

Conversation Memorandum

Date: October 16, 2012

To: File 29-13672

From: Craig L. Saxton

RE: Proof of B-use for permit 29-13672

On October 15, 2012, I spoke with Rob Wood, attorney for permit holder George W. Katsilometes. On September 21, 2012, through Rob Wood, I sent the permit holder a request for additional information to support data submitted in response to the August 15, 2012, Order To Show Cause as to why permit 29-13672 should not be canceled.

Mr. Wood called to ask me if the supplemental information was sufficient to verify that conditions of approval 8 and 9 of permit 29-13672 had been met. I told him that I needed Units for data submitted in the spreadsheets titled **Well Measurement 2** and **Pump Measurements**. In addition I asked for a picture or some sort of verification that the measuring device equipped with a data logger had been installed as required by condition of approval no. 8. Mr. Wood told me he would have the information to me probably by the end of the day unless something came up.

Mr. wood asked me if there was anything else they needed to submit. I told him that I hadn't looked deeply into what had recently been submitted so I didn't know for sure, but it looked like that was about all that was needed.

In retrospect, what I should have asked for over the phone and I did request in the September 21, 2012 letter, is additional information supporting how the well measurement data was collected. Condition No. 8 says in part:

Each day water is diverted, the permit holder must record and maintain records of all diversions from the well, identifying the amount of water diverted by date and the total daily volume. These records must be maintained and compiled into an annual record set and made available to the Department upon request.

This data set is what needs to be submitted, in addition to proof of installation of a data logger, in order to show compliance with condition of approval No. 8.