

MEMO

TO: File

FROM: CPadilla

DATE: 67-7909

RE: Licensing Review Memo

Time Line:

Application for Permit Received: 1-29-93

Permit Approved: 8-4-93

Proof of Beneficial Use Received: 12-21-93

Field Exam Conducted: 7-29-94

POU Overlap Analysis: There are no overlaps for the processing plant.

PD Summary: There is one well that used to provide water to the processing plant. The well hasn't been used in the last few years and the plant is now entirely using the city's water.

Volume and Diversion Rate: The diversion rate that was recommended by the field examiner doesn't account for an instantaneous diversion rate. The monitoring report that the field examiner included in the field exam indicates that the facility uses up to 54,630 gallons per day with an average of 38,814 gallons per day. Although the facility may have used up to 54,630 gallons in a day, we don't know the rate at which the water was being applied. We know for sure that the rate could not have exceeded the capacity of the well, which is 0.13 cfs so this is the rate that I will recommend for the licensure of this right.

The facility has historically and primarily used city water. I contacted the city of Weiser's water department to try to find usage records from the 1990's to confirm the amount of water coming from the well compared to water from the city but the water department does not keep records back this far. There is no way to use the data from the monitoring report that will tell us specifically how much water was diverted from the well only. Therefore, I will calculate the volume for this right based on the field examiners description on how the well water was used:

Storage: 3000 gal x 3 fills x 365 = 10.1 afa
40 employees x 60 gpd for washing x 365 = 2.7 afa
Total 12.8 afa or 13 afa

The field examiner stated that the storage tank is used up and filled up three times a day. I assume that the emptied water is for the cleaning and washing described in the field examiners narrative with the exception of the personal washing of the employees.

Ownership: Fry Foods, Inc now owns this facility per 2010 taxlot data. I checked with the IDSOS and confirmed that they are the current owners of the parcel and facility. On 2/11/11 I spoke with Hector Herrera, the plant manager and told him that an ownership change should be filed.

POU Note: Please review 1992 Imagery when evaluating POU for this right. The facility appears to have been expanded which caused it to spill into SWSE 1/4. ↳ after '92