

Comment Report

22-13421

1. csaxton 9/9/2011 1:33:43 PM POU

Comment: Updated PLS

2. csaxton 9/9/2011 Mitigation Condition

Comment: Permit condition number 5 pertaining to mitigation states that the ground to be dried up is under 22-153 is located in the NENE, S27, T5N, R46E when the actual ground under 22-152 that was dried up is in the NESE, S27, T5N, R45E. This is not a significant change as outlined in Water Appropriation rules (IDAPA 37.03.08.035.04.a) therefore an amendment is not needed to correct the language in permit condition #5 when it is carried over to licensing.

Mitigation requirement has been met.

3. csaxton 9/9/2011 Amendment needed

Comment: Amendment needed. The pond falls in the NWSW and the SWSW of section 27 which will also require a second POD.

4. csaxton 9/9/2011 Evaporation Calculation

Comment: The field examiner reported the developed surface area of the pond as 3.97 acres but the design surface area was actually 5.42 acres. Because of the change in the actual surface developed in contrast to what was designed, the calculated evaporative losses are different than what was originally estimated. The volume to be appropriated for evaporative loss reflected on the license was calculated by taking the ratio of the estimated pond surface area and associated evaporative losses and applying that to the developed surface area of 3.97 acres.

5. csaxton 9/14/2011 10:22:46 AM POD

Comment: Updated Shape

6. csaxton 9/14/2011 POD Location

Comment: Examiner could not locate exact POD as the source is springs in the footprint of the pond. In the situation where the source is suspected to be from seepage/or multiple springs in the footprint of the pond, but we cannot lock down exactly where the POD is located, the practice is to identify one, main point of diversion. However, in this situation the pond falls within two 40 acre tracts so two points of diversion will be identified. I used a centroid for the QQQ as that falls within the pond foot print for the POD in the SENWSW, S27. For the POD in the NESWSW I placed a point in the center of the pond.

7. eretelle 12/1/2005 POD

Comment: Updated shape



8. eretelle 12/1/2005 POU

Comment: Updated Shape

9. eretelle 12/1/2005 POU

Comment: Updated Shape

10. pskaggs 4/26/2011 Mitigation Use

Comment: Mitigation condition identifies incorrect QQ for Right 22-153 & will be addressed at licensing.

11. scox 9/6/2005 9:53:35 AM Special Administration Area Notes

Comment: Special Administration Areas : Eastern Snake River Plain Area
(Moratorium Area)

Exempt: Y

Reason:

Doc Attached:

Explanation:

Special Administration Areas : Non Trust Water Area (Non Trust Water Area)

Exempt: Y

Reason:

Doc Attached:

Explanation:

LICENSE REVIEW CHECKLIST

Permit No. 22-13421 Date: 9/9/2011 Reviewer: Craig L. Saxton

Name/Address: Change/OK
change New address is 501 Huntsman Springs Dr., Driggs ID 83422

Source: n/a Does change clarify original intended source? If no, void permit

Point of Diversion: no Change?
no Add?
no F06 (overlap POD)
no X59 (subdivision description)

Place of Use: Yes Change Part of th POU developed in QQ not permitted.
no X60? (subdivision description)
no E55? (PPU acre Limit)

No Overlaps: Right(s): 22-13422 Permit for pond in same QQ. Proof not submitted
 No combine use limits

Diversion Rate:

Permitted Rate: 21.5 AF

Fee Limit: 100 AF

Measured: cfs

Theoretical: cfs

Recommended Total Diversion Rate: cfs

Diversion rate from rights with same POD:

Total =

Water Uses: no Change?

Irrigation	<u> </u> cfs	<u> </u> af
Stockwater	<u> </u> cfs	<u> </u> af
Municipal	<u> </u> cfs	<u> </u> af
Storage	<u> </u> cfs	<u>12.7</u> af
other	<u> </u> cfs	<u> </u> af
Total =	<u> </u> cfs	<u>19.7</u> af

SOU:

Evap Loss: 7 Seep Loss 0

Volume Determination

 cfs x 1.9835 x days = 0 af

 acres x vs. (FHR) af

Remarks: \$100 refund request but an amendment is needed which will cost \$100

Additional Conditions

Yes Watermaster Control
 GW Measurement/Measuring devices
 Other

GW Dist?
 Water Msmt. Dist?
 Crit. GWMA?
 GWMA?
 Govt Lots?

Advance Priority? yes/no
no If yes, explain why below:
 Adjust Memo