



Idaho Department of Water Resources

MEMORANDUM

File: 75-13989 Shively
Date: June 29, 2011
Subject: Meeting with Janice and Lisa Shively

The Shively's requested a meeting with me to help explain a letter they received from Cherie Padilla regarding Permit 75-13989. I explained that it looked like there was a question about when the house was built and it might have been built outside the development period of the permit. They mentioned that they had filed for an extension because the construction of the house took longer than expected. I tried to locate an extension for but only found a proof of beneficial use form. They thought they had filed an extension of time but it was the proof of beneficial use form they inadvertently sent in. They had started the house at the beginning of the development period of the permit. The construction was an "on again" and "off again" type of situation, but the house was completed in 2008. During the construction period, they stayed on the property and used the water from the spring. I suggested for them to get an occupancy notice from the county to show the completion date and send it to Cherie Padilla in Boise.

As for the stockwater, it is for about 10 animals and it varies on species (horses, cows, sheep, goats, etc.). I asked them about the irrigation and they stated that they didn't do any irrigating, they only mowed the weeds. Then later in the conversation they mentioned they only water around the house to maintain a type of fire barrier. They were going to discuss whether they wanted to add irrigation or not.

I also explained about the confusion about the legal description of the POD/POU. Comparing the map submitted with the permit application, the DRG and ArcMap photography, they could see the error. The POD/POU indicated on their map was further North than what it should have been and they agree that an amendment of the permit is necessary.

From the desk of Denise Kidman