

Nelson, Dan

From: Knowles, Corbin
Sent: Friday, September 20, 2013 11:37 AM
To: Nelson, Dan
Subject: Review of 02-10455

Dan

After talking with Mr. Holzhausen about the above reference permit I do agree that a metering device requirement is not needed on this small diversion. The reasons for this are as follows

- 1- The location of this diversion is outside of the current Water District 02 boundary (approximately 6 miles downstream of the Murphy gauge or 02 boundary)
- 2- Even if this diversion was within the water district the use would be exempt from a measuring device requirement due to the right being 5 acres or less under the current order within WD 02
- 3- High costs with no benefit to IDWR and likely would never be read by anyone from IDWR

If and when the district boundaries do expand downstream of the current boundary the use would be an active diversion within the district but will be exempt from measurement. For this reason I recommend we remove the water measuring and control works language from the license when issued.

Thanks

Corbin