

Comment Report

22-13428

1. csaxton 9/9/2011 10:59:21 AM POD

Comment: Updated Shape

2. csaxton 9/9/2011 Mitigation Condition

Comment: Permit condition number 5 pertaining to mitigation states that the ground to be dried up is under 22-153 is located in the NENE, S27, T5N, R46E when the actual ground under 22-153 that was dried up is in the NESE, S27, T5N, R45E. This is not a significant change as outlined in Water Appropriation rules (IDAPA 37.03.08.035.04.a) therefore an amendment is not needed to correct the language in permit condition #5 when it is carried over to licensing.

3. csaxton 9/9/2011 Evaporation Losses

Comment: The field examiner reported the developed surface area of the pond as 1.9 acres but the design surface area was actually 2.5 acres. Because of the change in the actual surface developed in contrast to what was designed, the calculated evaporative losses are different than what was originally estimated. The volume to be appropriated for evaporative loss reflected on the license was calculated by taking the ratio of the estimated pond surface area and associated evaporative losses and applying that to the developed surface area of 1.9 acres.

4. csaxton 9/9/2011 11:10:43 AM POU

Comment: Updated Shape

5. csaxton 9/9/2011 Mitigation Requirement Met

Comment: Mitigation condition has been satisfied. This right is part of a group of rights (22-13416 thru 22-13428) that were permitted for storage with the agreement to mitigate with a portion of 22-153. The agreed total mitigation for this group of rights was to dry up 28.1 acres under right 22-153 to provide mitigation for evaporative losses of 57.7 AF. I verified that the acreage was dried up using ArcMap and easily identifying 26 acres of ground that was green in 2004 and is dry in 2009 which proportionally would provide 53.4 AF of mitigation. The actual developed ponds evaporative losses total 32.2 AF.

6. csaxton 9/13/2011 Source

Comment: Because we are identifying one POD, the source of Spring is appropriate.

7. csaxton 9/13/2011 POD Description

Comment: Examiner located one spring that feeds the pond as a point of diversion in the SWNWSE S27. However, the examiner recommends identifying two PODs to account for springs feeding the pond from the footprint of the pond. In the situation where the source is suspected to be from seepage/or multiple springs in the footprint of the pond, but we cannot lock down exactly where they are located, the practice is to identify one, main point of diversion. Therefore the POD licensed is the one that the identify one, main point of diversion. Therefore the POD licensed is the one that the field examiner

positively identified.

8. csaxton 9/19/2011 10:07:33 AM POU
Comment: Updated Shape

9. eretelle 12/1/2005 POD
Comment: Updated shape

10. eretelle 12/1/2005 POU
Comment: Updated Shape

11. psdaggs 4/26/2011 Mitigation Use
Comment: Mitigation condition identifies incorrect QQ for Right 22-153 & will be addressed at licensing.

12. scox 9/6/2005 12:06:14 PM Special Administration Area Notes
Comment: Special Administration Areas : Eastern Snake River Plain Area
(Moratorium Area)
Exempt: Y
Reason:
Doc Attached:
Explanation:

Special Administration Areas : Non Trust Water Area (Non Trust Water Area)
Exempt: Y
Reason:
Doc Attached:
Explanation: