

Saxton, Craig

From: Cooper, Jeff
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2011 2:37 PM
To: Saxton, Craig
Subject: RE: 45-7683

That's kind of what I figured. He went ahead filed a petition for reconsideration. Allen suggests I run down there and take a look at things. I'd like to hook a meter up to the original well and get an actual measurement instead of a theoretical. We'll have to deal with the additional well issue when we look at things again. I'm going to check with well drilling on when about that was drilled.

Sounds like he has also purchased some additional ground recently with water rights, so we might need to suggest a transfer of sorts. The real questions is, would you like to get out of the office around mid-June? I could use some back up as Mr. Adams is a bit hard to get through to. ☺

-Jeff C.

From: Saxton, Craig
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2011 1:09 PM
To: Cooper, Jeff
Subject: 45-7683

Jeff,

I am sorry I didn't put this together when we were talking on the phone, but proof was due on July 1, 1993 for 45-7683. Jim did the field exam on August 30, 1995. Mr. Adams said they didn't measure the irrigation well at the time of the field exam because it wasn't drilled yet. Therefore it had to have been drilled after the proof due date. I am afraid we aren't going to be able to recognized capacity from that new well. Any change in diversion rate would have to be from Mr. Adams showing the Jim's calculations were wrong or something was wrong when they did the measurement rather than it was from a different well.

We can look at the petition, but I am not seeing an easy way to say there was an error.

Thanks,

Craig