

Edl, Michele

From: Nelson, Dan
Sent: Monday, January 31, 2011 9:28 AM
To: Edl, Michele
Subject: RE: 72-7392 (Stricklan) POD/POU

Hello Michele,

I was out of the office all last week, so I am sorry that I didn't get back to you until now.

This system could easily be moved to a new location, but at the time of the field exam, this was the only location that was actually developed. The permit holder was working other claims, and moving the materials to this location to process. At the time of the exam, this was the only location developed, so this should be the only point of diversion and place of use.

In order to move the trammel to a new location, he would need to get approvals from the USFS and DEQ for a new settlement pond location. If I remember correctly, this would have much more difficult at the time the exam was performed, so he was moving materials from the other mining sites to this location.

In order to create the current settlement pond, he was allowed to let water seep from the pond into the creek. Adair Creek supplies water to critical salmon rearing habitat, so they are very cautious about the water quality in this area. If he moves the pond location he would be subject to current water quality requirements, which may be more strict than they were in the early 1990's. I believe under the new requirements, he would be required to line the pond to prevent water from the pond to enter the creek. The pond would also need to be larger to allow for evaporation of all of the water.

I remember being surprised at the time of the exam that he wasn't required to line the settlement pond at the time the field exam was performed, but he told me that the pond had grandfather status, and was not subject to the current USFS and DEQ water quality requirements.

I hope this helps, if not give a call.

Dan Nelson

From: Edl, Michele
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2011 9:30 AM
To: Nelson, Dan
Subject: 72-7392 (Stricklan) POD/POU

Dan,
Marie Stricklan has provided the documents we needed to license 72-7392 in her name and remove the measuring device requirement. (The sole permit holder, was her husband. He is deceased.)

I am seeing that you found one diversion point and POU for this mining use during your field exam. The permit lists several alternative PODs and several mining claims upstream on Adair Creek.

Luckily, you are still working for the dept and have already shared with me that you remember this visit. I want to confirm that I am understanding this one correctly because I will be issuing a license for considerably less than was permitted.
So you found just **one** POD?

Thanks