

Before Split

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
Proof Report

1/26/2021

Water Right 67-356D

Owner Type **Name and Address**
Current Owner VONDA LAWRENCE
 PO BOX 445
 COUNCIL, ID 83612
 (208) 253-4200

Current Owner VICKI LYNN FORD
 PO BOX 326
 CAMBRIDGE, ID 83612
 (208) 257-3805

Priority Date: 5/1/1885
Basis: Decreed
Status: Active

Source **Tributary**
MILL CREEK WEISER RIVER

Beneficial Use	From	To	Diversion Rate	Volume
IRRIGATION	03/15	11/15	0.053 CFS	
	Total Diversion		0.053 CFS	

Source and Point(s) of Diversion
MILL CREEK SENWSE Sec. 36, Twp 17N, Rge 01W, ADAMS County

Place Of Use
IRRIGATION within ADAMS County

			NE				NW				SW				SE				Totals
Twp	Rng	Sec	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	
16N	01W	2	10.0 L1																10.0
17N	01W	35															16.0	34.0	50.0

Right Acre Limit: 4.2

POU Total Acres: 60.0

Conditions of Approval:

1. C18 This partial decree is subject to such general provisions necessary for the definition of the rights or for the efficient administration of the water rights as may be ultimately determined by the Court at a point in time no later than the entry of a final unified decree. Section 42-1412(6), Idaho Code.
2. K06 This right is limited to the irrigation of 4.24 acres within the place of use described above in a single irrigation season.
3. E56 The rights listed below are limited to the irrigation of a combined total of 60.0 acres in a single irrigation season. Combined Right Nos.: 67-348E, 67-356D, 67-357, and 67-358.

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
Proof Report

1/26/2021

Comments:

1. csaxton 5/31/2006 Recommendation
*The WM records are unclear as to who receives delivery of this right. However, it appears that WM records show that it was divided evenly among three users. Two of the users SRBA claims can be identified and will be recommended per WM records which matches their claims (67-356A and 67-356B). Since we cannot determine for sure who has the third right as the name on the claim does not match the tax lot date and I can not match the parcel data, and all claims are within the decreed POU, I will split the last 0.103 cfs between the two remaining claims (61-356C and 67-356D) until additional evidence is submitted showing differently.

2. csaxton 5/31/2006 POD
POD location verified by Water Master GPS point. Tag #: A0014307

Dates and Other Information

Decreed Date: 10/20/2008
Civil Case Number: 39576
Judicial District: FIFTH
State or Federal: S
Owner Name Connector: OR
Water District Number: 67
Mitigation Plan: False

Combined Use Limits

<u>Rate</u>	<u>Volume</u>	<u>Acres</u>
		60.0
67-348E ,67-356D ,67-358 ,67-357		

Element Reviewed/Verified Dates:

<u>Element</u>	<u>Last Reviewed</u> <u>Date</u>	<u>Reviewer</u>	<u>Last Verified Date</u>	<u>Verifier</u>	<u>Status</u>
Priority Date	7/30/2004	segbert			Closed
Source	7/30/2004	segbert			Closed
POU	7/30/2004	segbert			Closed
Use	7/30/2004	segbert			Closed
Season	7/30/2004	segbert			Closed
Quantity	7/30/2004	segbert			Closed
POD	7/30/2004	segbert			Closed
Contacts	5/31/2006	csaxton			Closed
Priority Date	5/31/2006	csaxton			Closed
POD	5/31/2006	csaxton			Closed
Source	5/31/2006	csaxton			Closed
POU	5/31/2006	csaxton			Closed
Use	5/31/2006	csaxton			Closed
Season	5/31/2006	csaxton			Closed
Quantity	5/31/2006	csaxton			Closed
Summary	5/31/2006	csaxton	5/31/2006	csaxton	Closed

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
Proof Report

1/26/2021

Verification Log

<u>Element</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>User</u>	<u>Log Text</u>
Priority Date	7/30/2004	segbert	(No) 1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source? (Yes) 4. Is the basis of claim decree or license? (Yes) 5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date? (Yes) 6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date ? (Yes) 15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly?
Source	7/30/2004	segbert	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of claim Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a source? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed source be verified using the water right file?
POU	7/30/2004	segbert	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 2a. Is the POU required? (Yes) 5. Does the Decree or License have a POU? (Yes) 6. Can the claimed POU be verified using the water right file?
POU	7/30/2004	segbert	Created polygon from PLS
Use	7/30/2004	segbert	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a Purpose of Use? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed Purpose of Use be verified using the water right file?
Season	7/30/2004	segbert	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of claim Decree or License? (No) 4. Does the Decree or License have a Period of Use? (No) 6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Period of Use? (Yes) 12. Does the file contain all the necessary information to verify the Period of Use? (No) 13. Is the basis of claim a decree, license, or a transferred beneficial use right that has had the Period of Use administratively determined? (Yes) 15. Is irrigation claimed as a Purpose of Use?
Quantity	7/30/2004	segbert	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a diversion rate and/or volume?
POD	7/30/2004	segbert	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License?
Contacts	5/31/2006	csaxton	(No) 1. Do you want to schedule an appointment? Comment for 1: Desktop Review
General	5/31/2006	csaxton	(No) 1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source? (Yes) 4. Is the basis of claim decree or license? (Yes) 5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date? (Yes) 6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date ? (Yes) 15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly? (No) 16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required?
POD	5/31/2006	csaxton	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (No) 4. Does the Decree or License have a POD? (No) 6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POD? (Yes) 9. Does the file contain all the necessary information to verify the POD? (No) 10. Is a POD remark and/or condition required?
POD	5/31/2006	csaxton	Updated shape
POD	5/31/2006	csaxton	Updated PLS

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
Proof Report

1/26/2021

Source	5/31/2006	csaxton	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of claim Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a source? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed source be verified using the water right file? (No) 11. Is a Source remark and/or condition required?
POU	5/31/2006	csaxton	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 2a. Is the POU required? (Yes) 5. Does the Decree or License have a POU? (Yes) 6. Can the claimed POU be verified using the water right file? (Yes) 14. Is a POU remark and/or condition required?
POU	5/31/2006	csaxton	Updated shape for Active POU
POU	5/31/2006	csaxton	Updated PLS for Active POU
Use	5/31/2006	csaxton	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a Purpose of Use? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed Purpose of Use be verified using the water right file? (No) 13. Is a Purpose of Use remark and/or condition required?
Season	5/31/2006	csaxton	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of claim Decree or License? (No) 4. Does the Decree or License have a Period of Use? (No) 6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Period of Use? (Yes) 12. Does the file contain all the necessary information to verify the Period of Use? (No) 13. Is the basis of claim a decree, license, or a transferred beneficial use right that has had the Period of Use administratively determined? (Yes) 15. Is irrigation claimed as a Purpose of Use? (No) 18. Is a Period of Use remark and/or condition required?
Quantity	5/31/2006	csaxton	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a diversion rate and/or volume? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed diversion rate and/or volume be verified using the water right file? (No) 8. Does the parent right have an annual diversion volume limit? (No) 9. Is the source Groundwater and does the purpose of use require a diversion volume limit? (No) 11. Has a diversion volume limit been established by a decree, license or transfer for this water use? (No) 12. Is a Quantity remark or condition required?
Summary	5/31/2006	csaxton	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice? (No) 2. Does any evidence indicate a five year period of continuous nonuse occurred that could result in recommending forfeiture or partial forfeiture? (Yes) 5. Does this right have any combined use limits with other rights? (Yes) 6. Is an additional remark and/or condition required? (Yes) 7. Would you like to view the recommended right? (Yes) 8. Is this a split or combined right? (No) 9. Do you have documents you would like to attach or link to the file? (Yes) 10. Would you like to set the verification date?

SubCase:

N/A

Water Supply Bank:


N/A

Before Split

State of Idaho
Department of Water Resources

Attachment to Water Right License
67-356d

This map depicts the IRRIGATION place of use boundary for this water right at the time of this approval and is attached to the approval document solely for illustrative purposes.



-  Point of Diversion
-  Place Of Use Boundary
-  Townships
-  PLS Sections
-  Quarter Quarters

0 0.175 0.35 0.7 Miles



IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
Proof Report

1/26/2021

Comments:

1. csaxton 5/31/2006 Recommendation
*The WM records are unclear as to who receives delivery of this right. However, it appears that WM records show that it was divided evenly among three users. Two of the users SRBA claims can be identified and will be recommended per WM records which matches their claims (67-356A and 67-356B). Since we cannot determine for sure who has the third right as the name on the claim does not match the tax lot date and I can not match the parcel data, and all claims are within the decreed POU, I will split the last 0.103 cfs between the two remaining claims (61-356C and 67-356D) until additional evidence is submitted showing differently.
2. csaxton 5/31/2006 POD
POD location verified by Water Master GPS point. Tag #: A0014307
3. TSmith 1/26/2021 Split Right
Split into 67-15374.
4. TSmith 1/26/2021 POU
Updated Shape

Dates and Other Information

Decreed Date: 10/20/2008
Civil Case Number: 39576
Judicial District: FIFTH
State or Federal: S
Owner Name Connector: OR
Water District Number: 67
Mitigation Plan: False

Combined Use Limits

<u>Rate</u>	<u>Volume</u>	<u>Acres</u>
67-348E ,67-356D ,67-358 ,67-357		55.8

Element Reviewed/Verified Dates:

<u>Element</u>	<u>Last Reviewed Date</u>	<u>Reviewer</u>	<u>Last Verified Date</u>	<u>Verifier</u>	<u>Status</u>
Priority Date	7/30/2004	segbert			Closed
Source	7/30/2004	segbert			Closed
POU	7/30/2004	segbert			Closed
Use	7/30/2004	segbert			Closed
Season	7/30/2004	segbert			Closed
Quantity	7/30/2004	segbert			Closed
POD	7/30/2004	segbert			Closed
Contacts	5/31/2006	csaxton			Closed
Priority Date	5/31/2006	csaxton			Closed
POD	5/31/2006	csaxton			Closed
Source	5/31/2006	csaxton			Closed

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

1/26/2021

Proof Report

POU	5/31/2006	csaxton			Closed
Use	5/31/2006	csaxton			Closed
Season	5/31/2006	csaxton			Closed
Quantity	5/31/2006	csaxton			Closed
Summary	5/31/2006	csaxton	5/31/2006	csaxton	Closed

Verification Log

<u>Element</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>User</u>	<u>Log Text</u>
Priority Date	7/30/2004	segbert	(No) 1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source? (Yes) 4. Is the basis of claim decree or license? (Yes) 5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date? (Yes) 6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date ? (Yes) 15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly?
Source	7/30/2004	segbert	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of claim Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a source? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed source be verified using the water right file?
POU	7/30/2004	segbert	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 2a. Is the POU required? (Yes) 5. Does the Decree or License have a POU? (Yes) 6. Can the claimed POU be verified using the water right file?
POU	7/30/2004	segbert	Created polygon from PLS
Use	7/30/2004	segbert	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a Purpose of Use? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed Purpose of Use be verified using the water right file?
Season	7/30/2004	segbert	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of claim Decree or License? (No) 4. Does the Decree or License have a Period of Use? (No) 6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Period of Use? (Yes) 12. Does the file contain all the necessary information to verify the Period of Use? (No) 13. Is the basis of claim a decree, license, or a transferred beneficial use right that has had the Period of Use administratively determined? (Yes) 15. Is irrigation claimed as a Purpose of Use?
Quantity	7/30/2004	segbert	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a diversion rate and/or volume?
POD	7/30/2004	segbert	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License?
Contacts	5/31/2006	csaxton	(No) 1. Do you want to schedule an appointment? Comment for 1: Desktop Review
General	5/31/2006	csaxton	(No) 1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source? (Yes) 4. Is the basis of claim decree or license? (Yes) 5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date? (Yes) 6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date ? (Yes) 15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly? (No) 16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required?
POD	5/31/2006	csaxton	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (No) 4. Does the Decree or License have a POD?

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

1/26/2021

Proof Report

			(No) 6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POD? (Yes) 9. Does the file contain all the necessary information to verify the POD? (No) 10. Is a POD remark and/or condition required?
POD	5/31/2006	csaxton	Updated shape
POD	5/31/2006	csaxton	Updated PLS
Source	5/31/2006	csaxton	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of claim Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a source? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed source be verified using the water right file? (No) 11. Is a Source remark and/or condition required?
POU	5/31/2006	csaxton	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 2a. Is the POU required? (Yes) 5. Does the Decree or License have a POU? (Yes) 6. Can the claimed POU be verified using the water right file? (Yes) 14. Is a POU remark and/or condition required?
POU	5/31/2006	csaxton	Updated shape for Active POU
POU	5/31/2006	csaxton	Updated PLS for Active POU
Use	5/31/2006	csaxton	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a Purpose of Use? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed Purpose of Use be verified using the water right file? (No) 13. Is a Purpose of Use remark and/or condition required?
Season	5/31/2006	csaxton	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of claim Decree or License? (No) 4. Does the Decree or License have a Period of Use? (No) 6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Period of Use? (Yes) 12. Does the file contain all the necessary information to verify the Period of Use? (No) 13. Is the basis of claim a decree, license, or a transferred beneficial use right that has had the Period of Use administratively determined? (Yes) 15. Is irrigation claimed as a Purpose of Use? (No) 18. Is a Period of Use remark and/or condition required?
Quantity	5/31/2006	csaxton	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a diversion rate and/or volume? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed diversion rate and/or volume be verified using the water right file? (No) 8. Does the parent right have an annual diversion volume limit? (No) 9. Is the source Groundwater and does the purpose of use require a diversion volume limit? (No) 11. Has a diversion volume limit been established by a decree, license or transfer for this water use? (No) 12. Is a Quantity remark or condition required?
Summary	5/31/2006	csaxton	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice? (No) 2. Does any evidence indicate a five year period of continuous nonuse occurred that could result in recommending forfeiture or partial forfeiture? (Yes) 5. Does this right have any combined use limits with other rights? (Yes) 6. Is an additional remark and/or condition required? (Yes) 7. Would you like to view the recommended right? (Yes) 8. Is this a split or combined right? (No) 9. Do you have documents you would like to attach or link to the file? (Yes) 10. Would you like to set the verification date?

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
Proof Report

1/26/2021

SubCase:

N/A

Water Supply Bank:

N/A

