

Merritt, Allen

From: Peppersack, Jeff
Sent: Wednesday, June 08, 2011 3:03 PM
To: Merritt, Allen
Cc: Bromley, Chris
Subject: RE: Purdum Slough

Allen,
I spoke to Chris about possible corrections to the SRBA decrees. It would require notice to affected parties and a hearing with an uncertain outcome, but we could pursue it and explain the need for consistency for administration. Chris thought it would be easier for the court to consider a change if there were some recommendations (with the incorrect POD) that have not been decreed yet. If there are, we could bring in all the recommendations and decrees with the incorrect POD's at once. Can you or Doug look into that?

I think we can go forward with the letter to the watermaster and approval of the transfer with the corrected POD. If we get a petition for reconsideration contesting the POD change, we can withdraw the transfer approval to avoid a separate litigation track.

Jeff

From: Merritt, Allen
Sent: Wednesday, June 08, 2011 2:26 PM
To: Peppersack, Jeff
Subject: FW: Purdum Slough

From: Merritt, Allen
Sent: Saturday, April 30, 2011 10:12 AM
To: Skinner, Corey; Jones, Doug
Subject: FW: Purdum Slough

FYI

From: Baxter, Garrick
Sent: Fri 4/29/2011 10:12 AM
To: Merritt, Allen
Cc: Bromley, Chris
Subject: Purdum Slough

Allen,
I let Chris know that you have some concerns regarding how the Purdum Slough rights were recommended in Basin 37. The more I think about it, the more I think that we should approach the Court sooner rather than later to address. Please work with Chris to decide how you want to resolve the issue.
Thanks,
Garrick