

Saxton, Craig

From: Keith C. Wilson [kwilson@rockymountainenvironmental.com]
Sent: Thursday, September 16, 2010 12:42 PM
To: Saxton, Craig
Subject: RE: Henry's Fork Village Water Company - Permit 21-12857 (& 21-7446)

Craig,

It appears that out of the 92 lots, 5 lots do not have a service line to those lots; however, the mainline has fittings so should those need to be connected a service line could be easily connected. Hopefully the department can count those 5 lots as being stubbed-in for a total of 92 lots. The current water system has sufficient diversion capacity for 92 homes. Currently there are 46 homes built on the lots within their service area.

Hopefully this information is sufficient.

Thanks,

Keith C. Wilson
Senior Water Rights Analyst
kwilson@rockymountainenvironmental.com

From: Saxton, Craig [mailto:Craig.Saxton@idwr.idaho.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, September 15, 2010 8:21 AM
To: Keith C. Wilson
Subject: RE: Henry's Fork Village Water Company - Permit 21-12857 (& 21-7446)

No worries Keith. Thanks!

c

From: Keith C. Wilson [mailto:kwilson@rockymountainenvironmental.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2010 4:40 PM
To: Saxton, Craig
Subject: RE: Henry's Fork Village Water Company - Permit 21-12857 (& 21-7446)

Craig,

Thanks. I have made an attempt to contact our client to clarify if there are any lots that have private wells that are not stubbed in. I have talked with the engineer that did the exam he was aware of at least one lot that has its own well that had been stubbed in. My information seems to indicate that all 92 lots are stubbed in, but I'm still trying to confirm that. I'll let you know as soon as our client contacts me. I should have realized that my "easy access" was confusing. I was in a hurry as I had a client waiting and was on the phone. That'll teach me to read what I type, before I send it.

Keith C. Wilson
Senior Water Rights Analyst
kwilson@rockymountainenvironmental.com

From: Saxton, Craig [mailto:Craig.Saxton@idwr.idaho.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2010 12:43 PM
To: Keith C. Wilson
Subject: RE: Henry's Fork Village Water Company - Permit 21-12857 (& 21-7446)

Thanks Keith.

We did log the Statement of Completion as received so we are good with that.

I really asked the question of "*How many homes are actually hooked to the system?*" just to give myself a better picture of what is going on out there. But you are right, what I am mainly concerned with is how many lots are actually stubbed in.

You stated that, "...92 homes have easy access or are stubbed into the mainline." My concern is the "easy access" part and extends back to the volume that will be assigned at the license. Does "easy access" mean a port or control valve or something like that? Or does that mean that the water line passes by or through the lot? As you know, the volume will be determined based on the number of homes that are served, including stubbed-in lots. But it sounds like some of the lots haven't been stubbed in. My interpretation of our guidance is that IDWR can only recognize lots that have been stubbed in or have an actual service line extended to them.

IDWR Licensing Memo #1 outlines the "sub-in" policy:

"Under the "Stub-in" practice, the Department issued water right licenses for domestic purposes in subdivision if the water diversion and distribution systems were in place, **including a service line to each lot**, even if water had not yet been put to beneficial use on all the buildable lots." (*emphasis added*)

Can your client provide a firm number of lots that qualify as being stubbed in? For example, are the lots that the wells are located on stubbed in for a future home?

Sorry to push this Keith. Annual volumes issued on these water rights where we are talking about changing a domestic use to a municipal use, and in general, are a highly scrutinized element of the water right.

Thanks for your help with this Keith,
Craig

From: Keith C. Wilson [mailto:kwilson@rockymountainenvironmental.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2010 10:35 AM
To: Saxton, Craig
Subject: RE: Henry's Fork Village Water Company - Permit 21-12857 (& 21-7446)

Craig,
I've reviewed our files and all 92 homes have easy access or are stubbed into the mainline. To determine if the lots served by private wells are stubbed in I have forwarded you email to our client. You are correct in that the original 40 homes under 21-7446 are included in the 92 homes of 21-12857.

I assume your question "*How many homes are actually hooked to the system?*" has to do with the number of lots stubbed in and not the actual number of homes currently constructed and receiving water from the water system.

On another matter I emailed Emalee the Statement of Completion use and asked if the emailed form would suffice for the original form. I did not receive a response so am I to assume that the emailed copy is sufficient? For your convenience I've attached another copy.

Keith C. Wilson
Senior Water Rights Analyst
kwilson@rockymountainenvironmental.com

From: Saxton, Craig [mailto:Craig.Saxton@idwr.idaho.gov]
Sent: Monday, September 13, 2010 4:01 PM
To: kwilson@rockymountainenvironmental.com
Subject: RE: Henry's Fork Village Water Company - Permit 21-12857 (& 21-7446)

Keith, I meant to send you this for reference.

Thanks,
c

From: Saxton, Craig
Sent: Monday, September 13, 2010 2:59 PM
To: 'kwilson@rockymountainenvironmental.com'
Subject: Henry's Fork Village Water Company - Permit 21-12857 (& 21-7446)

Good Afternoon Keith,

How are things going? Long time no see.

I was going over the beneficial use field exam you submitted on behalf of Henry's Fork Village Water Company. I came up with several questions:

How many lots are stubbed in?

- 21-7446 is permitted for 40 homes
- 21-12857 is permitted for 92 homes (Note that the application for permit indicates that of the 92 homes, 40 are the same as described in 21-7446 so there are only 52 new homes under permit 21-12857)

How many homes are actually hooked to the system?

- A letter dated August 18, 2010, mentions that several of the homes are served by private wells. In conducting an overlap analysis, I see that there are possibly 19 private ground water domestic rights. Were those lots stubbed in as well?

Despite that we are looking into changing the purpose of use on both of these rights to Municipal, as Shelley previously mentioned, we will have to maintain an annual volume. That volume is going to depend on what was developed during the authorized development period.

Any information you could provide would be helpful.

Hope things are going well.

Talk to you later.

Thanks,
Craig Saxton

WELL DRILLER'S REPORT

State law requires that this report be filed with the Director, Department of Water Resources within 30 days after the completion or abandonment of the well.

[illegible]