

Edl, Michele

From: Walker, Kay
Sent: Thursday, December 04, 2008 9:11 AM
To: Edl, Michele
Cc: Walker, Kay
Subject: RE: Simplot 63-10034

Michele:

-The additional source is your decision...

-Adding industrial use to the license is up to you...

-I don't know why you think your pou and mine are different. I used the one you had created. When I went into arc view and looked at my shape it appears to be identical to yours except for the buildings that I have included under the industrial place of use. I also don't see any pou that crosses the river except on the stockwater rights that I have not finalized yet. If there is a pou across an arm of the river, change the shapefile of the draft license and I will look at it to see where the error is located. Once again - I used the shape you had created from Carter's field exam and did not look at each 40 acre tract claimed since my recommendations are based on existing system in 1987 and Carter's exam was done in 1987.

-Simplot filed all of their claims on 14 wells and provided information that they were connected prior to 1987. When I did my investigation I found 6 wells that could still be utilized and I sent you a scanned copy of the location of the wells (See attachment to the e-mail I sent on 11/25/2008 - page 1). I also sent you a copy of the information used to determine which wells could be recommended (bottom of page 2) along with the capacity found for each of them. If you look at that information the first 3 are the ones Carter recommended. Wells 4 (400 gpm - see page 3) and 6 (1000 gpm - see page 3) were also included in my recommendation since they are active and Carter's exam stated he found 5 active wells (first paragraph of page 3). The parking lot well is also included because it still had electricity connected to it when I was out there. If you notice, I did not include a flow rate for that well since it is for backup purposes only. Information in our records indicate a capacity of 12.48 cfs since Wells 8 & 10 are used on alternating basis only, per Carter's exam.

-My recommendations are for irrigation of 401 acres at 8.02 cfs and 8.83 cfs for plant use (based on discharge records submitted). I will make my recommendations state that when all rights are combined they can't exceed 12.48 cfs. It is up to you what flow rate you use on the license.

-I don't see any need to "get together" on this. I have to finish up Simplot's recommendations before the end of the year with or without the license being done. I really need to concentrate on finalizing the remaining stockwater recommendations. I am suppose to be working 100% of my time on water allocations now and I don't have time for a get together.

Kay

6th well