

Saxton, Craig

From: Scott King [SKing@spfwater.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 2:15 PM
To: Saxton, Craig
Subject: RE: 63-10273

Craig,
Not yet. I just left another message.
Thanks

From: Saxton, Craig [<mailto:Craig.Saxton@idwr.idaho.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, November 08, 2011 11:12 AM
To: Scott King
Subject: RE: 63-10273

Hey Scott,

Just wanted to check in with you on this and see if you have had any feedback from your client.

Thanks,
Craig

From: Scott King [<mailto:SKing@spfwater.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, October 12, 2011 10:11 AM
To: Saxton, Craig
Subject: RE: 63-10273

I think this should be okay. I'll forward on.
Thanks for the quick turn-around.
Scott

From: Saxton, Craig [<mailto:Craig.Saxton@idwr.idaho.gov>]
Sent: Wednesday, October 12, 2011 10:11 AM
To: Scott King
Subject: RE: 63-10273

Scott,

I agree that the missing 30 acres in the NWNW of section 16 was simply an oversight as it is clear from Dan's exam that the intent was to recommend the 266.3 acres. I have attached an updated version of the amendment. Note that I made one other change to the attached amendment which was to remove the Maximum Diversion Volume limit from the top of the amendment due to the supplemental nature of the right. I also identified the Pioneer Irrigation District right 63-183 in the supplemental conditions.

Is this sufficient to send you this in an e-mail, or would you like me to mail out hard copies to you and your client?

Let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,
Craig