Memorandum

Date: May 15, 2012

To: Gary Spackman

From: Craig L. Saxton

RE: Petition for Reconsideration: 65-22949

Background:

We issued the original license 65-22949 on April 12, 2012. The date of Service was April 13, 2012.

On April 27, 2012, Dana Hofstetter contacted me with concerns about the place of use description on the license not matching the place of use description on the amendment she had reviewed earlier. We found an error in the description and reissued the license on April 27, 2012 (within the 14 day window). The service date was April 30, 2012.

In my haste to reissue the license, I left the old licensing language that is generated automatically in workflow on the amended license. This language identifies the diversion works capacity. Normally we just change the wording on that language manually before printing the license.

Due to that error, on May 14, 2012, we received a petition for reconsideration.

Action:

I spoke with Shelley and he thought the way to handle it was to reissue the amended license as a final order with the Director's signature.

I am more than happy to discuss any questions or concerns you might have, or if you would like to address the petition in a different manner.

I have attached an amended license to the file for your review. In addition, Jean gave me the copy of the petition that was addressed to you because I told her I had to run this past you anyway.