

MEMO

TO: File 75-13989

FROM: Cherie Padilla

DATE: July 19, 2011

RE: Telephone Conversation w/ Lisa Shively (208/390-4517)

Today Lisa Shively called back to discuss her mother-in-law's (Janice Shively) permit. She said that her mother-in-law is not aware and has alzheimers which makes her a poor contact to discuss this issue with. Lisa said that they **were** using water from the spring during the home's construction and can send in receipts, pictures and building permits to prove the use. She said she will have it submitted by August 1, 2011. I told her the next step, if sufficient evidence is submitted, would be to advertise the licensing amendment and issue a license as long as no protestants come forth.

MEMO

TO: File 75-13989

FROM: Cherie Padilla

DATE: July 19, 2011

RE: Telephone Conversation w/ Janice Shively

Today I spoke with Janice Shively to find out if she was planning on sending in some information pursuant to my letter dated 6/15/11. She stated she was going to send in a survey that the county did so she could get her occupancy permit. I told her that if she wished she could send in the survey but cautioned that that wouldn't contain the information that the department needs. I told her that she needed to submit proof that would focus on their water use during the development period of the permit. I asked her the following questions:

Q: What kind of activity was going on during the development period between 2001 and 2006?

A: We were in middle of building our cabin.

Q: Did you live on the property while you were building your cabin?

A: No.

Q: Were you staying on the property at any extended time while you were building?

A: She said not really but sometimes they would stay on the property for a few days at a time.

Q: Did you use water from the spring while you were staying on the property?

A: No. We would only sprinkle the lawn around the cabin for fire protection uses.

I told her that it sounded like the permit is of no use and that she would most likely have to file a new application for permit since the permit was not developed within the authorized development period. She told me she thought they were in compliance and doesn't understand the problem but, said that she will work with her kids to obtain a new application for permit from our website.