

MEMORANDUM

Date: June 07, 2012
To: Water Right File 37-8730
From: cpalmer
Re: Licensing Memo (PD discrepancy)

This permit was protested when it was advertized. The protest was settled when the protestants found that the PDs were already existing. On August 19, 1991, the permit holder wrote a letter to the protestant that specifically mentions the 2 wells (PDS). Despite the application stating that there should be two PDs and other correspondence acknowledging the same, the department issued the permit with one PD.

It is clear that the intentions of this permit holders was to use two PDs as part of the system. Any possible injury that would be encountered as a result of using two PD instead of one has been addressed; the interested parties (protestants) were aware of both PDs.

I believe that this was a clerical error on the departments part and the permit and license should both include two PDs. No amendment should be required because of the permit holder intentions the whole time was to use 2 separate wells.