

Van Bussum, Monica

From: Walker, Kay
Sent: Monday, October 05, 2009 9:33 AM
To: Van Bussum, Monica
Subject: RE: 63-10034 (Simplot)

How did you get stuck with this? I am just curious... Michele knows all the answers, it's funny they have dumped it on someone else...

I used Carter's 1987 exam to base my recommendations in the SRBA on what was happening in 1987. The files I worked on were just the well water. I did withhold action on the surface water rights because they overlapped but only changed conditions in the end result. Kimberly had made the recommendations on the surface water rights and those did not get objected to.

What I did on the well water was take the evidence of priority that was finally submitted and chunked off amounts of water on what was being used in 1987 to allow use under the beneficial use claims in SRBA. I tried to give them water as claimed in the SRBA so their industrial use had earliest priority dates possible. If it would help, you can come over and review all the files but I believe the spreadsheet shows it all. The discharge records show use of 5 million gallons per day in 1987 and that is what I recommended.

I am sorry you are stuck with this.

From: Van Bussum, Monica
Sent: Friday, October 02, 2009 3:48 PM
To: Walker, Kay
Subject: 63-10034 (Simplot)

Hi Kay,

I know you and I have both been in and out of the office this week, so I thought I'd summarize my questions in an email so you can read them at your leisure and we don't have to worry about catching up over the phone. Any information you can provide would be so helpful.

- I see from your emails to Michele about the AJ Simplot rights that the wells are all connected. Do you know if the surface water is delivered via the same system and can be delivered to the same POU as the ground water? In other words, are the surface water and ground water commingled and then delivered via a common delivery system?
- I also saw from your emails to Michele that you based the 8.83 cfs of industrial use on discharge records that were given to you as part of their evidence of priority and use. I have a copy of a spreadsheet you sent over that cites 63-4968, 5036, 5038, 5039 and 2731 and their industrial flow rate adds up to 8.83. Can you tell me how you came up with those 5 rights and determined their total is the industrial use flow rate? As of right now, the only information I have on the quantity for industrial use is Carter's field exam of 1987 and your more recent knowledge would be a huge help.

Thanks so much, Kay! This is my first foray into non-Adjudication work and I'm slowly climbing the learning curve.

Monica