLS
		BREAK				
TRACK #3	00:00:00	06:20	1971	2186		CONT. CROSS OF ED SQUIRES BY THORNTON
						REGRESSION LINES, WATER LEVELS
	06:20	10:45	2190	2340		EX. 45 TABLE 1 KILLERMAN WELL, TABLE 2
	10:45	17:25	2340	2584		EX. 45 FIG. 5, WATER LEVEL FLUX
	17:25	22:27	2584	2765		EX. 12, LEXINGTON HILLS WELL, APP. A
	22:27		2765	2916		EX. 50 IDWR STAFF MEMO,
	STOP	30:06:46				
		BREAK FOR DAY				
		END 04/24				

5/4/09 Beginning of day

DIGITAL TRACK	DIGITAL LOCATION	TAPE NO. & TIME STAMP	TAPE LOCATION	TESTIMONY DESCRIPTION
NO.	HRS-MIN-SEC	TO	FROM	
#2	0	118	152	5/4/09 Begin Hearing
	0100	152	6689	Thornton Cross examination of Squires
	1327	817	2575	reference to exhibit 45
	2249	1508	1790	reference to exhibit 16
	4556	3130	3700	reference to exhibit 16 Pg. 2
	5317	3700	4107	Exhibit 45 figure 2 - Seismic Profiles
	5812	4107	5057	" figure 3 - Chevron Line
	1:01:39	4399	" 6689	" figure 4 - Cross Section of fig. 3
	1:06:58	5057	-	- AM Break -
	1:08:58	5057	6689	Continued Cross examination of Squires
	1:15:31	5690	6689	Exhibit 68 reference
	1:24:00	6689/25	6689 825	End of tape 1 - Beginning Tape #2 X-examine of Squires
	1:25:10	46	250	Exhibit 33B - Geochemistry + Isotope Study
	1:34:41	317	825	Exhibit 68 reference fig. 1
	1:42:00	534	825	Exhibit 45 Exhibit 1 reference
	1:51:40	825	-	Break - End of Squires Cross Examination by Thornton
	1:51:40	830	2416	Smith X-examination of Squires
	2:09:29	1390	1468	Exhibit 12 Page 241 referenced by Smith
	2:11:58	1468	1591	" Page 7
	2:17:56	1665	1752	P. 240 Exhibit 12 referenced by Smith
	2:20:30	1752	1846	Exhibit 42 180 220 referenced 19E P. 14
	2:23:23	1846	1988	Exhibit 42 P. 7 reference + P. 13
	2:32:24	2158	2319	" " A4 P. 1
	2:37:12	2319	2416	Exhibit 50 p. 18 reference
	2:39:46	2416	-	End of cross examination of Squires by Smith → lunch
#3	00	2423	3015	FeReday cross examination of Squires
	2820 ¹¹²²	2820	3015	Exhibit 50 p. 18-19 reference
	1623	3025	3778	re-cross examination by Thornton of Squires
	2008	3163	3255	Exhibit 42A4 referenced by Squires Fig. 2+3
	2244	3255	3345	Exhibit 19A/C " "
	2451	3345	3495	Exhibit 45 fig 3 " "

DIGITAL TRACK	DIGITAL LOCATION	TAPE NO. & TIME STAMP	TAPE LOCATION	TESTIMONY DESCRIPTION
NO.	HRS-MIN-SEC	TO	FROM	
# 3	2830	3495	3778	Exhibit 68 P.7 referenced by Thornton
	3545	3778	-	End of recross examination ^{by} Thornton of Squires
	3653	3824	4109	Smith re-cross examination of Squires by Smith
	-	-	-	Squires done w/ questioning
	4413	4125	240	Glanzman - Fereday examining Glanzman Intro.
	4700	4248	4270	Exhibit 32 - Tab I Glanzman Resume
	5024	4397	4598	Glanzman Powerpoint - overview
	5500	4598	4660	Cross section of Pierce Gulch Aquifer - Powerpoint p. 3
	5640	4661	5316	Total Dissolved Solids slides from Powerpoint + Discussi
	1:10:21	5316	5630	Pierce Sand Gulch Aquifer Discussion + Powerpoint Slid
	1:15:21	5638	5702	Powerpoint slide #9 - Ion exchange discussion
	1:16:07	5703	5783	Powerpoint slide #10. Terteling Springs Formation Discussio
	1:19:46	5790	5840	Powerpoint slide #11 - WCA Ion Chemistry
	1:20:15	5845	5933	" "#12 M3 well samples
	1:23:31	5940	6000	" "#13 Aquifer Cross section
	1:23:50	6013	6180	" "#14 WCA Summary / Discussion
	1:27:06	6180	6219	" "#15 M3 well Samples
	1:27:49	6220	6309	" "#16 SVR Ion Chemistry
	1:29:25	6309		" "#17 SVR Summary / Discussion
	-	-	-	end of tape 2
	1:30:43	23	75	Still slide #17
	1:32:30	75	124	Powerpoint slide #18 Ion chemistry - Emmett
	1:34:13	125	240	" "#19 Emmett Wells Summary
				-Break-
	1:38:17	245	1040	Glanzman Testimony cont'd Fereday Questioning
	1:39:34	283	325	Powerpoint slide #20 Summary of Aquifers
	1:40:59	328	366	Exhibit #43 "Anestral" discussion
	1:42:22	366	760	Slide #22 Exhibit 33G - Table 5: Age dating
	1:55:38	760	798	Slide #21 Age dating Questions / discussion
	1:56:42	799	946	Slide #23 Isotope Questions + Discussion
	2:01:28	948	1040	Slide #24 Deuterium Ranges
	-	-	-	End of Fereday Questioning of Glanzman
	2:04:40	1047	2520	Thornton cross examination of Glanzman

DIGITAL TRACK	DIGITAL LOCATION	TAPE NO. & TIME STAMP	TAPE LOCATION	TESTIMONY DESCRIPTION
NO.	HRS-MIN-SEC	TO	FROM	
# 3	2:05:16	1064	1100	Thornton refers to Exhibit 32 I - Glenzman Resume
	2:17:14	1548	1550	Exhibit 71 entered into evidence
	2:12:14	1551	2520	Exhibit 71 discussion/questioning by Thornton
	2:27:37	1791	1838	Statistical Integrity of reports in Powerpoint
	2:48:35	2514	2520	wrap up questions - Thornton cross examine complete
	2:48:58	2530	3037	Smith cross examining Glenzman
	2:49:30	2531	2750	Exhibit 43 p.4 questioning - ancestral water vs modern
	2:51:44	2629	2750	" " p.5 " - geologically ancestral
	3:02:51	3037	-	Adjourn for the day
# 1	0	0		5/5/09 BEGIN HEARING (TAPE 1 BEGINNING)
	0:13:05	394	474	THORNTON RE CROSS EXAMINATION OF GLENZMAN
	0:15:29	475	719	LOOK AT EXHIBIT 336
	23:12	720	730	APPLICANTS DO NOT CALL ROB WHITNEY
	23:59	731	747	MR. SMITH OBJECTS TO NOT CALLING MR. WHITNEY
	24:04	731		
	24:44	752	818	MR. SMITH EXAMINATION OF GLENZMAN
	26:49	819		HEARING OFFICER ASKS GLENZMAN OF EXHIBIT 71
	31:48	972	1085	DIRECTED TO PAGE 6 OF EXHIBIT EXHIBIT
	35:34	1090	1180	EXPOSURE TO MINERALOGY
	38:37	1185	1215	CONTINUATION OF FEREDAY QUESTIONING OF GLENZMAN
	38:37	1185		
	39:38	1217	1220	MR. SMITH OBJECTS → OVERULED
	39:50	1221	1355	CONTINUATION OF FEREDAY QUESTIONING
	43:55	1354	1410	THORNTON QUESTIONS GLENZMAN
	45:56	1417	# 1454	SMITH QUESTIONS GLENZMAN / GLENZMAN QUESTION
	47:06	—	—	→ BREAK
	—	—	—	—
	47:44	1469	1610	FEREDAY EXAMINES MARK UTTING
	52:06	1616	1913	DIRECTED TO EXHIBIT 12, EXAMINATION CONTINUE
	53:08	1815	2085	EXHIBIT 12, P 237, EXAMINATION CONTINUE
	1:06:18	2090	2270	EXHIBIT 12, FIG 80. EXAMINATION
	1:11:45	2275	2408	EXHIBIT 12, FIG 81. " "

DIGITAL TRACK	DIGITAL LOCATION	TAPE NO. & TIME STAMP	TAPE LOCATION	TESTIMONY DESCRIPTION
NO.	HRS-MIN-SEC	TO	FROM	
# 1	1:15:40	2410	2558	TEXT ON PL 204, EXHIBIT 12, EXAMINATION CONTINUES
CONT.	1:19:50	2560	2625	SUR 7 AQUIFER TEST, EXAM
	1:21:40	2633	2790	EXHIBIT 44, DESCRIPTION, EXAM
	1:26:27	2797	2855	OBJECTION BY SMITH, → HERESAY
	1:28:17	2864	3085	EXAM CONTINUES
	1:34:25	3090		EXHIBIT 45, FIG. 5, EXAM CONT.
	1:43:30	3457		BREAK
	—	—	—	—
# 2	0:00:13	3462	4155	FEREDER ^{CONTINUATION} OF EXAM OF MARK UTING
	17:15	4160	4280	UTING CONCLUSIONS ON SUR 7 TEST
	20:15	4286	4425	OTHER UTING CONCLUSIONS
22:34	22:34	4430	4610	EXHIBIT 18, FIG 1
	27:40	4610	4920	QUESTIONS ON RECHARGE
	34:30	4920	5510	EXHIBIT 14
	46:50	5580	5760	EXHIBIT 41
	51:45	5765	6100	EXHIBIT 53 OR 50? FIG 3.
	58:20	6120	7	WOULD UTING DO ADDITIONAL STUDIES?
	1:00:35	6249		LUNCH BREAK
# 3	0:00:00	6260		FEREDER TO UTING ON SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS
				→ END OF TAPE 1; CHANGE TO TAPE 2
	0:02:21	15	290	FEREDER TO UTING ON SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS
	11:45	295	570	PLS 58-59, EXHIBIT 14: CALIBRATION
	21:02	578	760	POSSIBLE QUESTIONS UTING MAY HAVE ON MODEL
	27:40	770	1105	UTING'S THOUGHTS ON REDUCED RECHARGE
	38:14	1108	1125	FUTURE OF MODEL USED TO PREDICT PUMPING IMPACT.
	39:07	1130	1188	WATER BUDGET FOR THE TREASURE VALLEY HYDRO PROJE
	41:16	1204		→ BREAK
			NEXT	PAGE CONT. →

DIGITAL TRACK	DIGITAL LOCATION	TAPE NO. & TIME STAMP	TAPE LOCATION	TESTIMONY DESCRIPTION
NO.	HRS-MIN-SEC	TO	FROM	
#3 CONT.	41:14	1204	1315	THORTON CROSS OF MR. UTTING
	45:10	1325		THORTON DIRECTS TO EXHIBIT 16 / MODEL
	53:52	1611	1620	FEREDER OBJECTION (FOUNDATION) → SUSTAINED
	57:00	1719	1721	FEREDER OBJECTION (SPECULATION) → OVERRULED
	1:00:00	1809	1910	UTTING DIRECTS THORTON TO FIG. 16
	1:03:30	1920	2100	THORTON PROPOSES NEW EXHIBIT / FEREDER OBJECTION
	1:08:45	2105	2425	EXHIBIT 850 INTRODUCED BY PROTESTANT AND ACCEPTED BY HEARING OFFICER
	1:17:05	2420		THORTON DIRECTS TO EXHIBIT 16, P. 27
	1:22:47	2637	2660	FEREDER OBJECTION → OVERRULED
	1:24:10	2690	2750	THORTON DIRECTS TO EXHIBIT 33
	1:26:00	2757	3200	THORTON BACK TO EXHIBIT 16
	1:39:35	3266	3400	THORTON DIRECTS TO EXHIBIT 33A
	1:43:00	3400	3410	FEREDER OBJECTION → OVERRULED
	1:43:30	3415	3619	UTTING'S RESPONSE TO ? THAT WAS ^{ORSE} TO
	1:48:30	3619	3675	FEREDER OBJECTION → SUSTAINED
	1:50:10	3680		EXHIBIT 16, P. 28
	1:51:15	3723		EXHIBIT #285 SUBMITTED BY PROTESTANT
	1:53:42	3827		BREAK
	1:53:42	3827		CONTINUATION OF THORTON EXAM OF UTTING ON MODEL
	1:56:40	3947	3990	OBJECTION BY FEREDER
	1:57:40	4000	4037	CONTINUATION OF CROSS EXAM OF UTTING
	1:58:50	4038	4041	FEREDER OBJECTION
	1:59:00	4042	41184	CONTINUATION
	2:02:30	4185	4245	EXHIBIT #285 ACCEPTED INTO EVIDENCE BY OFFICER
	2:03:40	4245	4275	FEREDER OBJECTION → OVERRULED
	2:04:50	4293	4429	DISCUSSION ON EXHIBIT 285
	2:08:00	4429	4800	FEREDER OBJECTION THEN CONTINUATION OF DISCUSSION
	2:16:29	4807	4820	UTTING TEMPORARILY STEPS DOWN, FEREDER RE-EXAMIN MR. GLENZMAN
	2:23:05	5116	5120	MR. GLENZMAN STEPS DOWN
	2:23:12	5130	5372	CONTINUATION OF THORTON CROSS EXAM OF UTTING
	2:28:22	5372		PAUSE FOR OFF-RECORD

DIGITAL TRACK	DIGITAL LOCATION	TAPE NO. & TIME STAMP	TAPE LOCATION	TESTIMONY DESCRIPTION
NO.	HRS-MIN-SEC	TO	FROM	
#3 CONT.	2:28:22	5372	5600	CONTINUATION OF THORNTON CROSS-EXAM OF UTTING
	2:33	5600	5900	EXHIBIT 45 DISCUSSION
	2:38:54	5905	6240	EXHIBIT 47 DISCUSSION
	2:46:09	6298		EXHIBIT 42(A4) DISCUSSION
				→ END OF TAPE 2, CHANGE TO TAPE 3
	2:46:20	21	110	BEGINNING W/ CONTINUATION OF THORNTON
				CROSS EXAM OF UTTING ON EXHIBIT 42(A4)
	2:49:31	110	420	EXHIBIT 47
	3:00:10	424	520	BACK TO EXHIBIT 42(A4)
	3:03:20	520	790	MR. SMITH CROSS-EXAM OF UTTING
	3:12:39	797	801	MR. SMITH QUESTIONING ENDS
	3:12:56	808	829	FERRER RESTS
	3:14:00	829		END OF 5/5/09 DAY
	0:00:02	832	1748	Beginning of 5/11/09 Day ^{Mr. Smith} (dept witness)
	0:28:26	1749	1831	Mr. Thornton, Mr. Faraday
	0:31-10	1832	2025	Mr. Smith ~ M3 not a municipality; Mr. Faraday
	0:36:56	2024	3336	Sean Vincent/IDWR powerpoint presentation ref. Exh. 45, Exhibit 69, Exh. 12 ref. Exhibit 67, Exhibit 44
	001:12:53	3337	5234	^{S. Vincent continued} Complex Stratigraphy, ^{ref.} Exh. 190, Exh. 12 ^{ref.} Exh. 44, Exh. 27, Exh. 2; Trends/Trend Corrections Exh. 45 figure 5 + figure 7 (late or incomplete correction) also figure 23; ^{Exh. 44} Aquifer Continuity
	001:57:10	5235	5990	Clarity of submittal summation
Tape #4	000:00:00	5990		→ End of tape 3, changed to Tape 4
	000:00:00	5990	6616	Staff narratives today + posted on IDWR website Continuation of Sean Vincent's summation staffs perspective of faulting
	000:21:09	6616	6714	Flow direction significance
	000:24:24	6714	6852	Residence Time Issue
	000:28:48	6852	7019	Aquifer Testing
				Criticisms of Staff's Review

DIGITAL TRACK	DIGITAL LOCATION	TAPE NO. & TIME STAMP	TAPE LOCATION	TESTIMONY DESCRIPTION
NO.	HRS-MIN-SEC	TO	FROM	
#4 cont.	000:34:10	7019	7074	Closing Thoughts by Sean Vincent
	000:00:03	7074	7320	Dennis Owsley power point
	000:07:51	7320	7783	- Inconsistent Items
	000:22:01	7783	8083	- Aquifer Continuity between Boise + the West
	000:30:43	8083	8653	- Aquifer Testing
				- Subdivisions of Idaho Group sediments
				- Recharge Mechanisms
NEED cont.	46:42	8453	8168	- Exhibit 44 Fig 4a Graph
	55:24	8168	9036	- Exhibit 16 Appendix B Tables 3&4 - Water Budget
	57:11	9036	9094	- Geo Chem
	58:50	9094	9177	- Sustainability of Aquifer
	1:00:43	9177	9300	- misc & close Owsley
	1:04:09	9300	9509	exhibits organization
	1:09:19	9509	9557	McVay Introduction
	1:10:34	9557	10364	testimony Mike McVay
	1:27:50	10364	10430	- response to HLI 'memo' critique
	1:31:30	10430	10753	- response to HLI analysis of McVay
				pause for calendar
	1:38:30	10851		
Beginning of testimony				5/28/09
Digital track #1		Tape #1		
	-:-:13	35		Wahy S. opening statements
	1:21	63		IDWR staff: Sean Vincent
	1:50	80		PRO GR. Smith motion to Dismiss - reconsideration & applicant response
	11:55	3:16		Wahy S. will rule for verbally 5/29
	13:53	4:33		Ferdyn direct of Sean Vincent
	14:40	4:50		EXN 902

5/20/09

DIGITAL TRACK #	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		TAPE #	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO		FROM	TO	
1			1			
	-:15:30	4:08				Thesis analysis
	-:17:00	5:20				predictions are reasonable
	-:20:30	9:-				Exh 131, 132, 133 admitted
	20:50	9:-				recharge based on stable water level
	-:31:-	9:60				Discussion of Exh 134 - memo.
	-:33:35	10:30				Fig - HLI proposed sources of recharge & conclusions
	-:34:50	10:13				hydraulic gradient not always upward - may be local sources of recharge
	-:36:-	11:14				Test well 4#1
	-:37:20	11:55				Dedicated monitoring well program
	-:32:55	12:00				Exh 133 p. iii - Dr. Petrich - witness doesn't recall - already covered
	~:40:50	12:60				Scan's opinion re source of recharge -
	-:42:10	13:03				Exh. 44 Fig. 13 MB TW4 zone 3
	- 45:	13:				completed in 2530 mal unnamed alluvial
	-:47:33	14:18				Barry S. - Questions
	-:48:	15:15				MB TW4 piezometer west - upward gradient - from PWSU \Rightarrow unnamed
	-:49:40	15:40				alluvial aquifer
	-:50:15	15:66				TW1 piezometer west - downward gradient from alluvial sand \Rightarrow PWSU
	-:50:50	15:90				Scan considers downward gradient significant
	-:52:50	16:40				Barry S. - Fig 13
	-:53:40	16:70				zones 1, 2, 3 - upward gradient - Scan agrees
	-:56:00	17:50				TW1, 5 zones .. # from bottom \Rightarrow top - zone 1 at bottom - deepest -
	-:56:50	17:00				Fig 13 TW4, zones 1, 2, 3 \hookrightarrow lower rt. of figure 13

DIGITAL TRACK #	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		TAPE #	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO		FROM	TO	
1			1			(Sean Vincent testimony - cont)
	-:57:54	19:20				Zone 1, TW1 - in parenthesis of M3 property - downward bracket is anomalous
	-:59:30	19:64				Exh 5, Exh 2 - more significant anomaly
	-:59:50	19:90				Zone 1, TW1 - downward bracket in unnamed alluvial aquifer that overlaps P65A - significant
	1:1:31	19:40				all other parameters nests & correlations P65A & unnamed alluvium
	1:03:03	19:01				aquifer test p. 14 King's well - not completed in P65A
	1:04:-	20:20				King's test aquifer test.
	1:04:40	20:38				SVR 7 test - significant drawdown. test was compromised
	1:07:15	21:25				how test was compromised
	1:08:30	21:70				recovery data suspect & why
	1:09:50	22:-				where is data 30' & 200'?"
	1:10:25	22:30				Bib's Gulch stock well 180' deep
	1:11:50	22:90				Sean agrees Bib's Gulch stock well responds to P65A pumping
	1:12:30	23:10				TW1 shallowest zone & potential recharge
	1:13:19	23:40				Exh 45 F165 - relationship between TW1 & TW4 - orange line - F165 - January 2001 - don't see data points downward
	1:17:10	24:80				SPR7 test - Sean says he was mistaken in part - he now understands there was a response in King's but not in SPR7
	1:10:25	25:25				Sean can reconcile HLI data

DIGITAL TRACK #	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		TAPE #	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO		FROM	TO	
1			1			(Scan Vincent testimony -) Friday direct - cont
	1:19:30	25:50				transmissivity affects time of recovery
	1:20:15	25:00				appears to recover relatively quickly
	1:21:40	25:40				analysis of drawdown & time
	1:22:10	26:00				usefulness of King's test
	1:23:25	27:00				quality of pumping well & affect on drawdown
	1:30:50	29:11				P. 36, (Exh 27) - the cross-section
	1:32:00	30:26				Exh 70 - A'A" NE of M3 property
						lack of geologic correlation of the 13 cross-sections is important
	1:36:34	31:00				HLI said - all 13 x-sections are significant - scan says no formal geo analysis of faulting, etc so can see that the x-sections are significant
	1:37:30	32:30				always that drillers data can provide evidence of faulting
	1:38:50	32:11				P. 37 - conceptual depiction of PBGA x-section
	1:40:00	33:30				above this x-section shows evidence of faulting
	1:41:05	33:00				facies change
	1:42:00	33:00				P. 39 of scan testimony (Exh 44, Fig. 3 - same as p. 39)
						increase in dip - section is generally up-dip. PBGA dips under M3
	1:44:30	35:02				P. 43 - notes break in W. Eagle fault - above that fault is in Perm.

5/20/01

DIGITAL TRACK #	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		TAPE #	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO		FROM	TO	
1			1			(Scan Vincent testimony - Friday direct conf)
	1:46:00	35:55				stratigraphy is complex in PESA under m3 - scan has stated many reasons why PESA is complex
	1:48:00	36:35				W. Boise Eagle fault in PESA as well as other faults
	1:48:50	36:20				Woodward well - negative hydraulic boundary - doesn't know if this means a fault
	1:50:00	37:20				Scan's clear statement regarding faulting p. 84 (exh 45, fig 2 is larger version of graph)
	1:51:20	37:18				doesn't show data re faulting he is not aware of other seismic data that would indicate faulting no data in upper 1000' of PESA there can be shallow faulting not evident in basement rocks. (little info seismic) from 1992 Wood report - scan clarifies that (p. 73) little to change under- standing since Wood report. suggestion of faulting that (p. 73) ultimately unknown doesn't expect much difference in drawdown due to distance alone.
	1:55:40	39:50				
	2:00:00	41:35				
	2:00:50	41:12				
	2:03:50	43:00				
						pumping curve - hypothetical pumping on m3 & relation to regional drawdown response - scan concern - wells NE m3 didn't fracture as much as wells in panhandle.

5/24/04

DIGITAL TRACK #	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		TAPE #	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO		FROM	TO	
1			1			(Sean Vincent testimony) Friday direct - cont
	2:05:-	43:-				well fluctuations "up beach" v. " " panhandle - order of magnitude difference but trends are similar
	2:07:00	44:36				order of magnitude difference is an anomaly.
	2:07:50	44:60				a regional water level trend is not well specified
	2:09:40	45:52				hypothetical posed by Fred re well responses & regional trends
	2:11:40	46:40				Sean - indicates complexity - not necessarily critical of HLI.
	2:13:50	47:35				analysis - analysis uncertain analysis is productive but difficult to predict long term effects because 200 gpm on low end of production & what one would expect at full build out
	2:15:00	47:90				(Fig 3 C3?) Big beach stock well - did not appear to be trending to full recovery & that concerned Sean.
	2:18:50	49:50				P 50 Fig. 27 was of concern Fig C3 - Sean says Fred says beach is pt. re recovery during pumping period - purpose of C3 was for reference that recovery does not come back to zero is the concern. The 0.4' drawdown is not a concern.
	2:21:00	50:10				

DIGITAL TRACK #	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		TAPE #	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO		FROM	TO	
1			1			(Sean Vincent testimony) <u>Friday direct cont'</u>
	2:22:30	51:40				C3 pre-irrigation season's significance - 0.4' is not enough drawdown to be meaningful
	2:23:45	52:00				FIG. 27, P. 50 This is analysis
	2:25:40	52:40				FIG 27 - data error - corrected plot was provided so Sean's comments on p. 50 was a correction
	2:26:40	53:40				p. 52 - analysis of corrected data. bottom line - regional trend analysis may not be accurate - must have significant drawdown. "Regional trend" is a fudge factor to account for low drawdown.
	2:29:00	54:00				insufficient stress on qualifier to make conclusions.
	2:29:40	54:40				Exh 12, FIG b. p. 46 of Sean's testimony - incomplete or delayed recovery - City of Eagle - can't recall if he analyzed "application bary S. comments re City of Eagle line of questioning -
	2:33:-	56:00				
	?	57:25				<u>Break for lunch</u>
* 2			* TAPE 2			
	-:-:20	35				Friday - re City of Eagle line of questioning
	1:40	79				p. 52 - narrative testimony

5/26/01

DIGITAL TRACK #	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		TAPE #	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO		FROM	TO	
2			2			(Sean Vincent testimony) Friday direct - con 4
	:3:10	1:10				T/T' .05 foot lack of recovery inconclusive as to whether it signifies delayed or incomplete recovery.
	:6:00	2:06				Fig 7 - corrected graphic
	:6:30	2:20				SVR 7 test - partially penetrated PGSA. Partial penetration effects not intuitively apparent
	:6:15	2:10				M3 monitoring wells
	:9:30	3:00				Active software used by HLI
	:10:15	3:30				Exh 12 - HLI test. Sean doesn't know if wells fully penetrated PGSA
	:14:02	4:38				terrace displacement - Dr. Wood info suggested shallow faulting -
	:15:35	4:59				p 53 - SVR 7 well. Residual drawdowns, though not large, have to be considered. When 1.5' is drawdown, has to consider small drawdowns relative to total drawdown.
	:18:40	5:10				Discrepancy between max. drawdown (7.1') & max residual drawdown. Initial drawdown = 2.3' is ... where are missing data? Need to see entire shape of curve
	:21:14	6:50				Exh 44. Distance of wells from other pumping wells.
	:23:11	7:11				Exh 44 Fig 4b - Plot of 9 wells - Annual trends for each well.

DIGITAL TRACK #	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		TAPE #	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO		FROM	TO	
2			2			(Sean Vincent testimony Friday direct - const)
	:24:46		7:60			make sense for SRV 7 to be placed as the Lindv well? Sean reiterates that applying regional trends introduces uncertainty - he is not criticizing analysis.
	:28:00		9:50			King well - more fluctuation Sean doesn't know where the pumping centers are - has never seen shown map.
	:29:00		0:00			
	:30:00		9:20			SRV 7 - corrected drawdown plot - there was well specific trends - that is extraordinary - looked at well recovery
	:32:45		10:05			P 56 aQUIFER continuity & the Seawires et al study re City of Boise aQUIFER.
	:35:40		10:00			numerical model by HLI et al
	:37:08		11:45			POSA continuity - doesn't know if sands are faulted & the effect & he has concerns.
	:39:14		12:10			model boundaries
	:39:50		12:30			flow in POSA towards Payette if so - not sure it says something about recharge. AQUIFER boundaries & sources of recharge important but direction of flow not important water budget - tvHP model
	:44:05		13:60			HLI discharge pts: Boise & Payette
	:44:25		13:75			W Boise ECLL fault - Swift well 1992 shows Swift well on upthrown side but there is map that shows it on downthrown side.

01401001

DIGITAL TRACK #	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		TAPE #	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO		FROM	TO	
2			2			(Sean Vincent testimony) Friday direct - con?
	:47:10		14:60			Swift well P65 - points out inconsistency in HLI
	:48:30		15:00			Lake Harbor - not the modeled recharge area.
	49:45		15:45			Wells upstream of Capital Bridge
	:50:00		15:60			numerical model - inflow in model from SE
	52:20		16:40			P65A - recharge - unknowns address what is recharge.
	:55:00		17:00			periodically modeled water recharge longer time also. knowledge of recharge mechanisms is limited.
	59:00		18:00			Gov's sustains prot dev - not Sean's responsibility to evaluate, applicant's proposal - burden is on applicants.
	:59:10		18:55			recharge -
	1:01:10		19:15			predictions from aquifer tests & numerical models - reasonable given the assumptions.
	1:02:20		19:65			P-88 - narrative - drawdown in alluvium & effect on Boise R.
	1:03:25	20:00		21:24		Exh 50, Fig 4 Worst case drawdown map. < 5' @ 50 years. > 5' drawdown by Eagle Island Break --
* 3	- I hit stop by mistake at the break					

5/20/04

DIGITAL TRACK #	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		TAPE #	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO		FROM	TO	
3			2			(Sean Vincent testimony Friday direct - cont)
	-:31		21:24			recharge & where it is occurring -
	-:2:05		21:20			P. 90 - aquifer testing - his criticism of HLI & length of test; tries not look at cost
	-:9:20		24:20			aquifer test - aquifer not stressed enough. combination of length & pumping rate.
	:11:20		24:49			concerned about response of BGS (oil) stock well.
	:12:00		25:33			End of Friday questioning
	:12:00		25:33			morning questioning
	:13:30		25:50			not a homogeneous aquifer - P64A
						variably permeable unit both laterally & vertically.
	:15:00		26:25			boundaries of P64A - we doesn't know where they are. HLI observed lateral extent of bottom structure - extends to W Boise & they cite oil exploration data to explain extents to Pacific.
	-:16:35		26:00			Exh 902, p. 56 - depositional environment. 2001 report by Dr. Ward & Mr. Semmes. Describes sand layers under City of Boise
	:19:15		27:07			Exh 41, Dr. Palston, p. 6-7
	:22:24		28:00			mostow lens
						withdrawals (water) evidenced in past 5 years - M3 would significantly impact withdrawals

5/20/09

DIGITAL TRACK #	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		TAPE #	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO		FROM	TO	
3			2			(Sean Vincent testimony - Protestants Exam - Worton)
	:24:18	29:10				Boony's - not Sean's job to scrutinize applications but to look at data.
	:25:05	29:00				qualifier test proposed not carried out & perfect
	:28:50	30:20				construction of Kings well
						p.14 of narrative re Kings irr well - completed in upper 190' of P65A.
	:31:00	32:20				Kings well test - Sean has concerns
	:32:00	32:60				in Big Gulch stock well
	:32:25	32:75				SRV 1
	:33:00	32:00				Big Gulch well identified as a P65A well but top of P65 P65A is below 180'.
	:33:50	33:30				SRV 1 & Big Gulch
	35:30	33:40				monitoring by HLI - want to well constructed wells but relied on data from poor consistency
	:36:20	34:25				Exh 44 table 1 - M3 TWI piez nest - zone 5 - screened 97'-137' last column - zone 5 - unannulled alluvium aquifer... zone 4 - deeper screened 353'-383' - P65A.
						gradient from shallow sand => P65A different from TW4 piez nest.
	:39:30	35:60				suggests complexity - no up gradient everywhere - makes previous HLI statement re local recharge occurs from shallow aquifer => deeper
	:41:40	36:40				model - M3 - if no flow boundary model was run - simulation done to follow @ up Ralston's findings.

5/20/09

DIGITAL TRACK #	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		TAPE #	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO		FROM	TO	
3			2			(Sean Vincent testimony -) Protestant Thornton
	:43:20		37:00			read a description of results.
	:44:20		37:40			length of aquifer test
	:45:20		37:00			End of Thornton questioning
	:45:40		38:01			@ Protestant Smith questioning
	:46:18		38:25			Doesn't know if anyone knows about recharge. H1 indicates recharge is robust.
	47:10		39:60			stresses the aquifer w/ fully penetrating well, pumping rate, double rate may provide more meaningful data
	:48:00		39:50			faulting
	:49:20		39:45			McVay memo re faulting - no info in memo re faulting.
	:50:00		39:70			Why he divided wells into 2 groups - magnitude of fluctuations.
	:51:35		40:00			Big catch well - conflicting info - if 180' then not completed in the PESA
	:52:30		40:70			SRV 1 - test not long enough, high enough rate to provide good info shallow faults
	:53:20		41:00			would like test across fault plain
	:54:40		41:60			Exh 43, p. 9 - Bowman - Emmett wells - at 2 locations - conclusion
	:55:10		41:85			no connection between PESA & PESA
	:56:50		42:60			900 gpm ~ 2cfs
	:57:44		43:00			Smith -
	:58:06		43:21			Break @ 3:30 pm
	58:10		43:25			Back after break
	58:45		43:51			Smith - recharge mechanisms

5/20/09

DIGITAL TRACK #	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		TAPE #	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO		FROM	TO	
3			2			(Sean Vincent testimony - Protestant smuts - cont)
	1:00:40	44:30				language sustainability of aquifer under M3 proposal - doesn't know
	1:01:20	44:60				some evidence that water was more limited than M3 proposal, but inconclusive. Exh 50, p. 6
	1:03:55	45:10				Exh 50, p. 6 - 2nd paragraph - subjective - difficult to establish where PSA begins. Easier to identify bottom of aquifer w/ geophysics
	1:06:10	46:68				p. 4 seismic survey - unsuccessful more seismic planned this summer
	1:07:05	47:10				no flow barrier between willow check aquifer & PSA. Exh 4, Fig. 3
	1:11:33	48:18				Exh 2, Fig. 5
	1:12:22	48:57				Exh 2, Fig. 6 whether PSA can flow into willow (see (a)) the fault - yes per this Fig. 6 flow - Panette R (S), direction, p. 7
	1:15:40	51:05				Why did tour start and flow to Panette is unconvincing - lack of control points, not impossible.
	1:16:30	51:50				conceptual model King well test
	1:17:04	51:75				fault identified by Dr. Wang have effect on other wells - fluctuation different on different sides of fault - no effects seen across fault
	1:20:25	53:40				Exh 50, p. 8

5/28/09

DIGITAL TRACK #	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		TAPE #	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO		FROM	TO	
3			2			(Sean Vincent testimony - Protestant Smith cont.)
	1:24:30	54:00				SUR 7 test & Big Gulch p.9, Exh 50 (paragraph 233 SWL monitoring - defer to Dawson on all water level conclusions.
	1:26:45	56:50				H1 & no flow boundary Exh 50, p.10 Orib plan was to install high capacity wells to provide data at high pumping rate - art draw - TW1 - GW of fault, SUR 7 test
	1:27:40	57:00				cone of depression - no flow barrier
	1:31:10	58:45				increase in drawdown when cone encounters no flow zone
	1:32:30	59:55				evidence of a bounded aquifer
	1:33:01	59:00				why H1 says no water level decline
	1:33:50	1:00:30				can these pump tests provide confidence about pumping w/ high capacity wells & sustainability of aquifer.
	1:37:55	1:02:50				p12, Exh 50 ... 16 well test
3	*		Tape 3 *		→	<u>changed tape</u>
	1:41:20	- :40				effect bounded aquifer
	1:45:20	1:42				ancestral water p13 - narrative re isotopic data available - may be able to indicate modern recharge sources
	1:50:30	3:40				p12 - tower staff opinion re long-term sustainability
	1:53:40	4:30				recharge
	1:55:10	4:00				ancestral water p.10

5/28/09 # 5/29/09

DIGITAL TRACK #	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		TAPE #	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO		FROM	TO	
3			3			(seen Vinograd testimony, Protestant Church cont)
	1:57:10	5:35				findings of Gelanzmen's source how IOW's recharge would take, IDWR concerned that numerical model not a good tool to use to accurately predict hydrologic impacts.
	1:57:50	5:50				
	1:59:15	5:40				
		8:11				
End of testimony on 5/28/09						
Beginning of testimony on 5/29/09						
5			3			
:11		8:11				Cory S reads his decision on Protestan's motion on reconsideration re motion to DISMISS
<p>Dulink m3 can seek municipal WR, anticipated by statute. Cory not sure that m3 was actually bid as municipal provider but can do that as part of the application process. Parties will fully brief it.</p>						
-:8:40		10:05				Friday responds
-10:31		11:40				Protestant Church
-11:24		11:70				Cory S. - decision will be part of final decision

5/29/09

DIGITAL TRACK #	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		TAPE #	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO		FROM	TO	
5			3			
-:13:16			12:25			Gary S. portion of review of Gary's decision on reconsideration by the Director by Protestant Smith
-:15:40			12:46			
-:17:01			13:50			Gary Vincent testimony - questioned by Protestant Smith - cont
:19:50			14:05			EDWR prediction of drawdown > 50 years of pumping
:19:40			14:38			p. 21, narrative. This proportional to rate of pumping
:20:50			14:65			Gary not sure 50 year calculation is more reliable than 1 year calc. hypothetical @ 23 cfs - obj sustains hypotheticals - average pumping rate more reliable (value of what is happening in a well). effect on nearby wells
:21:55			15:06			End of Gary Friday regarding Vincent source of recharge - (Lanzetta) conclusion that source is Boise K. - Vincent again
:22:31			15:24			
:25:10			16:09			Exh 45, Fig 5 - comparing water level responses TWT & TWT discharges with conclusion re old time
26:03			16:40			Exh 52 - Beto software - corrects for barometric pressure. p. 220 - boundary in package. drawdown not similar w/ barometric ↓ FIG 81
:26:20			16:48			
:27:10			16:60			
:27:50			16:90			
:30:15			18:03			
:35:10			19:40			

5/29/09

DIGITAL TRACK #	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		TAPE #	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO		FROM	TO	
5			3			(Clean Vincent testimony Friday)
	1:37:30		20:20			kinetics drawdown in 1st few minutes - he would not have felt it was way
	1:39:50		20:60			late data > 10 minutes - no barrier in aquifer.
	39:39		20:10			Fig by Cooper Jacob analysis plot of drawdown v. 100 ft
	41:14		21:49			Cooper Jacob is used to establish boundary
	42:10		21:00			End of Friday discussion
	42:10	43:20	21:00	22:20		Morton questioning - geology, etc...
	43:20	45:40	22:20	23:04		Smith questioning - geochemistry
						End of Clean Vincent testimony.
			23:04	24:29		- machine didn't turn off.
	45:51		24:29			Dr. Ralston testimony sworn in
	46:40		24:50			Morton examination
	46:40	48:50	24:50	27:10		Ralston qualifications
	48:50		27:10			Exh 47 - conceptual model - definition & description of how model is developed
	51:39		29:22			numerical model next step to fit conceptual model
	1:00:20		29:40			M3 conceptual model
	1:02:25		30:15			H1 2007 report re P65A
	1:02:50		30:40			Exh 47 FIG 1 or 3 - P65A contours on horizon of P65A recharge areas
	1:05:20		31:30			deposition environment - research & opinion. FIG 1 & FIG 2 - couldn't find P65A is laterally extensive to Panel R.
	1:06:30		31:00			
	1:09:00		32:10			W. Boice table fault - will establish that this is a P65A boundary

5/29/09

DIGITAL TRACK #	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		TAPE #	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO		FROM	TO	
5			3			(Dr. Karkhan testimony - Morton ex direct exam cont)
1:10:08			33:18			analysis of low flow system - built upon conceptual model, identifies recharge & controls of recharge & discharge.
1:11:40			33:40			water balance - what happens when well is drilled.
1:17:50			36:20			m3 low flow system - his understanding FIG 3 & 4 - water level 2006 contour map FIG 4 - water level contours (HL) 2007 data - some normal change in interpretation.
1:23:20			38:40			FIG 9 & his opinion re m3/HLI flow system. south fit - OK. no basis for contours that show flow to north. He concluded HLI conclusion re to PMSA could not be supported by data.
1:27:10			39:40			Could not determine extent of PMSA no compelling evidence that PMSA extended to PMSA so had serious problems w/ m3/HLI numerical model
1:29:30			41:00			use of numerical models
1:30:30			41:05			m3/HLI numerical model - used models correctly. Have to select boundaries even if poorly understood. multiple level model - did a good job calibration process m3/HLI.
1:33:40			42:15			started by state → non steady state - introduces storage. He believes HLI conceptual model is closed
1:34:40			43:15			

5/29/04

DIGITAL TRACK #	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		TAPE #	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO		FROM	TO	
5			3			(Dr. Ralston testimony - Thornton exam)
1:35:05			43:35			Calibration doesn't represent field data.
1:35:40			43:65			data says PWSA heterogeneous
1:36:30			44:00			Big Gulch stock well. Opinion of the man vield walls & sustainability. doesn't know if Big Gulch completed in PWSA.
1:38:30	1:40:52		44:05	45:40		Final conclusions - insufficient evidence in conceptual model & then numerical model - PWSA doesn't extend to Pampk so problem w/ numerical model predictions. Lack of understanding re wear & tear hydraulics.
1:40:52			45:46			Break 10:55 - 11:10
1:40:52			45:46			Thornton - exhibit of Ralston's notes
1:44:10			47:43			Friday cross exam of Ralston HLI - very good job of data collection but interpretation was not well-founded.
1:45:00			48:00			Issues: connection to Pampk, water level conditions, the behavior & discharge mechanisms.
1:45:00			48:00			insufficient evidence.
1:46:30			48:51			Size of lake -- Lake Tahoe
1:48:30			49:45			extended to Glenns Ferry & Pampk opinion of HLI procedure re conceptual model
1:49:15			49:10			experience in St. JO & St. Marys walls, scope of work, conceptual model, standards he used, numerical models & their brain devices
1:54:5			52:45			his concerns re his models

5/29/09

DIGITAL TRACK #	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		TAPE #	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO		FROM	TO	
5			3			(Dr. Palston testimony - Friday cross) cont
	1:56:00		52:70			Exh 45 - didn't blue well logs, etc. much weight
	1:58:00		54:00			borehole geophysical logs & interpretation. He would not have interpreted same as Dr. Wood - considerable leap.
	2:01:50		55:00			Friday questions re Palston's drawings, aquifer characteristics, recharge, wells.
	2:04:50		57:40			PBSA recharge points - suspects there are recharge pts @ Powell R. but he has not seen evidence.
	2:05:50		57:50			water budget, flow towards Perrine R.
	2:06:50		58:50			TVHP - familiar with this
	2:08:25		59:35			Fig 9, Exh 47 & TVHP
	2:14:00		62:40			FLOW NET
			TAPE #4			
6			4			lunch
	-:06		28			Friday → Dr. Palston Exh 47, Fig 9 - no flow boundary 2575' contour rt. angle to W. Base Earth fault
	3:45		1:32			transmissivity calculation Exh 12, map i-1 - no other no flow boundary → Fig 9. map i-1 = transmissivity calculation - he has a question - 2 sets of calculations he raised a question about using partial penetration data.

5/29/09

DIGITAL TRACK #	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		TAPE #	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO		FROM	TO	
6			4			(Forebay cross of Dr. Folsom cont.)
:7:35			2:40			calculating transmissivity result of equations. Aquifer thickness not in equation for transmissivity. Active.
9:20			3:00			transmissivity values south of m3 - relatively high values
10:30			3:29			model boundary Exh 16. cover
12:10			3:78			FIG 6 - model domain - model boundaries depend on purpose of model. doesn't know if model includes all recharge pts - if does - boundaries are reasonable.
:15:00			4:50			m3 model used JHP values - reasonable
19:30			5:00			SE boundary m3 model - predicted drawdown ↑
20:30			6:26			Dr. Woods - Exh 19 B - Lake Idaho FIG. 2 →, Exh 45 - geophysical logs, HLI aquifer tests
:26:26			8:05			aquifer tests - Exh 12 - Kling test re-analysis of 16 wells - FIG 84 - drawdown plot; FIG 85 - recovery - no boundary seen.
:28:53			9:79			Exh 45 - FIG 86 - COOPER JACOBS - looks like greater drawdown late time than this predicts... could conclude a negative boundary FIG 7 recovery - FIG 84
:37:47			11:55			Exh 44 - same aquifer
44:44	50:15		13:75	15:52		Exh 45, FIG 5 - measurements to establish long-term trends - complicated

BREAK

5/29/09

Dig Track	Digital location	Type #	Type	NOTES
6	-:50:12	1559	4	location
	-:50:39	1561		2 PM break
	54:11	1845		Ralston contour map
	54:12	1846		End Of Fereday cross
	1:01:30	1920		Smith at cross of Ralston
	1:01:50	2136		Exh 50, p.20
	1:10:45	2238		Exh 49 - Summary (1/2009)
	1:15:15	2380		Exh 46 - 11/26 memo
	1:16:20	24:30		Exh 47, P13
	1:18:35	2517		Exh 46 P5
	1:20:47	2588		Exh 49, p2 long form sustain...
	1:21:00	2600		End of Smith
	1:43:10	3423		Thornton re-direct
	1:44:46	3484		Thornton asks that Ralston be recognized as aspect in hydrogeology but not in geology
	1:44:50-	3480-3502		Clark S. recognized as aspect
	1:45:07	3502		Thornton ends cross
	1:45:07	3502		Break 3:15
	2:00:30	4125		Fereday - re cross
	2:00:30	4125-4169		End of Fereday
	2:01:45	4169		Smith re direct
	2:02:35	4210		Clark S. questions
	Full card	4540		Exh 16 - numerical map (M3)
(D.207)		4640-5008		Full of card

(over)

5022

THURSDAY - RALSTON

5106-5330

FRIDAY - RALSTON

5349

END OF TESTIMONY

5/29/09

SCANNED

FEB 05 2010

DIGITAL TRACK #	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		TAPE #	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO		FROM	TO	
						6/5/09 AM
003	00:00:00		1	0051-3908		9:00 - Begin
	to: 33:35:36			total		Dennis Owsley testimony
	time = 1:54:24					Fereday questioning...
	38:20			1187		Thornton begins questions for Dennis.
	58:45			1857		Break 10:00 am
	58:46			1858		Resume - Thornton - continued questions.
	1:42:58			3448		off record & back on - Continue - Thornton
	1:54:24			3908		Nothing further - any parties
004	1:54:24 00:00		1	3909		New - Mike McVay testimony. Data & aquifer studies... High levels in wells, testing. - Fereday first. ↑
						Thornton questions - similar # of wells & levels.
						Smith - no questions.
	33:10:14			5021		Stopped - McVay
	25:20					
005	00:00:00			5022		Bill Rawton - Public interest concern of large development...
						Fereday asks questions - closeness of wells etc...
	32:56:41			5681		Stop - finished
	time 13:31					lunch break

DIGITAL TRACK #	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		TAPE #	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO		FROM	TO	
						6/5/09 PM
006	00:00:00		2	0022-10316		1:15 resume Goshn Thornton for protestants. Possibly evidence does - already in place w/ elsur No need to re-submit
				total		
						calls Bar Bill Brownlee to stand, discuss 5 phases of development. By Thornton.
	59:27			1856		Break 2:15
	59:28			1857		resume - Thornton/Brownlee focus on financial issues. lots of questions regarding money nature.
	1:34:57			3112		3:00 Break
	1:34:58			3113		resume - Thornton needs to base foundation + narrow questions ... Continued - Dallas Pension questions.
	2:30:05			5475		4:10 Break
	2:30:06			5476		resume - Smith - Questions about public water use etc. Conditional phasing. Friday begins.
5	2:35:00?					

DIGITAL TRACK #	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		TAPE #	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO		FROM	TO	
2	00 -		1	00 -		Protestants Alan Smith Calls Jason Smith as witness examine objection overruled objection - not expert overruled
	95			1:48		
	145			3:30		
				700		411 received into evidence
	305			1100		414 received into evidence
	420			1430		object to facts already into evidence - overrule for now
	497			1531		object to Mr Smith making comparison to United Water per capita #'s. - sustained
	675			2130		continue objection
	694			2200		Mike Lawrence asking questions to clarify
	785			2500		M3 still objects to document Comparing M3 uses to United Water
	943			3015		object to #'s
	986 - 1000			3133 - 3200		Necess
	1000 - 1136			3200 - 3625		exhibit 427 + 428 introduced United Water data from website
						object to x-428 & hand written notes. will not be admitted into evidence
	11:36			3625		object again to data on overhead proposed exhibit mistakes # from Application & # of household - sustained for now but need foundation for #'s
	1340			4300		continued objection - Gary will allow information

DIGITAL TRACK #	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		TAPE #	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO		FROM	TO	
2	15:14:00		1	1451		offer 413 into evidence + with corrections made
	5235			1652		object to rereading info already submitted into evidence - overruled
	5440			1718		object again - overruled
	5635			1785		object to discussion of dry wells + map on wall
	1:00:52			1925		preliminary warning - overruled object to show dry wells were identified + are they relevant to M3 application. sustained in part.
	1:05:13			2075		off record 417 + 418 into evidence
						objection to both pieces of evidence already in evidence as part of exhibit 42 already submitted receive into evidence w handwritten notes struck.
	1:14:00			2375		objection to chart - overruled on monitoring well
	1:17:00			2481		object to Mr Smith's "expertise" on wells - overruled allow some ^{testimony} research done by Jason Smith.
	1:20:20			2596		objection to hearsay on neighbors wells needed to be replaced.
	1:22:40			2682		objection to statement of effects of non-pumping wells o

DIGITAL TRACK #	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		TAPE #	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO		FROM	TO	
2	1:30:00		1	2953		objection to 2005 well he
	1:31:35			3006		was referenced - sustained objection to map of dots & whether all orange colored d
					are wells that	have been replaced
	1:32:57			3000		old record to find
				3000		document # 282 or 221 or 239
				3095		exhibit 282 - introduced
	1:33:40					object to origins of map
	1:35:00			3142		on Page 1 of 282 - sustain
						object to term dry wells
						on map & foundation
						sustain
						offer of proof accepted
						# 421 - bar graph not
						allowed needs foundational
						information
	1:39:32			3308		object to use exhibit as part
						of NAGMA testimony - OR
	1:41:00			3385		objection nothing noted to
						replacement - overruled
	1:42:05			3445		object - hearsay on William
						George well - sustained
	1:49:55			3715		object to well log &
						"replacement" being circled -
						sustained to characterization.
						- Kuelman?
						Roberts - Charles & Jay replacement
						Robert & Jones - other - old 8"
						Larry Christensen
	1:54:00			3890		doesn't say replacement
						Kuhler
						Bob Wood
				4040		Bill Lawton

DIGITAL TRACK #	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		TAPE #	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO		FROM	TO	
2	1:58:00		1	4064		Stiner Cord Hanson
	2:00			4130		Gary asked what was written on orange dots on Map Josh or Jennifer Harman Milan or Joyce Jackson Black Horse Construction - Hanley plc Robert Steele
	2:05			4367		offer 282 offer as per. object to map general representation of location of well received.
	2:10:85			4560		object to statement of lower of pump - sustained
	2:12			4670		object - cannot give expert testimony about well going dry. object to term dry well - sustained no basis that static water level is indication well won't dry
	2:15:00			4797		object to handwritten notes "dry - shallow" hand written note stricken
	33:12:29			4911		Break for lunch
3	00:00		1	04911		Robert Nichols - public testimony Thornton NAGWA no questions Allen Smith no questions Mr. Edwards - no questions
						Mr Lawrence - examine
4	00:00		1	5500		Barb Setell - opposition Thornton - few questions
	12:12:20			6628		referenced Exhibit 12

DIGITAL TRACK #	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		TAPE #	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO		FROM	TO	
4			1			Barb Jekell - narrative testimony Thornton - examine
	14:55			0273		objection - sustained objection - hearsay - overruled
	16:			0355		No Questions Smith or Edward
4	14:57		2	0378		Changed tapes &
	16:57			0000		Mr Lawrence examine
5	00:00		2	08		Jean Langdon - testify - favors M3 development
						Mr. Lawrence examine no questions
						Thornton - no questions
						Smith - few questions
						objection - on well deepened
				225		Edwards no questions
6	00:00		2	200 226		Gregory Taylor - opposed to Mr Thornton - no questions
						Mr Smith - few questions
						depth of well - letter from IDWA
						Mr Edwards - no questions
	5:39			-10:41		Mr Lawrence - few questions
7	00:00		2	10:41		Charles Roberts - testimony opposed to M3 application
						Thornton - couple questions
						Smith - one question
						Edwards - no questions
						Mr Lawrence - what aquifer wells are drawing from?
8	835		2	-790		Scott Nordstrom - support of M3 application
	00:00			800		Mr. Lawrence - questions
						Thornton - questions
8	900		2	10:05		Smith - no questions
	1825			1370		Edward - no questions

M3 hearing

6-23-09

DIGITAL TRACK #	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		TAPE #	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO		FROM	TO	
8			2	1385		Gary ask questions of Scott Nordstrom
	20:30			1435		no further questions.
9	00:00		2	1455		Stanley Bastian favors M3 application
9	16:40 16:40		2	16:40		Mr. Lawrence - questions
	17:13 17:13			16:58		Thornton - questions
	1443			1936		Smith - questions
	1540			1952		Edwards - objection question asked + answered. overruled
				↑		objections of question on
				2000		Wells gone dry - overruled
	1700			2011		Edwards - no questions
10	0000		2	2052		David Koeppen - in favor of M3 application likes "planned community"
	059			2287		Mr. Lawrence - no questions
	7:15			2296		Mr. Thornton - questions
	1309			2500		Smith - question # of wells
	1351			2526		Edwards - no questions
11	0000		2	2555		Patricia Minkiewicz - opposes M3 application.
	15:49			3100		Thornton - no questions
	3100			15:49		Smith - no questions
	1606			1606		Edwards - no "
11	3125 1615		2	3625		Lawrence - " "
	1740			37:00		object to pamphlet from Az. Dept Water Resources
				3265		Break
12	00:00		2	3265		Resume questioning of Jason Smith
	4:20			3430		object to lowering of pump - overrule in interest of time
	520			3470		object to saying static

DIGITAL TRACK #	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		TAPE #	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO		FROM	TO	
2	00:00:00		1	49 to		9:15am start time
						Jason Smith witness for protesters - examine by Alan Smith
	15:00			490		Gary asked for clarification introduce evidence exhibit 429 421 & 424
						Mr Lawrence objects to wording of "dry wells"
	19:20			620		received into evidence w/ qualifications & whether they are replacement of dry wells.
	20:45			660		strike "dry wells" from exhibits
	23:19			740		redirect by Alan Smith
	29:30			9:31		object to witness providing expert testimony sustained
						2 nd objection - sustain
	30:50			9:45		refer to 42 (exhibit) figure 5
	32:45			10:20		objection - attempting to give expert testimony - hold off on ruling
	34:15			1075		objection - not an expert sustained
3	00:00			1100		Mr Thornton questions Jason Smith
	7:25			1330		objection - overruled
	10:00			1415		introduce exhibit 867
	11:25			1445		objection - to overruled for purpose of identification
	15:35 1345			1535		objection witness is not expert - overruled
	15:20			1589		object to exhibit 867 can't connect Mr Taylor's well with supposed original well.

M3 Hearing

7-9-09

DIGITAL TRACK #	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		TAPE #	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO		FROM	TO	
3	17:00		1	16:42		discussion of hearsay & availability of documents when Mr. Taylor was testifying allow exhibit 867 into record exhibit 217 object to excerpt of doc. would like entire document.
				17:00		
	20:00			17:51		
	34:31:31	left		18:00		
4				19:03		Break x examine by Mr. Lawrence (redirect) object to question asked & answered - overruled objection - overruled - question asked & answered (Dennis Olwsley measurements) end of questioning by Mr. Lawrence.
	31:20			28:95		
	36:45			30:98		
	00:00			31:42		
5	02:35			32:35		Mr. Sorgy testify (narrative) Mr Thornton - x examine Mr Smith - no questions Mr. Lawrence - question Mr. Sorgy end of questioning
	4:11			33:75		
	8:45			34:74		
	9:00			35:10		
6						motion to dismiss - based on applicant unable to provide financial statement or commitment by Alan Smith Mr. Fernday - responds to motion & statutes Mr Smith - rebuttal Gary talks on motion - refuse to dismiss application denied motion to dismiss
	4:45			37:10		
	6:00			37:45		
	1:00			38:01		

DIGITAL TRACK #	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		TAPE #	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO		FROM	TO	
			1	39:10		record shows objection -
7	0:0			39:85		rebuttal witness called by Mr. Fereday - Dr. Wood
7	2:14			40:48		object to witness if he is impeaching other testimony overruled
8	0		40:44	40:44		questioning by Mr. Thornton Break for lunch
9	0					Rob Whitney - rebuttal witness - Mr. Smith objection - doesn't isn't held on witness list. overruled
9	16:25		1	57:41		continued objection on so-called "expert" testimony
9	22:20			60:45		objection - leading witness overruled
9	29:30		2	00:00		replaced tape
10	0		2	3:80		Mr Thornton question Rob Whitney
10	14:16		2	7:95		Fereday objects to questioning on overruled
11	0		2	10:04		Mr. Smith questions Rob Whitney
11	4:24		2	11:40		refer to sources (not this to this) - Gary -
	12:50			14:08		Fereday objects to questions overruled moving further from river + water levels.
11	14:57		2	14:75		Fereday objection on staff memo
	17:46			15:65		further object to questioning on staff memo - Fereday overruled
	20:03		2	16:40		Gary revisits objection - <u>sustained</u>
12			0	16:13		end of questioning to Rob gary addresses "expert" witnesses



DIGITAL TRACK #	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		TAPE #	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO		FROM	TO	
12			2			and misunderstanding of calling these witnesses.
12	31:39	32:11	2	19:10		Break
13	00:00:00		2			Dr WOOD resume ans witness questioning by Mr. Thornton.
13	45:10		2	35:36		Gary interrupted and needs to know where the questioning is going.
13	47:55		2	36:40		Fereday objection about line of questioning. Gary let Thornton continue.
	53:11			38:59		Fereday objects - show how it relates to continuity - overruled for now.
13			2	40:45		
14			2	40:45		Mr Smith questioned Dr Wood
14	23		2	41:08		Fereday object - Mischaracterization of Squires report - Payette Valley rephrased questioned
15	00:00:00		2	41:15		redirect by Mr. Fereday of Dr. Wood
	02:40			42:05		Mr. Smith object - Overruled
16	00:00:00		2	43:10		redirect Mr. Thornton of Dr. Wood
	5:30			45:50		Fereday - objects to
17			2	45:13		rebuttal witness - Ed Squires
	10:26			50:45		introduce exhibit 72 & 73
	26:52			58:57		break so protestants can review. Smith objects that Squires never visited the Taylor Well. can't say if it was or was not dry. overruled for now. Mr. Fereday needs to lay foundation.
17	36:30		3	63:		replace tape continue Mr Fereday questioning of Mr Squires

M3 Eagle Hearing 7/21/09

7/21/09

DIGITAL TRACK #	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		TAPE #	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO		FROM	TO	
01	0-		3	0029		Ed Squires Alan Smith - Motion to strike testimony of Rob. Whitney.
	- 4:50			0165		Gary's Ruling on Mo. - Denied.
	5:25			0183		Ed Squires testimony (rebuttal) re: Protestants' wells (Questioned by Fereday)
	18:00			535		Exh. 75 - Review.
	24:32			796		Intro Exh. 76 - New exh. introduced - (Cross-sections of Protestants' wells) & IDWR data - produced by E. Squires for (App.))
	28:49			925		Tab 4 - discussion -
				10:89		allows Exh. into evidence.
	58:56			18:99		Smith Mo. to limit or object to exhibit.
	1:03:-			20:40		Exh. 17 - review -
	1:10			24:57		Exh. 77 - submitted & reviewed - Offered - Received into evidence (Admitted)
						Restart - Continuation of E. Squires rebuttal by J. Fereday -
						Exh. 45 - review
				36:99		Royette Rink flow & well interference to the PGSA - staff & Ralston tests
	1:56:36			40:24		Review of Exh. 18
	1:59:13			41:67		" (previously offered)
	2:2:36			42:98		" " " 51 - Received into evidence
	2:11:46			46:99		Introduced Exh. 78 - Columbia Test Well data - Exhibit received into evidence -
	end of 1			51:78		Lunch break
02	0:00			56:00		Resume testimony of E. Squires - rebuttal by J. Fereday.
	0:10:00			3:00		Exh. 79 introduced & received into evidence re: SVR #7 End-of-Test Detailed Plot showing IDWR "Missing Data".

1/21/09 (cont.)

DIGITAL TRACK #	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		TAPE #	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO		FROM	TO	
2	47:49		2	14:05		Exh. 80 - offered. Plots of data re: draw-down/recovery of the Kling Irrigation well & the Big Gulch ^{all} aquifer testing.
	57:46			17:33		Exh. 81 - offered - End of individual recovery plots for the Big Gulch aquifer test.
	1:01:55		2	18:75		Smith objects to line of questioning. Objection over ruled & exhibits 80 & 81 admitted into evidence.
	1:18:30			24:39		Break not —
				24:48		Resumption of Squires rebuttal testimony re: reasonably anticipated future needs for a municipal provider.
						Offer Exh. 82 - email transmission ^{of E. Squires email to IDEQ re: of Dept. Staff (S. Keen) to TOTA} re: Public Water Supply for M3. (Smith rebuttal ^{objects} M3 not a mun. prov.)
	1:28:10			27:89		H.O. rules on exhibit's offer into evidence, & Smith's objection to evidence. Exh. 82 received into evidence.
	1:33:47			29:99		End of rebuttal testimony for E. Squires.
	1:38:40			31:90		H.O. addresses rebuttal testimony of Squires & the opportunity for the proffers to call department witnesses.
	1:40:00			33:20		NACGWA's (Thornton) response & begins rebuttal questions of Squires.
	1:47:00			34:54 35:26		Thornton continues with cross-rebuttal of Squires.

7/21/09 (cont.) & 7/22/09 (resumption)

DIGITAL TRACK #	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		TAPE #	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO		FROM	TO	
2	2:27:05		2	52:51		Thornton continues w/cross rebuttal ask exhibit of Wall-log be admitted into evidence.
				5:		Exh. 868 - Admitted
2			3			Exh. 77 - review
						" 867 - "
						" 16 - "
						" 50 - "
				4:67		Friday objects relevance of rebuttal text. - overruled
	2:55:22			5:30		End of Thornton's cross-rebuttal - Mr. Smith begins cross-rebuttal
						Exh. 72 - review
				6:50		Friday objects for no foundation
				7:00		- Sustained
				7:01		Smith continues - Exh. 50, #45 & 76
						review -
	3:11:00			10:05		Friday objects to reference of exhibit - ref. to Exh. 76.
			7/22/09			Resumption of Hearing
	0:00:29			11:90		Mr. Smith resumes questioning Ed Squires - & continue review of Exh. 76, tab 3.
				13:06		Friday objects - to how questioning is phrased. - question rephrased -
				14:80		Exhibit 730 - introduced
						Friday objects to exhibit because of no foundation, etc.
						N.O. rule that exhibit is received, but contains little value -
				16:05		W. Edwards cross-examines witness

02/09 (cont.)

DIGITAL TRACK #	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		TAPE #	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO		FROM	TO	
						Edwards introduced exhibits 431 & 432.
						Exhibits were received into record.
	0:20:20			18:40		- Public witness End of Squires testimony
2	0:0:00					Public witness Jack Van Wyk
	0:8:58			21:78		Protestant's examine witness Docs submitted during public testimony request to be admitted
	0:20:00			25:69		Public witness steps down - ^(Admitted as Exh.)
3				26:30		Break - Dr. John Osienky - appl.'s witness
						at testimony begins
				27:80		Ms. Smith objects to line of questioning establishing expert status. H.O. rules Dr. Osienky is an expert in hydrogeology & water modeling - Testimony related to HLI's 9 day aquifer test
	0:23:40 -			62:88		end of tape 3 - Continuation of testimony testimony of Dr. Osienky
4			4	2:55		Protestant's ^(NACSWA) cross examine Osienky
	0:39:40			14:77		" (Smith) " - "
				18:56		Lunch break - End of Osienky's test.
5	0:00:00			"		
	1:09			18:92		Sean Vincent - rebuttal
	0:13:31			23:16		end of testimony & discussion about examining staff for rebuttal.
	0:16:19			24:15		Protestant's (NACSWA) (all) - no questions -
	0:16:50			24:38		Freeday's examination of Vincent
				26:30		Sean Vincent concludes

7/22/09 (cont.)

DIGITAL TRACK #	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		TAPE #	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO		FROM	TO	
5	0:22:37		4	26:43		Dwrsley's sur-rebuttal testimony submitted Exhs. 909, 910 & 911 received into the record
	0:41:31			33:48		Protestants exam. of Dwrsley
	0:44:40			34:68		Applicant's " "
	0:58:30			40:61		Dwrsley finished - Called Mike McVay for opportunity to respond give testimony or surrebuttal.
				41:25		McVay called for sur-rebuttal by Protestant (NACBWA)
	1:05:35			43:59		Friday's cross exam. of McVay.
	1:06:28			43:96		Redirect - Smith
						Recross - None -
6	0:00 -			44:90		Reagent BA WA WA (Applicant) App. called Carter ^{Froelich} Froelich recognized as a Bond Financing expert in Land Development.
	13:00			50:58		7/21/09 letter offered to witness & parties. Letter offered as Exhibit 83 - Exhibit 83 rec'd into evidence.
				52:88		Exam. of Mr. ^{Froelich} Froelich by H.O.
	18:55			53:39		Close of hearing for 7/22/09; Resume on 7/30/09.

DIGITAL TRACK #	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		TAPE #	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO		FROM	TO	
1	0:00:00		1	1:7		7/30/09 Reconvene hearing -
						Friday's stmt. re: Applicant's financial capability -
	0:03:01			1:98		Response from Protestants
	0:12:22			4:80		Mr. Froelich's cont. testimony
						Exh. 84 - D. P. & Fire Fin. Stmt.
						85 - M3 Fin. Stmt.
	15:55			5:87		Protestants object to exhibits
				7:85		H.O. Response re: admittance of exhibits - Exh. 85 admitted
	25:40			8:82		Protestant's object. of Exh. 84
	29:18			9:97		Resume exam. of C. Froelich
	30:58			10:48		Protestant's cross exam -
	44:12			14:65		Introduced I.D. Statutes to witness
						was reference (not an exh.)
	1:27:07			29:46		Break - Protestants resume
	1:36:35			33:00		CDK letter reviewed by protestants & Applicant's introduce as Exh. 87.
	1:46:02			36:57		Smith cross-exam. -
	1:53:25			39:64		Friday - redirect -
	end			44:37		End of Froelich test.
(2)				44:51		Brownlee ^{redirect} testimony & introduce
				"		Exh. 86. -
				45:60		Protestants resp. re: exhibit's admittance into record - Smith objects.
	0:03:36			46:30		H.O.'s response to objection - rec'd Exh. 86 into evidence.
						Brownlee's testimony continues
	33:80			end		Intro. of Exh. 88 -
	37:04		2	00:06		Protestant's object. to exh. -
				1:21		Exh. admitted. & Protestants cross -

DIGITAL TRACK #	DIGITAL LOCATION (HRS-MIN-SEC)		TAPE #	TAPE LOCATION		NOTES
	FROM	TO		FROM	TO	
2	54:35		2	6:42		Lunch break (end of part 2)
3	0:00:08			6:61		Bill Browlee cross continued
	22:46			13:68		Exh. 54 review
	36:21			18:12		NACGWA concludes cross -
	39:32			19:22		No redirect by Friday -
	39:50			19:30		Appl.'s have no further witnesses
	41:00			19:70		Surrebuttal by Protestant ^{discussions}
4	0:00			20:40		Surrebuttal of Dennis Oursley
	4:50			21:96		Break - surrebuttal continued
	13:27			24:96		No further question by Protestant & Appl.
5	0:08			25:19		S. Vincent called for surrebuttal.
						Protestant NACGWA begins
						Protestant's conclude -
	12:22			29:61		Mr. Friday - Cross surrebuttal
				29:70		no questions
	12:40			29:76		Further witnesses - none -
						NACGWA's closing argument request - Friday's response to closing & request for briefing -
	15:00 -			30:50		Smith Motion re: financial info. (to Dismiss) renew. H.O. Denies Motion.
	16:57			31:36		Friday's closing ^{stmt.} argument for Applicant -
	38:48			40:02		NACGWA's closing stmt.
	1:11:40			54:08		Mr. Smith's closing stmt.
			3	0:00		" " " " continue
	1:36:20			2:44		Mr. Edwards closing stmt.
	1:38:00			2:68		Briefing schedule/discussion
6.	"			"		Close of hearing & record.