

Franklin, Keith

From: Keen, Shelley
Sent: Thursday, February 01, 2007 6:49 AM
To: Franklin, Keith
Cc: Retelle, Erin
Subject: RE: Transfer Application

Keith,

I am usually very reluctant to process an amendment when proof of beneficial use has been submitted. If proof has been submitted, but the exam has not been conducted, then we may be authorizing a change that allows enlargement of the use after the development period has ended. If proof has been submitted and an exam has been conducted, then it's our responsibility to issue a license to confirm exactly what the right is before it's transferred. In this case, however, if the change is simply from irrigation/domestic to municipal without changing the POD or POU, then maybe we can do it with a licensing amendment.

Because the right did not start its life as a municipal use, we will include a volume restriction on the license.

The file is coded to Erin's office. By copy of this email I'll ask her to come talk to me about it her draft license, and we'll get back to you.

Thanks.

Shelley

-----Original Message-----

From: Franklin, Keith
Sent: Wednesday, January 31, 2007 4:44 PM
To: Keen, Shelley
Subject: Transfer Application

Shelley,

I am working on another recent transfer application, regarding water right 98-7750. The owners simply want to change the nature of use from irrigation-domestic to municipal.

In reviewing the history, I found 98-7750 is still in the permit stage. All that appears in Query-Tools is a draft license. If this is the case, can an amendment to the permit suffice, rather than going through the transfer process?

Your thoughts are appreciated.

Keith.