

Edl, Michele

From: David Shaw [dshaw@erresources.com]
Sent: Tuesday, August 17, 2010 9:42 AM
To: Edl, Michele
Subject: Re: app 63-33400

Thank you Michele,

The PPU is fine and the water bearing zone should be the same as 63-31515, 1 - 15 feet in depth.

Thanks again.
Dave

David B. Shaw

ERO Resources Corporation
Consultants in Natural Resources and the Environment
3314 Grace Street | Boise, ID 83703
208.373.7983 | dshaw@erresources.com | www.erresources.com

----- Original Message -----

From: Edl, Michele
To: dshaw@erresources.com
Sent: Monday, August 16, 2010 1:02 PM
Subject: app 63-33400

Mr. Shaw,

Pam Skaggs and I met with Steve Lester about application for Permit 63-33400 last Thursday, 8/12/10. Steve had found some inconsistency in this application when it was compared to the transfer that it is to replace.

1) You and I had discussed whether to describe the place-of-use for irrigation as a 67-acre place-of-use or, as 67 acres within a 91.7-acre permissible place-of-use (PPU). I believe that we had resolved that issue in favor of a PPU description, and in your March 2, 2010 letter to me, you stated that your client did not object to describing the irrigation place of use as a PPU.

However, in the application for Permit 63-33400, the irrigation place-of-use has been described as 67-acres; no PPU is requested. That makes it inconsistent with the application for transfer and requires that I ask for confirmation of your clients choice.

2) Permit 63-31515 lists the water bearing zone as 1-15 ft. I assumed that that same zone was applicable to 63-33400, however I need a confirmation from you.

Application for permit 63-33400 will not be advertized until these two issues have been clarified. A short email from you which resolves the inconsistency in place-of-use description and corroborates the water bearing zone should be sufficient.

Sincerely,

Michele Edl