

Weaver, Mathew

From: Terry Scanlan [TScanlan@spfwater.com]
Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2012 6:58 PM
To: Weaver, Mathew
Cc: Saxton, Craig; Roxanne Brown
Subject: RE: City of Nampa RAFN WR Permit 63-33022
Attachments: Nampa Well 16 capacity 2-14-12.pdf

Mat –

As a follow up to your email below and our subsequent telephone discussion, attached is a letter describing my analysis that supports the capability of Nampa Well 16 to produce 8.5 cfs (including 4.5 cfs under RAFN permit 63-33022).

I anticipate that Roxanne will be providing you with additional follow-up information regarding the beneficial use under this RAFN permit. She should complete her response within the next week or so.

Let me know if you have any questions or comments. Thanks.

Terry

From: Weaver, Mathew [<mailto:Mathew.Weaver@idwr.idaho.gov>]
Sent: Friday, January 20, 2012 2:00 PM
To: Roxanne Brown; Terry Scanlan
Cc: Saxton, Craig
Subject: City of Nampa RAFN WR Permit 63-33022

Roxanne and Terry,

To my knowledge, the proof of beneficial use field exam for permit 63-33022 is only the second RAFN field exam submitted to the Department. The first RAFN field exam found that the full RAFN permitted amount was realized as beneficial use during the development period. Therefore, permit 63-33022 is the first RAFN water right where licensing will be for a diversion rate in excess of that which was accomplished during the development period. As such, it is the first license to undergo the RAFN analysis of determining "...the degree to which the full capacity of the system has been constructed and the consistency of the constructed capacity with a definitive plan for fully constructing the system..." (AP Memo #63 June 15, 1999). Find attached a memo summarizing my RAFN analysis review. In it I suggest several diversion rate options that we can take in licensing the permit. Although, your field exam rightly points out that the standard for determining the licensed diversion rate is not necessarily 'installed capacity', I'm not yet convinced we have 'tangible evidence' of the City's commitment to develop the full 4.5 cfs diversion rate associated with the permit.

Please review the memo and give me a call. As I have stated this is the first one of these we have had to do and I would like to talk through some of my concerns with you on the phone, perhaps you will easily identify other instances of 'tangible evidence' that we can point to in recognizing the full RAFN diversion amount. If not, as the matter now stands, I am prepared to issue the license with a diversion rate of 3.98 cfs.

Thank you and I look forward to your call next week.

Mat Weaver, P.E.
Engineer, Technical 2
Idaho Department of Water Resources
(208) 287-4914

<<RAFNAalysis_memo_01172012.pdf>>