

Merritt, Allen

From: Keen, Shelley
Sent: Monday, March 26, 2012 1:33 PM
To: Merritt, Allen
Cc: Saxton, Craig
Subject: RE: Petition to remove meas device requirement for 37-22624.

Allen,

I didn't get the attachment. In general, our main concern is to make sure the watermaster can regulate the use. A secondary concern is making sure we can get an accurate measurement for the field exam. If the use isn't actively regulated, and Ernie can get a good measurement, we shouldn't mind the change. I'd recommend something a little more vague because it's both easier to say and it may give us more latitude in the future. How about:

Upon specific notification by the Department, the right holder shall install measuring devices and lockable controlling works in accordance with Department specifications.

Shelley

From: Merritt, Allen
Sent: Monday, March 26, 2012 1:19 PM
To: Keen, Shelley; Saxton, Craig
Subject: Petition to remove meas device requirement for 37-22624.

I talked to Ernie Carlsen the other day about this. I believe he is going to do the field exam. Attached is the petition to remove the measuring device requirement. I do not have any objection to removing it and in its place putting something like this...

Upon specific notification by the Department, the right holder shall install a measuring device and lockable headgate at the diversion from Indian Creek and a measuring device at the outlet from the lower pond to measure return flow from the ponds back to Indian Creek acceptable to the Department in a manner that will provide suitable control of the diversion.

We can talk this Wednesday during our phone call or you can let me know and I'll issue an order before hand.

Allen