

Craig,

Some items to look at on the draft license for 67-07479.

- ✓ ① No name connectors (and, or, and/or) with the owner. Also, for brevity, I recommend compressing the two identical addresses into one.
* Be sure to complete the data entry in the database.
- ✓ ② Cond. no. 1 should be replaced by the standard R05. ~~Was it incomplete other than that?~~
- ✓ ③ Cond. no 2 is for permits. Use condition R43 for licenses since the installation must already have occurred. (If the devices are not installed, give 30 days to get them installed or void the permit.)
- ✓ ④ Let's add condition 087 to go with 086 (no. 5).
- ✓ ⑤ Monica's memo mentions an overlap with 67-7420, but it is not addressed in conditions.
- ✓ ⑥ Monica's memo should include an evaluation of the ownership change. It is not clear how the right got into the names of the 3 individuals listed.

(7) Recommendation 67-475 has a combined diversion rate limit that lists this right. It seems to allow more than .02 sps per acre. Let's be sure conditions 4+7 do not conflict with the SPBA recommendation.

Helly

P.S. - Review memos like Monicas should always go on the prongs on the right side of the file.

Why spread sheet
indication would
condition would
not have .02 Cts/ac