

MEMORANDUM

TO: Danielle Favreau

FROM: Daniel Nelson – Staff Hydrologist

DATE: March 18, 2014

SUBJECT: Review of Field Exam Report for water right 75-7621

Danielle – I had a couple of things I think we need to address on this permit. I thought a memo would be the best route, since one of the issues could be questioned in the future. Please address the following issues in the field report:

- 1.) We shouldn't change the name of the spring to Tormay Spring. It should remain Spring. The topographical maps don't name the spring Tormay Spring, so we are not supposed to call it by the local name. I would include condition B01 (Source also known as Tormay Spring.).
- 2.) We need to know how water right 75-4621 and 75-7621 are related. Is water right 75-4621 for the spring and 75-7621 for the water troughs, or are they for the same water troughs, or are they for separate water troughs. How do the two rights out of the same spring fit together.
- 3.) According to the BLM sheet the project number is 364974 instead of 4974. Which is correct?
- 4.) Can you show on your field exam map the trough for 75-4621, the trough for 75-7621, and the spring?

The above items need to be addressed in the field report. I think I have an idea of what is going on, but they need to be made clear in the field report narratives and maps. Other than changing the source to spring and adding condition B01, I think the draft license is acceptable.

75-4621 =
75-4266
on memo

BLM sheet =
BLM Improvement
master report