

Palmer, Cherie

From: Merritt, Allen
Sent: Tuesday, May 21, 2013 5:13 PM
To: Palmer, Cherie
Subject: RE: O'Gara/Stevenson Water Supply Bank Apps 37-2599 and 37-2649B

Cherie,

I cannot explain the decreed condition requiring use of surface water first for rights 37-2649A and 37-2649B. It appears to be a mistake likely because of they have combined limits with rights 37-7644 and 37-7014 that both have surface water on their POU.

As you know we did not change that condition when we recently approved the transfer of these two rights. (37-2649A and 37-2649B).

I would say they are not supplemental.

Allen

From: Palmer, Cherie
Sent: Thursday, May 16, 2013 5:20 PM
To: Merritt, Allen
Subject: O'Gara/Stevenson Water Supply Bank Apps 37-2599 and 37-2649B

Hey Allen,

These guys have a few applications in the WSB hopper and I plan to deny their application to lease 37-2599 (O'Gara) because of the overlaps but I think that 37-2649B can be approvable as long as there's no supplemental issues. This is essentially what they want to do: OGaras want to lease in 37-2599 and rent it out to Stevenson's so they can irrigate 32 acres on O'Garas property out of the Stevenson system. And then...Stevensons want to lease in 37-2649B and rent it out to OGaras so they can irrigate 32 acres on the Stevenson's property out of the OGara system. Phew...this was a lot for me to wrap around my brain so I apologize if I got wordy.

I plan on denying their request to lease 37-2599 into the bank because it is stacked with several other water rights that need to be included in the lease. But, I believe we can approve the lease of 37-2649B as long as it is not supplemental. Since it was recently involved in a transfer I was thinking you guys at the region had a quick idea of the nature of 37-2649B. I don't see any surface water rights at the POU for 37-2649B but I didn't want to miss anything.

I attached their map if you need to see a picture of their proposal...I know I did ☺

<< File: DOC.pdf >>

Cherie