

MEMORANDUM

Date: March 12, 2010
To: Transfer 76356 File
From: Jana Reeder
Re: Evaluation of Sufficiency of Information Submitted

Transfer proposes to change ownership of water right 72-32K and add existing authorized points of diversion from Highline Canal to water rights 72-32H, 72-32J & 72-32K to allow for irrigation of three existing parcels from either point of diversion. The two diversions located approximately 2.1-2.3 mi. north and 0.75 mi. west of the Highway 93 and Garden Creek Road intersection in Challis and one point of diversion (Highline Canal) located approximately 3.8 mi. north and 2.5 mi. west of that same intersection will be used after the transfer. Transfer is simply modifying the points of diversion with no change to the places of use. The two diversions are only 970 feet away from each other with no other diversions located between them. I recommend no advertising due to no hydrologic impact on other rights.

The properties and points of diversion are located in Water District 72A and Water District 170, therefore watermaster comments will be required.

Note, during analysis an overlapping domestic groundwater right (72-10255) was discovered that is associated with the place of use and also in the name of Yacomella.

- **Authority to File:**
Applicants are listed as current owners on IDWR record for rights 72-32H & 72-32J.
A warranty deed and change of ownership was provided with the application for water right 72-32K.
- **Water Right Validity:**
The water rights 72-32H, 72-32J & 72-32K involved with this transfer were decreed in the SRBA and 2004 aerial photos indicates the place of use as being irrigated.
- **Injury to Other Water Rights:**
None anticipated. The two diversions are only 970 feet away from each other with no other diversions located between them.
- **Enlargement of Use:**
None anticipated.
- **Local Public Interest:**
No issues anticipated.
- **Beneficial Use/Conservation of Water Resources:**
Proposed use is recognized as a beneficial use of water.

Review of the application finds there is no clear inconsistency with criteria set forth in Section 42-222 Idaho Code preventing processing of this application.