

Van Bussum, Monica

From: Saxton, Craig
Sent: Friday, November 12, 2010 1:56 PM
To: Van Bussum, Monica
Subject: RE: 75-14265 written comment re: datalogger condition

Monica,

I think that should be sufficient. My concern was when I initially asked Tim and Nick about this license, I was asking if a totalizer was an appropriate "data logger". Tim and Nick confirmed it wasn't what the Department could consider adequate to meet the data logger requirement. They then went on to explain that in previous situations like this, "licensing", had changed the condition to that which is standard now because there is not an active water district currently. However, they didn't say they could grant us the authority to change the condition.

Since there is not an active water master/water district, Tim Luke is the most appropriate contact to confirm that modifying the condition is acceptable.

I think we should point out in the order under conclusions that there is an active measuring device that would provide watermaster control if need be.

Thanks,
c

From: Van Bussum, Monica
Sent: Friday, November 12, 2010 1:37 PM
To: Saxton, Craig
Subject: FW: 75-14265 written comment re: datalogger condition

Can I get your opinion on whether this response from Tim is okay? Thanks!

Monica

From: Luke, Tim
Sent: Friday, November 12, 2010 1:36 PM
To: Van Bussum, Monica
Subject: RE: 75-14265 written comment re: datalogger condition

Monica,

I think it is appropriate in this case that the Datalogger requirement be changed to the condition we normally use now in permitting and licensing, that is "upon specific notation by the Department, the right holder shall install...a datalogger..."

I hope this response suffices.

Tim

From: Van Bussum, Monica
Sent: Friday, November 12, 2010 12:57 PM
To: Luke, Tim
Subject: 75-14265 written comment re: datalogger condition

Hi Tim,

We talked about this one last week and Craig talked to you about it as well. It was permitted with a condition requiring a datalogger and the water user installed a totalizing measuring device. You indicated in our conversation that it was okay for us to change the condition so it reads "upon specific notation by the Department, the right holder shall install...a datalogger..." I have been asked if I can get a written statement from you so that this is okay. A response to this email should be fine. Let me know if you have any questions.

Monica