

Skaggs, Pam

From: Skaggs, Pam
Sent: Thursday, September 14, 2017 8:08 AM
To: 'Karma Bragg'
Cc: 'Scott King'
Subject: RE: Doug Robins Transfer #81600

Karma,

The groundwater right was developed, licensed & then decreed for 160 acres irrigation. It can be used anywhere in the larger described 317 acre place of use in combination with the surface water rights authorizing 305.9 acres. If the two surface water rights are curtailed, continued irrigation is limited to 160 acres authorized under the ground water right. Irrigation solely under the ground water right exceeding the authorized acres in the larger system would be an enlargement of use. It is prudent to have a method to limit irrigation to a specific 160 acres within the larger system in order to be in compliance with the elements of the ground water right when surface water is fully curtailed. If the senior surface water right authorizing the full 305.9 acres is rarely curtailed than this would only rarely be a problem.

I hope this clarifies the issue for you. Call me if you would like to discuss further.

Pam

Pam Skaggs
IDWR Water Rights Supervisor
(208) 287-4951

-----Original Message-----

From: Karma Bragg [mailto:cswwcd@custertel.net]
Sent: Wednesday, September 13, 2017 5:29 PM
To: Skaggs, Pam <Pam.Skaggs@idwr.idaho.gov>
Cc: 'Scott King' <SKing@spfwater.com>
Subject: RE: Doug Robins Transfer #81600

Pam,

I contacted Doug and he will come in tomorrow to go over this letter. Would you mind explaining to me why the 160 acres supplemental water right has to have the 160 identified instead of being to use it within "the permissible place of use" but limited to 160?? I have seen other water rights written up like that so I was just wondering.

Thanks so much,

Karma

-----Original Message-----

From: Skaggs, Pam [mailto:Pam.Skaggs@idwr.idaho.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, September 13, 2017 4:40 PM
To: Karma Bragg <cswwcd@custertel.net>
Cc: 'Scott King' <SKing@spfwater.com>
Subject: RE: Doug Robins Transfer #81600

Karma,