

Nelson, Dan

From: Nelson, Dan
Sent: Monday, August 04, 2014 8:01 AM
To: 'Scott King'
Subject: RE: 22-13917 and 22-13918

Hello Scott,

I haven't heard back from you on the email I sent you on July 17th. I asked in the July 17th email whether your client wanted us to include a combined limitation condition on all of the rights for the irrigation component, or whether you wanted to correct your map for 22-13917 to exclude the yard. Either way works for us, but I need to move forward on this one. If I don't hear from you by Friday 8/8/2014, I will continue to licensing of these two rights with the combined limitations.

Thank you for your time.

Dan

From: Scott King [<mailto:SKing@spfwater.com>]
Sent: Friday, July 18, 2014 8:36 AM
To: Nelson, Dan
Subject: RE: 22-13917

Thank you, Dan.
Will do.

From: Nelson, Dan [<mailto:Dan.Nelson@idwr.idaho.gov>]
Sent: Thursday, July 17, 2014 11:02 AM
To: Scott King
Subject: 22-13917

Hello Scott,

I have another question for you concerning the licensing of water right 22-13917. Your ArcMap shape file shows a place of use of 5.8 acres. The 5.8 acres include the lawn around the home. Water rights 22-12272 and 22-7641 cover the domestic use and up to ½ acre of yard irrigation. These two existing water rights would cover the a significant share of the excess 0.8 acres shown in your shape file, but we will need to address this in the conditions. We have a couple of options on how to handle this situation, so I wanted to contact you and have you give me your choice on how to describe water right 22-13917. We have the following two options:

- 1.) We can maintain the shape file submitted and add a condition onto water right 22-13917 that says something similar to "Water rights 22-7641, 22-12272 and 22-13917 when combined cannot irrigate more than 5.5 acres of ground." Please note, that this is only an example of the condition we would use. It could also include diversion rate and volume limitations if determined to be necessary.
- 2.) If you know the portion of the yard being irrigated under 22-7641 and 22-12272, you could send me an updated shape with the yard portion excluded and we would not need the combined limit condition.

Either of the above options would work for us, but Shelley asked me to contact you to ensure your client is in agreement with end result. We want to make sure you and your client are aware of the situation before we move forward. Please let me know what you want us to do in the next couple of weeks.

Thank you for your help Scott.

Daniel Nelson
Staff Hydrologist
Idaho Department of Water Resources
Telephone (208) 287-4856
Fax (208) 287-6700 (attn: Dan Nelson)