

Edl, Michele

From: Conrad, Vic [Vic.Conrad@simplot.com]
Sent: Thursday, September 02, 2010 4:01 PM
To: Edl, Michele
Subject: RE: Amended Transfer for Water Right 63-10029

Michelle,

Thank you for identifying the specific areas that need work. I will connect with John and provide you with a more thorough response to each of these items.

From: Edl, Michele [mailto:Michele.Edl@idwr.idaho.gov]
Sent: Thursday, September 02, 2010 1:54 PM
To: Conrad, Vic
Subject: RE: Amended Transfer for Water Right 63-10029

Mr. Conrad,

I have given the amended application a review.

If memory serves, there is no storage use (a.k.a. pond) in any of the active Sterling Landscape water rights.

As it appears now, this transfer will not authorize the pond; only the flow through. The Department is unlikely to condone an illegal pond by approving a transfer to keep it full. At the very least, **Storage** needs to be added as a use on this application.

The Department will need to know much more about the pond before we can say that this transfer will do all that Mr. Sterling wishes it to do.

If the pond is not excavated into the water table, and the only source of water is a well, then **Diversion to Storage** and a rate should also be added to the application.

You have not provided the surface area or the volume of the pond. Without those dimensions, I am not able to evaluate whether right 63-10029 is sufficient to the task of filling the pond and keeping it full. In addition to the initial fill volume, the Department considers seepage and evaporation losses as part of the total volume needed. You will need to consider those as well.

Part 1 question #5

- d. Your statement is confusing. Because no there will be no Irrigation use under this transferred right, N/A or a simple statement of that fact would be better understood.
- e. Please complete this sub-question.
- f. Please complete the check boxes in this sub-question.

I realize that you have a regular, day job and are ghost writing this application for Mr. Sterling. In our phone conversation earlier this week, you mentioned that you hoped to discuss this application with him this weekend.

The lack of information about the pond is the biggest impediment to processing this transfer. Please solicit that information from Mr. Sterling at your earliest opportunity. I will keep the file close at hand and will expect to hear back from you soon.

Sincerely,

Michele Edl

From: Conrad, Vic [mailto:Vic.Conrad@simplot.com]
Sent: Tuesday, August 31, 2010 12:55 PM

To: Edl, Michele
Cc: John H.. Sterling
Subject: Amended Transfer for Water Right 63-10029

Michelle,

Attached is the draft amended transfer application on the IDWR's updated form; a new map; and attachment 8a - which is a copy of Mr. Friday's letter describing the historic use under the original water right. If you will please let me know if you feel this is now a complete transfer application, I will pursue John's signature this coming weekend then file it with you next week. Thank you for all your help.

Edl, Michele

Subject: FW: Amended Transfer for Water Right 63-10029
Attachments: Attachment 8a.pdf; 63-10029-Amended Application for Transfer.pdf; Amended Transfer Map.jpg

Mr. Conrad phoned ~4:30. I confirmed that I had received this email and found no gross omissions in the application package. However, I explained that I saw no storage use listed.

I promised to follow-up with a thorough review of these submittals later this week.

From: Conrad, Vic [<mailto:Vic.Conrad@simplot.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, August 31, 2010 12:55 PM
To: Edl, Michele
Cc: John H.. Sterling
Subject: Amended Transfer for Water Right 63-10029

Michelle,

Attached is the draft amended transfer application on the IDWR's updated form; a new map; and attachment 8a - which is a copy of Mr. Friday's letter describing the historic use under the original water right. If you will please let me know if you feel this is now a complete transfer application, I will pursue John's signature this coming weekend then file it with you next week. Thank you for all your help.