

Skinner, Corey

From: Travis Thompson <tlth@idahowaters.com>
Sent: Friday, July 12, 2019 10:43 AM
To: Gary Slette; Skinner, Corey
Cc: Don Pica
Subject: RE: Donald & Bonnie Pica Trust DRAFT Permits 36-17132 & 36-17133

Corey,

Thanks for the clarification, we concur too.

Travis

From: Gary Slette <gslette@rsidaholaw.com>
Sent: Friday, July 12, 2019 10:01 AM
To: 'Skinner, Corey' <Corey.Skinner@idwr.idaho.gov>; Travis Thompson <tlth@idahowaters.com>
Cc: Don Pica <donaldpic@msn.com>
Subject: RE: Donald & Bonnie Pica Trust DRAFT Permits 36-17132 & 36-17133

Corey,

We are good with them.

Thank you,

Gary D. Slette
Robertson & Slette, pllc
Attorneys at Law
P.O. Box 1906
Twin Falls, ID 83303-1906
Tel. (208) 933-0700
Fax (208) 933-0701
gslette@rsidaholaw.com

From: Skinner, Corey <Corey.Skinner@idwr.idaho.gov>
Sent: Friday, July 12, 2019 9:53 AM
To: Travis Thompson <tlth@idahowaters.com>; Gary Slette <gslette@rsidaholaw.com>
Cc: Don Pica <donaldpic@msn.com>
Subject: RE: Donald & Bonnie Pica Trust DRAFT Permits 36-17132 & 36-17133

Travis,

With regards to question #1:

Both should be in Water District 130. It is kind of confusing down there having some overlap between the boundaries of 130 and 36A. *Water District 36A includes all surface water rights from Billingsley and Riley Creeks and*

their tributaries within the district boundary shape. 36-17132 lists groundwater as a source (not surface water) and 36-17133 is a surface water source that sinks and does not make it to Billingsley Creek (not tributary to Billingsley Creek). Not being in 36A defaults to 130.

With regards to question #2:

You are correct with the shortened season being the reason for the reduced amount. The original application was for 0.39 acre-feet of storage year round. Per the narrative that accompanied the application, this amount included 0.1 acre-feet of water actually stored (total pond sizes) and 0.29 acre-feet for the estimated seepage losses. Reducing the amount of storage to just 10/31 – 2/1, basically eliminates the evaporative losses. The University of Idaho's Evapotranspiration and Consumptive Irrigation Water Requirements website actually shows negative precipitation deficit monthly values (gains) for January and February for open water shallow systems in the Hagerman area. Considering minimal evaporative losses for the month of November, gains due to precipitation during December and January presents a scenario where there would be no overall evaporation loss from the ponds during this time period

Thanks for getting back to me on this. I assume you are still good with the drafts?

Corey

From: Travis Thompson [<mailto:tlt@idahowaters.com>]
Sent: Friday, July 12, 2019 7:48 AM
To: Skinner, Corey <Corey.Skinner@idwr.idaho.gov>; Gary Slette <gslette@rsidaholaw.com>
Cc: Don Pica <donaldpic@msn.com>
Subject: RE: Donald & Bonnie Pica Trust DRAFT Permits 36-17132 & 36-17133

Corey,

Just a couple questions. 1) is the water district 36 or 36-A rather than 130?; 2) is the 0.1 af on 36-17133 sufficient, the application requested 0.39 afa, but I'm wondering if the shortened season is the reason for the reduced amount?

Otherwise, looks good to us.

Thanks

Travis

From: Skinner, Corey <Corey.Skinner@idwr.idaho.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 11, 2019 9:16 AM
To: Travis Thompson <tlt@idahowaters.com>; Gary Slette <gslette@rsidaholaw.com>
Subject: Donald & Bonnie Pica Trust DRAFT Permits 36-17132 & 36-17133

Gentlemen,

As we discussed last week, attached are copies of two DRAFT permits, 36-17132 and 36-17133, for the Donald & Bonnie Pica Trust. Let me know if you have any questions. If they look good to you, let me know and I can go ahead with issuing the permit approvals.

Corey