

Saxton, Craig

From: Saxton, Craig
Sent: Tuesday, June 22, 2010 2:58 PM
To: 'Andy Waldera'
Cc: Padilla, Cherie; Hemenway, Sandy
Subject: Permit 65-13961 (& 65-13176)

Hey Andy,

Just got a message from Debby Long asking if I was going to be available after 3 tomorrow. I am open from 3 to 4:30 and you can call me at 287-4916.

However, here is what I know:

The questions I was going to look into are:

Question: Via an amendment, can we add a commercial purpose of use to 65-13176?

Answer: Actually, no, we can't. When we met previously, I assumed we could since Dairy Cattle was mentioned in the remarks, but after speaking with my supervisor, he said we couldn't support that. It was advertised to the public and open for protest as stockwater and not commercial. However, I think that is ok because we can cover the commercial use under 65-13961.

Question: Can we use the field exam conducted for 65-13176 to license 65-13961 since they are from the same system and same points of diversion.

Answer: Without answering that directly, I would say that your client wouldn't want to. As I mentioned in a previous e-mail, the field exam conducted in 1996 for 65-13176 and found the capacity of the two wells to be 0.05 cfs or 22.5 gpm. I spoke to my supervisor about this and explained how your client and the pump guy will attest to 30 gpm from each well. Despite the backlog, he did support sending out a team to conduct a field exam and get another measurement.

A field exam should really answer the questions we have and will allow us to move forward on licensing these two permits.

Let me know what you think. I have already spoke with Sr. Water Right Agents Sandy Hemenway and Cherie Padilla who could go out and conduct a field exam in the near future as I know your client would prefer these permits be licensed sooner than later.

Thanks,

Craig
590-2690