

Robertson, Jean

From: Robertson, Jean
Sent: Monday, September 27, 2010 10:49 AM
To: 'Ann Pool'
Subject: RE: Moo View Cow Palace 45-14107 Antelope pod question?

Ann,

There doesn't seem to be any changes on the second page, right? So I can just substitute the other second page with the signature on it. Also, could you send another map with the correct points of diversion so everything will match the amended application?

From: Ann Pool [mailto:ann.pool@brockwayeng.com]
Sent: Monday, September 27, 2010 8:53 AM
To: Robertson, Jean
Subject: RE: Moo View Cow Palace 45-14107 Antelope pod question?

Jeanie,

Thank you for catching this. I called Craig and confirmed the 'NoName Creek' and others, to make sure that Antelope Creek is indeed the 'NoName Creek', which it is. It was my error, not his, and I've attached the corrected application. The top sheet can be substituted easily, but are you able to make the correction on the second page, #9 Description of place of use, so Craig Searle doesn't need to come back into town and resign another form? Definitely tech error!

Thanks again –

Ann

From: Robertson, Jean [mailto:Jean.Robertson@idwr.idaho.gov]
Sent: Thursday, September 23, 2010 5:00 PM
To: Ann Pool
Subject: Moo View Cow Palace 45-14107 Antelope pod question?

Ann,

I have another question on the pod for Antelope Creek on this amended application. You didn't submit a new map so I just used the old one. It showed that pod in the NESW of Section 7. I was just looking at the new right they recently got (45-14182) and the other two pods on Lunch and Dayley Creeks are the same as this right but the Antelope Creek one is different. That claim and recommendation have the pod in the SENW of Section 7 for Antelope Creek. Maybe this is okay and both rights have different pods but I thought I'd check and make sure first! Jeanie