

CONVERSATION MEMORANDUM

DATE 12/9/96

FILE # 65A

NAME OF PARTY KEN BRUGH 454-9090

EMPLOYEE STEVE LASTER

RE: REQUEST FOR WATERMASTER RECORDS

FOR AN APPRAISAL, BANK NEEDS CA PAST 5 YEARS WATERMASTER DELIVERY RECORDS.

Re → Alvin/Lee Schmelzer } 65-02897
 } 65-03099

pumps from Squaw Cr., probably just "flood flow"
 (off when storage water is on).

Billing = \$10/m. - OK

Send to 4010 LASTER LN. CALDWELL 83605

take c 1 wk. \$ to do - OK

12/16/96

✓ CALLED KEN - told him that:

I checked 1991-1995 Wm records - no delivery to either right as expected since:

- 2897 Sq. Cr 1987 d.u.p. so would not show up in shortage period delivery records;

- 3099 non creek, is trib., not expected to be ~~reg~~ regulated.

CONVERSATION MEMORANDUM

DATE 8/5/96

FILE 65A

NAME OF PARTY Mark John 365-3522

EMPLOYEE STEVE LOSTER

RE: Sycow Cr. Ditch Co.

Roxanne M. relayed this info to me re
concern of water use re Syc. Cr. Ditch & Sweet.

M. John = board member (also = Sheriff of Gen Co.)

Roxanne: - no ir claims LILA glimp for Bs. 65
- found wa 65-02672 device
- 07065 license

but not claimed - why not?

Issue: 120 share ditch (reservoir H2O)
NAT flow creek

What if using same area? Shouldn't have
to conserve water ($\leq 1"/acre$) now that
supply supply tighter?

✓ i call

65-07065 = 55" nat. flow Syc. Cr.

If board sends letter, IDWR can investigate &
make determination. Ditch co. probably cannot make
" re who can use what.