

Franklin, Keith

From: Franklin, Keith
Sent: Friday, November 20, 2009 9:18 AM
To: 'P. Finman/LCF'
Subject: RE: Notice of protest to application 95-10877

Mr. Finman,

IDAPA Rules of Procedure 37.01.01203 simply state the party filing its initial pleading in a contested case, "must serve all other parties". The code is silent on how to serve. Giving notice by email is probably sufficient.

Keith Franklin

From: P. Finman/LCF [mailto:pfinman@LCFamps.com]
Sent: Thursday, November 19, 2009 1:32 PM
To: Franklin, Keith
Cc: Maxey, Shaun
Subject: FW: Notice of protest to application 95-10877

Keith Franklin,

Although when I have stopped by the IDWR in the past I have been directed to Shaun Maxey as the IDWR employee covering my area, the last email that I received from Shaun Maxey directed me to go through you as my contact. Should this be the case for general business, or was it the case only for appointment of the Watermaster for District 95C concerning claims of infringement of water right 95-944?

I am protesting application 95-10877, and want to confirm the proper way to serve a copy of the protest upon the applicant which is the Sylte Ranch LLC. I have emailed a copy to Gordon Sylte and John Sylte. Is this sufficient to give notice to the Sylte Ranch LLC? If not, what is?

Paul Finman
208-415-4300 cell

From: P. Finman/LCF [mailto:pfinman@LCFamps.com]
Sent: Thursday, November 19, 2009 12:54 PM
To: 'Gordon Sylte'
Cc: 'John Sylte'; 'Lorna Finman'
Subject: Notice of protest to application 95-10877

Gordon Sylte,

As stipulated in IDWR form "Notice of Protest", I verify that I have served a copy of this protest upon the applicant. This is an electronic copy, and I will hand deliver a signed copy submitted to the IDWR.

Paul Finman
208-415-4300 cell