

Memorandum

Date: July 11, 2012

To: Darla Block

From: Craig L. Saxton

Re: Proof of beneficial use for permit 23-11418

In our previous discussions regarding the issue of receiving the proof statement without fees because it was submitted with a beneficial use field examination report that was not forwarded to the S.O. by the region, I was not aware that the beneficial use field exam was applicable to two permits: 23-11387 and 23-11418. It seems that since the examination was applicable to both permits, it isn't absolutely necessary that the S.O. have the original in hand to process proof for 23-11418.

Proof for 23-11387 was received November 21, 2011 along with a \$50 filing fee. The proof was processed out of the State Office.

When the proof statement for 23-11418 was received at the regional office along with the field exam for both 23-11418 and 23-11387, the region scanned the field exam and kept the original to, presumably, write up the license for 23-11387.

The proof statement for 23-11418 was then forwarded to the S.O. for processing without the original field examination report because it was retained at the region. Since the field examination is applicable to two permits, one of the files is going to have to contain a copy of the exam report anyway. Let's put the copy in 23-11387 and process proof of beneficial use.