

Webster, Clay

From: Luke, Tim
Sent: Tuesday, September 09, 2014 9:19 AM
To: Webster, Clay; Buyer, Remington
Cc: Merritt, Allen; Palmer, Cherie; Shaul, Sarah; Jones, Doug
Subject: RE: City of Ketchum WSB 37-4414
Attachments: WATERRIGHTS-#559071-v1-WSB-MEMORANDUM_ON_LEASE___RENT_APPLICATIONS.PDF

Monica's e-mail is helpful but that applied to facts four years ago. I called Robyn Mattison of City of Ketchum and she confirmed that the 2014 lease/rental renewal was for the purpose of covering the Fuld property irrigation(27 acres) and to include the Fuld well as a point of diversion. I asked why they weren't just filing a permanent transfer to add the well as a POD since the property is already included in the City's service area and water rights POU. She said the City filed a transfer to add the well as a POD sometime this year – she has been working with Doug on the transfer. She said the continuation of the WSB lease/rental was to continue the temporary fix. She did not anticipate the WSB lease/rental would be necessary if and when the Fuld well is added to the Cities ground water rights.

I will note in our NOV records that no further review is needed at this time.

Sarah – please add Monica's memo to the 2008 Fuld NOV record and also add this e-mail string as well so that we will have documentation in the database if and when this matter gets revisited.

Tim

From: Webster, Clay
Sent: Tuesday, September 09, 2014 8:57 AM
To: Buyer, Remington; Luke, Tim
Cc: Merritt, Allen; Palmer, Cherie
Subject: RE: City of Ketchum WSB 37-4414

Remington and Tim,

The closest thing that I can see on an explanation of why they are doing this lease/rental is explained in Monica's Memo dated 6/9/2011. I've attached it for your convenience. I didn't request any further information to clarify why they were extending the lease/rental.

Clay

From: Buyer, Remington
Sent: Tuesday, September 09, 2014 8:31 AM
To: Luke, Tim
Cc: Merritt, Allen; Palmer, Cherie; Webster, Clay
Subject: RE: City of Ketchum WSB 37-4414

Tim,

Ketchum submitted all paper work and fees necessary to renew this lease/rental package and so the Bank accommodated their request. It's not clear to me either why they are renting their own water right for the beneficial use it already confers. The backfile includes an agreement for the golf course to obtain municipal water for irrigation when no other sources are available to it, perhaps that has something to do with this transaction. Clay, in your conversations with the city earlier this year, did you get any additional info as to why they are renting this water right via the Bank?

Remington
287-4918

From: Luke, Tim
Sent: Monday, September 08, 2014 5:20 PM
To: Palmer, Cherie; Buyer, Remington
Cc: Merritt, Allen
Subject: City of Ketchum WSB 37-4414

Maybe it's just my ignorance but does anybody know why the City of Ketchum leases one of its own rights (37-4414) to the bank and then rents it back for use within the city limits/service area? I have a potential NOV that was subject to a notice 4 years ago but the area in question got covered through the city via the WSB but I think IDWR was expecting a more permanent solution. The area in question is within the municipal water rights POU but the land in question is still privately owned with irrigation of up to 27 acres.

Tim