

From: Terry Scanlan [TScanlan@spfwater.com]
Sent: Tuesday, June 01, 2010 4:19 PM
To: Padilla, Cherie
Subject: RE: License Review of City of Boise 63-12461 and 63-12470

Hi Cherie

Oops, I guess I spaced the part about annually reporting the diversion volume. As far as I know, this has not be accomplished. I'll check with the City to determine if they have documentation of diversion volumes. The City did install flow meters on the two wells that were drilled under these permits. However, some of the wells that pump into the integrated system do not have flow meters.

With regard to documentation on Bell Rapids, I guess we didn't worry about it for a couple of reasons. Bell Rapids was originally supplied by two high-lift pump station. The pump station that supplied the original place of use for the 595-acre mitigation portion(02-2268B) of the Bell Rapids water right was destroyed in a landslide in 1987. At about that time, the land went into CRP and has not been irrigated since. Then, in 2005 the State of Idaho bought the remainder of the Bell Rapids water right. To my knowledge, the remainder of the Bell Rapids project area has not been irrigated since that time. Based on this information, I assume that we are OK.

Terry

From: Padilla, Cherie [mailto:Cherie.Padilla@idwr.idaho.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, June 01, 2010 3:04 PM
To: Terry Scanlan
Subject: License Review of City of Boise 63-12461 and 63-12470

Terry,

A field exam was conducted for rights 63-12461 and 63-12470 on 4/20/2010 and I am now working on licensing the rights. A few questions cropped up and wondered if you could help me out in completing the license process. Any assistance that you can offer would be greatly appreciated.

In regard to both rights, there's a condition that requires annual reporting of water diverted. Specifically it states:

The right holder shall install a suitable measuring device or shall enter into an agreement with the Dept to use power records to determine the amount of water diverted and shall annually report the information to the Dept. Annual reporting shall include documentation that Bell Rapids' diversion rate and volume have been reduced and the acreage associated with the right holder's purchased portion of the right has not been irrigated and other expansion of acreage under Bell Rapids' right has not occurred.

I reviewed the field exam and didn't catch where the compliance of this condition was addressed. The Department doesn't appear to have any measurement or power record information, so any information relating to the amount of water diverted and documentation that mitigation took place would be helpful.

The last item I wanted to mention has to do with the POU of both rights. I plan on making the POU a permissible place of use with nearby rights held by the city. The existing permissible place of use is 3320 acres for those nearby rights. I will send out an amendment when I get closer to finishing the license review in order to make the change official.

Again, any help that you can offer would be appreciated. Let me know if you have any questions.

ANALYSIS SHEET FOR PROOF OF BENEFICIAL USE

Permit No.: 63-12461

Reviewed By: Dblock

Date: May 20, 2010

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>		<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
Proof received timely?	X		Drilling permit required?	X	
License examination fee required?		X	Drilling permit No.:	877067-803008	
Appropriate fee submitted?			Drilling permit upgrade needed?		X
Receipt No.:	W037221,		Well driller's report filed?	X	
Additional Amount Due:			Measuring device required?	X	
Name and address same as permit?	X		Measuring device installed?	X	
Are the water uses correct?		X	Measure device waiver requested?		X

Priority Calculation

Date proof received	04/20/2010
Date proof due	- 05/01/2010
Lapse notice sent	-
No. of days late	= 0
Holiday allowance	+
Priority date	+ 07/22/1998
New priority date	= 07/22/1998

Deficiencies of Actions Items

Proof Acceptable.