

**BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO**

IN THE MATTER OF PERMIT NO. 75-14899	)	PRELIMINARY ORDER
IN THE NAME OF MICHAEL T. JENSEN	)	REINSTATING A PERMIT
AND SUSAN J. JENSEN	)	
_____	)	

This matter having come before the Idaho Department of Water Resources ("Department"), the Department finds, concludes and orders as follows:

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. On September 27, 2018, the Department issued Permit No. 75-14899 ("Permit") in the name of Kristin M. Albert and/or Cory R. Albert ("Original Owners") authorizing the diversion of 0.04 cubic feet per second of water from the North Fork Salmon River for domestic use in a 4.7 acre parcel of land within Homestead Entry Survey No. 58, which corresponds to the SE¼SW¼ and SW¼SE¼ of Section 11 of Township 25 North, Range 21 West, B.M., Lemhi County. A condition of permit approval required proof of beneficial use of water to be submitted to the Department on or before October 1, 2020.
2. On October 1, 2018, the Department received an *Assignment of Permit to Change Ownership of a Permit* ("Assignment") assigning the Permit to Michael T. Jensen and Susan J. Jensen ("Permit Holders").
3. On July 31, 2020, the Department notified the Permit Holders by certified mail that proof of beneficial use was due and instructed the Permit Holders of the steps to be taken to submit a *Statement of Completion for Submitting Proof of Beneficial Use* ("Proof") or a *Request for Extension of Time* ("Extension Request").
4. On September 30, 2020, Wayne Worley and Dyann Worley ("Worleys") filed an incomplete Proof along with a deed conveying two parcels of land in Homestead Entry Survey No. 58 from the Permit Holders to the Worleys. The Department returned the incomplete Proof to the Worleys.
5. On November 13, 2020, the Department attempted unsuccessfully to contact the Permit Holders by telephone regarding the Worleys' attempt to submit Proof.
6. On November 16, 2020, the Worleys filed a complete Proof.
7. On January 6, 2021, the Department sent a letter to the Permit Holders regarding the Proof submitted by the Worleys.
8. On January 11, 2021, Susan Jensen contacted the Department stating the Permit Holders had sold their land to the Worleys and that they have no interest in the Permit.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Idaho Code § 42-218a(1) states, in pertinent part:

A permit upon which the proof of beneficial use..., has not been submitted, or a request for extension of time has not been received on or before the date set for such

proof, shall lapse and be of no further force nor effect... . Notice of said lapsing shall be sent by the department to the permit holder at the address of record by regular mail

....

Idaho Code § 42-218a(2) states, in pertinent part:


Within sixty (60) days after such notice of lapsing the department may, upon a showing of reasonable cause, reinstate the permit with the priority date advanced a time equal to the number of days that said showing is subsequent to the date set for proof.

By submitting a complete Proof and evidence of a possessory interest in the authorized place of use for the Permit, the Worleys have shown reasonable cause why the Permit should be reinstated. The Permit should be reinstated based upon the November 16, 2020, Proof filing date.

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Permit No. 75-14899 is **REINSTATED** and the Permit's priority date is advanced to September 11, 2018.

DATED this 17th day of January, 2021.



Shelley W. Keen, Chief
Water Allocation Bureau



BRAD LITTLE
Governor

State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

322 East Front Street • P.O. Box 83720 • Boise, Idaho 83720-0098

Phone: (208) 287-4800 • Fax: (208) 287-6700 • Website: www.idwr.idaho.gov

GARY SPACKMAN
Director

January 21, 2021

WAYNE WORLEY
DYANN WORLEY
2862 HIGHWAY 93 N
GIBBONSVILLE ID 83463-8516

PROOF ACKNOWLEDGEMENT LETTER

RE: Permit No. 75-14899

Dear Present Owners:

The Department acknowledges receipt of the Proof of Beneficial Use form ("proof") and license examination fee for the above-referenced water right permit. Enclosed is an order that reinstates this permit since proof and the license examination fee were submitted after the proof deadline. Please note that the priority date for this permit has been advanced to **September 11, 2018**, pursuant to Idaho Code § 42-218a(2).

The next step in the process of developing a water right is for the Department to conduct a field examination to determine and confirm the use being made of the water according to the conditions of the permit.

Please be advised that Idaho Code § 42-248, requires you or the owner of this water right to maintain current ownership and address records on file with the Department. Forms to file a change of ownership of a water right and/or a change in the address of the water right owner are available from any Department office or at the Department's website at www.idwr.idaho.gov.

Sincerely,

Debbi Judd
Technical Records Specialist

Enclosures

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on **January 21, 2021** I mailed a true and correct copy, postage prepaid, of the foregoing PRELIMINARY ORDER (**PRELIMINARY ORDER REINSTATING A PERMIT**) to the persons listed below:

RE: Preliminary Order in the Matter of Permit No. **75-14899**

**WAYNE WORLEY
DYANN WORLEY
2862 HIGHWAY 93 N
GIBBONSVILLE ID 83463-8516**



Debbi Judd
Technical Records Specialist

EXPLANATORY INFORMATION TO ACCOMPANY A PRELIMINARY ORDER

(To be used in connection with actions when a hearing was **not** held)

(Required by Rule of Procedure 730.02)

The accompanying order or approved document is a "**Preliminary Order**" issued by the department pursuant to section 67-5243, Idaho Code. **It can and will become a final order without further action of the Department of Water Resources ("department") unless a party petitions for reconsideration, files an exception and brief, or requests a hearing as further described below:**

PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION

Any party may file a petition for reconsideration of a preliminary order with the department within fourteen (14) days of the service date of this order. **Note: the petition must be received by the department within this fourteen (14) day period.** The department will act on a petition for reconsideration within twenty-one (21) days of its receipt, or the petition will be considered denied by operation of law. See Section 67-5243(3) Idaho Code.

EXCEPTIONS AND BRIEFS

Within fourteen (14) days after: (a) the service date of a preliminary order, (b) the service date of a denial of a petition for reconsideration from this preliminary order, or (c) the failure within twenty-one (21) days to grant or deny a petition for reconsideration from this preliminary order, any party may in writing support or take exceptions to any part of a preliminary order and may file briefs in support of the party's position on any issue in the proceeding with the Director. Otherwise, this preliminary order will become a final order of the agency.

REQUEST FOR HEARING

Unless a right to a hearing before the Department or the Water Resource Board is otherwise provided by statute, any person aggrieved by any final decision, determination, order or action of the Director of the Department and who has not previously been afforded an opportunity for a hearing on the matter may request a hearing pursuant to section 42-1701A(3), Idaho Code. A written petition contesting the action of the Director and requesting a hearing shall be filed within fifteen (15) days after receipt of the denial or conditional approval.

ORAL ARGUMENT

If the Director grants a petition to review the preliminary order, the Director shall allow all parties an opportunity to file briefs in support of or taking exceptions to the preliminary order and may schedule oral argument in the matter before issuing a final order. If oral arguments are to be heard, the Director will within a reasonable time period notify each party of the place, date and hour for the argument of the case. Unless the Director orders otherwise, all oral arguments will be heard in Boise, Idaho.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

All exceptions, briefs, requests for oral argument and any other matters filed with the Director in connection with the preliminary order shall be served on all other parties to the proceedings in accordance with IDAPA Rules 37.01.01302 and 37.01.01303 (Rules of Procedure 302 and 303).

FINAL ORDER

The Director will issue a final order within fifty-six (56) days of receipt of the written briefs, oral argument or response to briefs, whichever is later, unless waived by the parties or for good cause shown. The Director may remand the matter for further evidentiary hearings if further factual development of the record is necessary before issuing a final order. The department will serve a copy of the final order on all parties of record.

Section 67-5246(5), Idaho Code, provides as follows:

Unless a different date is stated in a final order, the order is effective fourteen (14) days after its service date if a party has not filed a petition for reconsideration. If a party has filed a petition for reconsideration with the agency head, the final order becomes effective when:

- (a) The petition for reconsideration is disposed of; or
- (b) The petition is deemed denied because the agency head did not dispose of the petition within twenty-one (21) days.

APPEAL OF FINAL ORDER TO DISTRICT COURT

Pursuant to sections 67-5270 and 67-5272, Idaho Code, if this preliminary order becomes final, any party aggrieved by the final order or orders previously issued in this case may appeal the final order and all previously issued orders in this case to district court by filing a petition in the district court of the county in which:

- i. A hearing was held,
- ii. The final agency action was taken,
- iii. The party seeking review of the order resides, or
- iv. The real property or personal property that was the subject of the agency action is located.

The appeal must be filed within twenty-eight (28) days of this preliminary order becoming final. See section 67-5273, Idaho Code. The filing of an appeal to district court does not itself stay the effectiveness or enforcement of the order under appeal.