

Stanton, Jim

From: Stanton, Jim
Sent: Wednesday, January 27, 2010 11:50 AM
To: 'Brian Higgs'
Cc: Stanton, Jim; Peppersack, Jeff
Subject: RE: Sudik, of course

Hey Brian – Our Transfer Processing Memo #24, dated 12-21-09, states that “the supplemental right must have been used on a regular basis (used more than 50 percent of the time). Insufficient data will be grounds to reject the application because the Department will not be able to ascertain if the right will be enlarged.” Based on the data from the 2 wells in Sec. 10, it appears that the application will have to be rejected. I can wait until the call-up date (February 3rd) to initiate the rejection, but that is about it. You did not show any use in 2009, but I presume that all the rain would have made it unnecessary to run the wells; even if Funk did pump last year, it would still not meet the 50% rule.

From: Brian Higgs [mailto:higgs@ida.net]
Sent: Wednesday, January 27, 2010 9:59 AM
To: Stanton, Jim
Subject: Sudik, of course

Hi Jim,

I thought I had a measurement at the Simplot well that the water is being transferred from. However, I don't. We have several site visits. I have attached a spreadsheet with power data since Darrell owner the well. It is obvious that he ran the wells. I can calculate a gpm and a PCC from the pump curves and accessible data if that would be helpful; but according the volume of KWHr I think it is obvious that the well pumped more than the transfer amount. See what you think.

Thank you.

Brian

	11S 20E 10 SWSE	11S 20E 10 NESE
2002	0	0
2003	0	0
2004	26,689	293,920
2005	0	0
2006	0	0
2007	11,554	282,360
2008	0	0