

Carlsen, Ernie

From: Carlsen, Ernie
Sent: Monday, June 11, 2007 11:04 AM
To: Luke, Tim
Subject: RE: Schritter NOV Correspondence

Tim, Mr. Schritter has already submitted a petition and supporting documentation to the Department requesting re-consideration of the licensed POU. The documents were sent to Shelley and I spoke with Shelley about this before hand so he was aware of the action being taken by Mr. Schritter. Shelley was willing to look at the documentation but of course that was before he was promoted and when they were already short handed because of staff changes. It might be worth while to do a little probing and see where the documentation is residing and enquire about when they think it will be looked at.

Ernie

-----Original Message-----

From: Luke, Tim
Sent: Monday, June 11, 2007 10:36 AM
To: Carlsen, Ernie
Subject: FW: Schritter NOV Correspondence

Ernie,

I've looked into this Schritter matter regarding error in licensing of 35-8062 involving the parcel number 50 (6S 30E Sec 1). I pretty well convinced that an error was made. I've looked at various aerial photos from 1987 through 2006 and it looks irrigated every year although the crop type on the land in question is consistently different than the licensed POU. Keith Wilson described a 3/4 mile linear system in the exam which if true would cover all of the land in question which was also included on the pivot. All of the aerals show lines across the full 3/4 mile and seem to provide evidence of the linear system.

I guess I would like to get a letter to Schritter advising or encouraging him to petition for correction of 35-8062. The same letter could also question parcel 109 and whether there are rights on that ground. I don't believe a letter went out on this latter parcel. Corbin drafted a letter it appears but it could be revised to address this and the 35-8062 matter. I'm happy to write the letter unless you want to - I guess I still need to confirm that a letter did not already going with respect to parcel 109.

Tim

-----Original Message-----

From: Luke, Tim
Sent: Monday, June 11, 2007 7:56 AM
To: Carlsen, Ernie; Roberts, Christine
Subject: RE: Schritter NOV Correspondence

Ernie & Chris,

Disregard this e-mail, I found the second Schritter file here.

Tim

-----Original Message-----

From: Luke, Tim
Sent: Sunday, June 10, 2007 10:28 PM
To: Carlsen, Ernie; Roberts, Christine
Subject: Schritter NOV Correspondence

Ernie, Chris,

I have a similar situation as the one I just described with Winberg. There are two separate parcels and two letters drafted by Corbin but we have just one file here at S.O., with just a map of one of the parcels. Excel tracking file says Ernie sent letter regarding parcel 50, but not parcel 109.

Ernie, please forward any correspondence you sent to Schritter. Chris, please check to see if there is another file. Schritter may have a case on the parcel 50 and a possible error on in licensing. Cropping patterns in aerals seem to match the pou on the license indicating something about the irrig system but the examiner did note a 3/4 mile linear system which would have pulled in parcel 50. There appears to be a cropping pattern in parcel 50 in 1987 NHAP photo. I'll look into this one a little further.

Tim