

Saxton, Craig

From: Saxton, Craig
Sent: Friday, March 29, 2013 9:07 AM
To: Cefalo, James
Subject: Q/A 21-7132

Hey James,

I was looking through file 21-7132 and wanted to let you know about a couple of things I ran across.

21-7132 was issued as a supplemental right. In order to issue the license, an amendment was required.

- When the license was signed, it appears that signing the amendment was missed. Ran this past Shelley and am told that this isn't a big deal as it happens from time to time and can be signed after the fact.
- The license was issued with a maximum diversion volume. Because the right is supplemental, we don't generally put a maximum diversion volume on the license. Rather we identify the volume limit in a WB7 condition.
- With that said, since a volume was assigned to the license, I see that the volume on the permit amendment is less than the volume issued on the license. We can't issue the license for more than is on the permit. Since the permit amendment identified a volume, then we really need to issue the license with that volume. I wonder if you couldn't just get a new amendment signed with the updated volume so not having to amend the license. It wouldn't cost them anymore.

Anyway, let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,
Craig