

Saxton, Craig

From: Yenter, Cindy
Sent: Wednesday, May 01, 2013 7:44 AM
To: Saxton, Craig
Cc: VanGreuningen, Stuart; Merritt, Allen
Subject: RE: Amendment of Permit 36-8094

Craig - We had intended to forward this one to Boise for final approval since it was a power license. However if Michele will have new and improved power conditions by July then Allen may be comfortable holding and signing this in region. I'll see what he has to say.

However in the meantime looks like my next step is to revise the license recommendation and print the amendment. Depending on what Allen wants to do, we'll either send the amendment or the whole file over ... but I'm not promising when you'll see it!

From: Saxton, Craig
Sent: Tuesday, April 30, 2013 1:06 PM
To: Yenter, Cindy
Cc: VanGreuningen, Stuart
Subject: Amendment of Permit 36-8094

Hey Cindy,

I know I was going to bring this to resolution two weeks ago, but I didn't. So here we go again. I did speak with Stuart and Shelley (not at the same time) and I think the way to handle this is to amend the permit at licensing to include heating for the 0.11 cfs (no advertising needed). Since we know they were diverting more than 4.5 cfs during the development period, if we are going to include it, we should issue the license for the 4.61 cfs (4.5 cfs + 0.11 cfs). We spoke about how they aren't going to change the diversion rate and I spoke with Stuart about this too. However, it is my understanding that the actual flow does fluctuate to some degree anyway so this would allow for that fluctuation.

I assumed you were going to issue the license out of your office since you did the field exam and know the system, but we can do it from here too. If you are ok with the addition of the 0.11 cfs for heating, then we can issue the permit amendment (for licensing purposes) and the license at the same time. I double checked with Shelley and the Board doesn't have to pay fees.

We can use the amendment that was submitted on March 18, 2013, that Brian already signed, and just approve it for less than shown on the amendment, but I think it might be cleaner just to print out the normal licensing amendment and have Brian sign it again. I will just give this 3/18/2013 amendment back to Stuart to do with as he wishes.

There isn't a huge rush to issue the license since we can't issue it till July 1, when the new "hydropower" legislation takes effect and we have Michele's finalized hydropower conditions she is working. I just want to come to some sort of decision of how to move forward before this gets buried in the pile again.

Let me know what you think when you have time.

Thanks,
c