

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM.

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39570

Ident. Number: A36-10169
Date Received: 7/07/1988
Receipt No: S000755
Received By: SCC

**NOTICE OF CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW**

1. Name: FRED A. BECKSTEAD 208-837-4573
Address: BOX 359
HAGERMAN, ID 83332

OR

Name: FAYE COATES

Address: HAGERMAN, ID

83332

2. Date of Priority: JAN 01, 1910

3. Source: WASTE WATER

Trib. to: SINKS

4. Point of Diversion:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4 of	1/4 of	Lot	County
07S	13E	14	SE	NW	NW		GOODING

5. Description of diverting works:
DITCHES.

6. Water is used for the following purposes:

Purpose	From	To	C.F.S.	(or)	A.F.A.
IRRIGATION	04/01	11/01	2.000		

7. Total Quantity Appropriated is:
2.000 C.F.S. (and/or)

A.F.A.

8. Total consumptive use is 30.0 Acre Feet Per Annum.

9. Non-irrigation uses:

A36-10169

Page 1

Date: 07/07/88

MICROFILMED

OCT 20 1994

10. Place of Use:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of 1/4	Lot	Use	Acres
07S	13E	14	NW	NW	IRR	7.5
Section Acres						7.5
Total Acres						7.5

11. Place of use in counties: GOODING

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? YES

13. Other Water Rights Used:
A36-04025

14. Remarks:

15. Basis of Claim: BENEFICIAL USE

16. Signature(s)

(a.) By signing below, I/We acknowledge that I/We have received, read and understand the form entitled "How you will receive notice in the Snake River Basin Adjudication." (b.) I/We do _____ do not X wish to receive and pay a small annual fee for monthly copies of the docket sheet.

Number of attachments: 8

For Individuals:

I/We do solemnly swear or affirm that the statements contained in the foregoing document are true and correct.

Signature of Claimant(s): *Page Coates* Date: 7-7-88

Date: _____

State of Idaho)
County of Twin Falls) SS.

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) before me this 7th day

of July 19 88

Mary A. Baldwin
Notary Public

Seal

Residing at *Leading Hill*
My Commission Expires 10/16/1992

36-00004	36-00047	36-00065	36-00066B	36-00067	36-00136A	36-00136B	36-00194	36-02046	36-02166
36-02178	36-07298	36-10033	36-10035	36-10169	36-10223	36-10446	36-10815	36-15094	A36-00004
A36-00047	A36-00065	A36-00065B	A36-00066B	A36-00067	A36-00136	A36-00194	A36-02046	A36-02166	A36-02178
A36-07298	A36-10033	A36-10035	A36-10169	A36-10223	A36-10446	A36-10815	A36-15094		

TOTAL RECORDS IN SELECTION FILE: 38

MICROFILMED
OCT 20 1994

AJ5514NP

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
ADJUDICATION ATTORNEY NAME ADD/UPDATE

DATE: 05/17/93
TIME: 00:00:44.0
PAGE: 1

INPUT: AJ_SELECTION:SHAFF-BROWN-NOA6.SEL

TYPE OF ACTION: MODIFY

MODIFY ALL FIELDS FLAG: Y

LAST NAME: BROWN

ADDRESS LINE 1: HEPWORTH NUNGESTER LEZAMIZ

ADDRESS LINE 3: PO BOX 389

CITY: TWIN FALLS

STATE: ID ZIP: 833030389

FIRST NAME: PATRICK D

ADDRESS LINE 2:133 SHOSHONE ST N

PHONE NUMBER: (208) 734-7510

✓ 36-00004 SUCCESSFULLY CHANGED ON HOST
✓ 36-00047 SUCCESSFULLY CHANGED ON HOST
✓ 36-00065 SUCCESSFULLY CHANGED ON HOST
✓ 36-00066B SUCCESSFULLY CHANGED ON HOST
✓ 36-00067 SUCCESSFULLY CHANGED ON HOST
✓ 36-00136A SUCCESSFULLY CHANGED ON HOST
✓ 36-00136B SUCCESSFULLY CHANGED ON HOST
✓ 36-00194 SUCCESSFULLY CHANGED ON HOST
✓ 36-02046 SUCCESSFULLY CHANGED ON HOST
✓ 36-02166 SUCCESSFULLY CHANGED ON HOST
✓ 36-02178 SUCCESSFULLY CHANGED ON HOST
✓ 36-07298 SUCCESSFULLY CHANGED ON HOST
✓ 36-10033 SUCCESSFULLY CHANGED ON HOST
✓ 36-10035 SUCCESSFULLY CHANGED ON HOST
✓ 36-10169 SUCCESSFULLY CHANGED ON HOST
✓ 36-10223 SUCCESSFULLY CHANGED ON HOST
✓ 36-10446 SUCCESSFULLY CHANGED ON HOST
✓ 36-10815 SUCCESSFULLY CHANGED ON HOST
✓ 36-15094 SUCCESSFULLY CHANGED ON HOST
✓ A36-00004 SUCCESSFULLY CHANGED ON HOST
✓ A36-00047 SUCCESSFULLY CHANGED ON HOST
✓ A36-00065 SUCCESSFULLY CHANGED ON HOST
✓ A36-00065B SUCCESSFULLY CHANGED ON HOST
✓ A36-00066B SUCCESSFULLY CHANGED ON HOST
✓ A36-00067 SUCCESSFULLY CHANGED ON HOST
✓ A36-00136 SUCCESSFULLY CHANGED ON HOST
✓ A36-00194 SUCCESSFULLY CHANGED ON HOST
✓ A36-02046 SUCCESSFULLY CHANGED ON HOST
✓ A36-02166 SUCCESSFULLY CHANGED ON HOST
✓ A36-02178 SUCCESSFULLY CHANGED ON HOST
✓ A36-07298 SUCCESSFULLY CHANGED ON HOST
✓ A36-10033 SUCCESSFULLY CHANGED ON HOST
✓ A36-10035 SUCCESSFULLY CHANGED ON HOST
✓ A36-10169 SUCCESSFULLY CHANGED ON HOST
✓ A36-10223 SUCCESSFULLY CHANGED ON HOST
✓ A36-10446 SUCCESSFULLY CHANGED ON HOST
✓ A36-10815 SUCCESSFULLY CHANGED ON HOST
✓ A36-15094 SUCCESSFULLY CHANGED ON HOST

MICROFILMED
OCT 20 1994

1 Hagerman Water Right Owners, Inc. and its individual members, the
2 following individuals:

3	Alice A. & Paul J. Anderton	✓A36-10223 ^h
	Fay Coates and/or Fred Beckstead	✓A36-07298 ^h
4	Fay Coates and/or Fred Beckstead	✓A36-00066B ^h
	Fay Coates and/or Fred Beckstead	✓A36-10169 ^h
5	Fay Coates and/or Fred Beckstead	✓A36-00065 ^h
	Fay Coates and/or Fred Beckstead	✓A36-00067 ^h
6	Dairy West Associates	✓A36-10815 ^h
	Michael J. Fleming	✓A36-15094 ^h
	Michael J. Fleming	✓A36-10446 ^h
7	Salmon Falls Land & Livestock	✓A36-00194 ^h
	Salmon Falls Land & Livestock	✓A36-02046 ^h
8	Salmon Falls Land & Livestock	✓A36-02166 ^h
	Salmon Falls Land & Livestock	✓A36-02178 ^h
9	Salmon Falls Land & Livestock	A36-00136B ^h
	Salmon Falls Land & Livestock	A36-00136A ^h
10	Salmon Falls Land & Livestock	✓A36-10035 ^h
	Salmon Falls Land & Livestock	✓A36-10033 ^h
11	Spring Valley Ranch, Inc.	✓A36-00004 ^h
	Dixie Adamson	✓A36-00047 ^h

12 Dated this 10 day of May, 1993.

13 HEPWORTH, NUNGESTER & LEZAMIZ,
14 CHARTERED

15 By: 

16 Patrick D. Brown
17 Attorneys for Respondents
18
19
20
21
22
23

1 HEPWORTH, NUNGESTER & LEZAMIZ,
2 CHARTERED
3 133 Shoshone St. North
4 P.O. Box 389
5 Twin Falls, ID 83303-0389
6 Telephone: (208)734-7510
7 Attorneys for Claimant
8 (PDB\21530SUP.NO6\crh)
9

10 IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
11 STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS
12

13 * * * * *

14 In Re the General Adjudication)
15 of Rights to the Use of Water)
16 from the Snake River Basin)
17 Water System)

Case No. 39576

18 The State of Idaho, ex rel.)
19 R. Keith Higginson in his)
20 official capacity as director)
21 of the Idaho Department of)
22 Water Resources,)

HAGERMAN WATER RIGHT OWNERS,
INC. AND ITS INDIVIDUAL
MEMBERS' SIXTH SUPPLEMENTAL
NOTICE OF APPEARANCE

23 Petitioner,)

24 vs.)

25 United States; State of Idaho;)
26 and all claimants to the use)
27 of water from the Snake River)
28 Basin Water System,)

29 Respondents.)
30

31 * * * * *

32 COMES NOW the law firm of Hepworth, Nungester & Lezamiz
33 and supplements its Notice of Appearance to add on behalf of

SIXTH SUPPLEMENTAL NOTICE OF APPEARANCE - 1



Hepworth,
Nungester,
& Lezamiz

MICROFILMED

OCT 20 1994


CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

The undersigned, a resident attorney of the State of Idaho, with offices at 133 Shoshone St. North, P.O. Box 389, Twin Falls, Idaho 83303, and one of the attorneys for the respondents in this matter, certifies that he caused to be mailed a copy of the above and foregoing FIFTH SUPPLEMENTAL NOTICE OF APPEARANCE on the 10 day of May, 1993, to:

Snake River Adjudication (original)
District Court
P.O. Box 27070
Twin Falls, ID 83303-0126

Chief, Natural Resources Division (1)
Office of Attorney General
State of Idaho
P.O. Box 44449
Boise, Idaho 83711-4449

The United States Department of Justice (1)
Environment and Natural Resources Division
550 West Fort Street, Box 33
Boise, ID 83734


Patrick D. Brown



Hepworth,
Nungester,
& Lezamiz



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Southern Region, 222 Shoshone St. East, Twin Falls, Idaho 83301 (208) 734-3578

CECIL D. ANDRUS
Governor

R. KEITH HIGGINSON
Director

October 3, 1990

A36-10169
FRED A. BECKSTEAD
P.O. BOX 359

HAGERMAN

ID 88832

Dear Claimant(s):

In the past you submitted the claim(s) identified with the number(s) shown above your name and address at the top of this letter. You indicated on the claim(s) that the basis for establishing the water right(s) was Beneficial Use, meaning the water right was not previously confirmed by a decree, water right license or permit before you filed the claim(s).

The purpose of this letter is to request evidence supporting the priority date(s) you have claimed. The enclosed pamphlet describes what this means. While I encourage you to read all of the pamphlet, I direct your attention to the right-hand half of the pamphlet after you open it. There you will find two sections: What Kind of Evidence is Required, and Some Examples of Documents which May Be Evidence of the Priority Date. These sections tell you what must be submitted. The other sections tell you why the evidence is necessary and what the consequences of not submitting the evidence could be.

Please submit the requested evidence by November 1, 1990. When returning to the Department please reference all documents with the claim(s) identification number(s) listed above.

If you have questions not addressed by the pamphlet or need other technical assistance, please feel free to contact this office. For advice on your water right(s), please contact your attorney.

Sincerely,

Allen Merritt, P.E.
Water Rights Supervisor

Enclosure

MICROFILMED
FEB 07 1993

12-31-90

A36-10169

I William Strange testify that
the waste water from the springs
on the land known as the Sullivan
place now owned by Fred H. Beckstead
has been used there for the past
(35) thirty five years for irrigation.

W^m Strange

RECEIVED

JAN - 2 1991

Department of Water Resources
Southern Region Office

MICROFILMED
FEB 07 1993

AJ0749NP
No. R36-10169

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
ADJUDICATION CLAIM PROFILE REPORT
(REGIONAL)

DATE: 01/12/98
PAGE: 1

OWNER CODE	NAME & ADDRESS	PRIORITY DATE	WATER USE	USE PERIOD FROM TO	DIVERSION RATE	DIVERSION VOLUME
C	FRED A BECKSTEAD PO BOX 359 HAGERMAN ID 83332 (208) 837-4573	01/01/1957	IRRIGATION	03-15 11-15	0.11 CFS	
TOTAL DIVERSION:					0.11 CFS	

C FAYE COATES
PO BOX 359
HAGERMAN ID 83332

Water Source: WASTE WATER

Tributary to: SINKS

Stage: BENEFICIAL USE

Point(s) of Diversion: T07S R13E S14 SENWNW

GOODING County

Place of use Legal Description for: IRRIGATION

				NE				NW				SW				SE								
T	R	S	:	NENE	NWNE	SWNE	SENE	:	NENW	NWNW	SWNW	SENW	:	NESW	NWSW	SWSW	SESW	:	NESE	NWSE	SWSE	SESE	:	SUM
			:	Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac	:	Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac	:	Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac	:	Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac	:	
07S	13E	14	:					:			04		:					:					:	4.0
TOTAL ACRES																							4.0	

POU County: GOODING

Other Rights: 36-00066B

Remarks: QNT USE OF THIS RIGHT WITH RIGHT NO. 36-00066B IS LIMITED TO A
TOTAL COMBINED DIVERSION RATE OF 0.61 CFS.

POU1 USE OF THIS RIGHT WITH RIGHT NO. 36-00066B IS LIMITED TO
THE IRRIGATION OF A COMBINED TOTAL OF 20.9 ACRES IN A SINGLE
IRRIGATION SEASON.

EXP A DIVERSION RATE OF 0.11 CFS AT THE FIELD HEADGATE IS
RECOMMENDED FOR THE PLACE OF USE DESCRIBED ABOVE.

Dates: Exam Made Date: Verified Date: 01/12/1998 Objection Filed Date:

Misc: Water District Number: 36A Field Inspection Flag:
Presumption Flag:

Comments: Date: 08/04/1992 User-ID: CHRISTEN Subject: BASIS FOR DISALLOWAL
THIS CLAIM IS FOR WASTEWATER. IT IS THEIR OWN WASTEWATER AND IS

AJ0749NP
No. R36-10169

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
ADJUDICATION CLAIM PROFILE REPORT
(REGIONAL)

DATE: 01/12/98
PAGE: 2

=====

Comments: Date: 08/04/1992 User-ID: CHRISTEN Subject: BASIS FOR DISALLOWAL
DESCRIBED THROUGH 36-00066B AS A PART OF THE PLACE OF USE.

Date: 09/22/1992 User-ID: SCHULTZ Subject: NOTICE OF ERROR (NOE)
RECEIVED NOE 9/21/92. CHANGED NOE FLAG FROM BLANK TO A.
CLAIM VERIFIED 8/4/92. DID NOT REVERIFY ANY PART OF CLAIM.


Date: 10/13/1992 User-ID: CLELLAND Subject: RESPONSE TO NOE AND ALLOWAL OF RIGHT
THIS WATER WAS BELIEVED TO BE FROM THE SANDS DITCH RUNOFF UNDER
36-00066B BUT IS FROM THE DONKEY SPRINGS FISH USE UNDER 36-07298.

Date: 01/12/1998 User-ID: SCLELLAN Subject: REFORMAT TO TRIAL FINDINGS
REFORMAT TO TRIAL FINDINGS
NO CHANGES.

Audit: 01/12/98 SCLELLAN

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

36-00066 SPLITS



660 0 660 Feet


Decree = 50 Ac.

(66) Sands = 33 Ac.

TNS 7S, RNG 13E (67) Bell = 8 Ac

SEC 11 & 14

(65) Buckeye = 9 Ac.



⊙ Recommended Point of Diversion

DECREE Place of use



Section lines



Quarter/Quarter Lines

GIS PLACE OF USE PRESENTATION
1987 and/or 1988 NAPP PHOTOGRAPHY
DATA ENTRY JANUARY 9, 1998
BY STEVE CLELLAND

SUBCASE NO. 36-10169

	CLAIM (7/7/1988)	AMENDED CLAIM	RECOMMENDATION	OBJECTION
NAME	Fred Beckstead & Faye Coates	N/A	Fred Beckstead & Faye Coates	Fred Beckstead & Faye Coates
BASIS OF CLAIM	Beneficial Use		Beneficial Use	
SOURCE	Waste Water		Waste Water	
QUANTITY	~~2.00 cfs~~ amend to 0.24		0.11 cfs	XX - requests as decreed and "all water used from springs".
PRIORITY DATE	1/1/1910		1/1/1957	
PURPOSE OF USE	Irrigation		Irrigation	
PERIOD OF USE	4/1 - 11/1		3/15 - 11/15	XX - year round
POINT OF DIVERSION	T07S R13E S14		T07S R13E S14	
PLACE OF USE	T07S R13E S14 7.5 acres		T07S R13E S14 4.0 acres	XX - requests 7.5 acres
remarks			"A diversion rate of 0.11 cfs at the field headgate is recommended for the place of use described above. Use of this right with right no. 36- 00066B is limited to a total combined diversion rate of 0.61 cfs, a total combined annual consumptive use volume of 61.2 af, and to the irrigation of a combined total of 20.4 acres in a single irrigation season."	XX - requests that all remarks be deleted.

CLAIM NUMBERS 36-10169DATE 10-2-97

TRANSFER NUMBER _____

OBJECTION FILED _____

AGENT KKCTOTAL ACRES FROM RECOMMENDATION 4GEOGRAPHIC INFO SYSTEM 4

RIGHT NO				NE				NW				SW				SE			
	TNS	RNG	SEC	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE
RECOM	<u>7S</u>	<u>13E</u>	<u>14</u>						<u>4</u>										<u>4</u>
GIS									<u>4.3</u>										<u>4.3</u>
RECOM																			
GIS																			
RECOM																			
GIS																			
RECOM																			
GIS																			

UPDATED

POLYGON #

UPDATED

B36-DBPOU.DBF

10/2

POLYGON CREATED ON 604

()

ATTRIBUTES OF B36-POU.SHP

(512)

ATTRIBUTES OF B36-POD.SHP

(460)

P/U overlaps with a portion of the
P/U for 36-00067

P/U CONFLICT yes () no ()


P/D CONFLICT yes () no ()

READY FOR DECREE yes () no ()

SENT FOR DECREE yes () no ()


IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

36-10169
FAYE COATES &
FRED BECKSTEAD



660 0 660 1320 Feet

**TNS 7S, RNG 13E,
SEC 14, NW1/4**



⊙ Recommended Point of Diversion

□ Recommended Place of use

— Section lines

□ Quarter/Quarter Lines

GIS PLACE OF USE PRESENTATION
1987 and/or 1988 NAPP PHOTOGRAPHY
DATA ENTRY OCTOBER 2, 1997
BY KELLY K CHRISTENSEN

R PROOF

CVR

MAPS

PHOTOS

MISC

100-100000-100000-100000

100-100000-100000-100000

100-100000-100000-100000

100-100000-100000-100000

100-100000-100000-100000

MICROFILMED

OCT 20 1994

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

In Re the General Adjudication)
of the Rights to the Use of Water)
from the Snake River Basin)
Water System)

Case No. 36-10169 -0001

The State of Idaho, ex rel.)
R. Keith Higginson in his)
official capacity as Director)
of the Idaho Department of)
Water Resources,)

RESPONSE TO OBJECTION

Petitioner,)

vs.)

The United States; the State)
of Idaho; and all Claimants to)
the use of water from the Snake)
River Basin Water System,)

Respondents.)

I. GENERAL INFORMATION

1. Person responding to objection:

Name: R. KEITH HIGGINSON, DIRECTOR

Address: IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
P.O. BOX 44449
BOISE, ID 83711-4449

Briefly describe your interest in this water right:

IDWR is required to file a response to an objection to part
I of the director's report pursuant to I.C. section 42-1412(2).

(Over)

2:15 PM
MICROFILMED

2. Person who filed objection:

Name: FAYE COATES
Address: FRED A BECKSTEAD
PO BOX 359
HAGERMAN ID 83332

3. If this response is filed by an attorney:

Attorney Name(s): NICHOLAS B. SPENCER

Attorney Address: DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL(S)
IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
P.O. BOX 44449
BOISE, ID 83711-4449

Attorney Phone No.: (208) 327-7900

II. STATEMENT OF THE RESPONSE

3. ☒ Quantity: I respond to the objection concerning:
- 3a. ☒ The total quantity of water used in cubic feet per second.
4. ☒ Priority Date: I respond to the objection concerning the priority date.
8. ☒ Period of Year: I respond to the objection concerning the period of year when water is used or is necessary for the purpose stated.
9. ☒ Place of Use: I respond to the objection concerning:
- 9b. ☒ The number of irrigated acres within each forty (40) acre subdivision.
10. ☒ Consumptive Use: I respond to the objection concerning the annual volume of consumptive use.
11. ☒ Remarks: I respond to the objection concerning the "remarks" listed with the water right.

14. |X| Other: I respond to the objection concerning:

ALL MATTERS RAISED IN THE CLAIMANTS' ANSWER,
AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES, AND PRAYER FOR RELIEF.

REASONS SUPPORTING EACH BOX CHECKED

In response to the claimants' description of interest, and all allegations regarding that description of interest, IDWR responds as follows. The source of water claimed and recommended is waste water. If the claimants, by stating in the objection that private springs are the water source, are seeking to now assert a different source than claimed, they are improperly seek to use the objection process to amend the claim. A claimant must obtain leave of the court to amend a claim after a director's report is filed, just as a party must obtain leave of the court to file a late claim after the director's report is filed. Once leave of the court is granted, IDWR can investigate the amended claim and make an appropriate recommendation to the court. IDWR further responds that the claimed and recommended basis of the right is beneficial use. IDWR denies the asserted priority date on the grounds that the source of water claimed is waste water, and the evidence provided by the claimants establishes use of that water beginning no earlier than the recommended priority date.

In response to Statement of the Objection items 3 and 3.a, and all allegations of the objection regarding those items, IDWR responds as follows: (1) it recommended the amount of water it determined was being beneficially used; (2) the claimant is not entitled to any amount greater than that which is beneficially used (Idaho Code Secs. 42-104 and 42-1402); (3) the recommendation of the director to the SRBA Court as to how the right should be decreed does not constitute a taking because it is simply a recommendation and not a final determination of the right; and (4) the eventual decree by the SRBA Court of the amount of water beneficially used will not constitute a taking because the right is limited to that amount beneficially used.

In response to Statement of the Objection 4, and all allegations of the objection regarding this item, IDWR responds that it recommended this element of the water right based on the affidavit provided by the claimants in response to IDWR's request for evidence supporting the claimed priority date. Information currently available to IDWR does not support a finding of beneficial use of water from the claimed source earlier than the priority date recommended.

(Over)

001 30 124
MICROFILMED

In response to Statement of the Objection item 8, and all allegations of the objection regarding this item, IDWR responds as follows: (1) Idaho Code Sec. 42-1411(2)(g) requires the director to determine the period of use of each water right acquired under state law that is recommended in the director's report. (2) IDWR has developed irrigation season of use standards for various climatic areas throughout the state based on a 75% chance of a 24 degree fahrenheit frost. (3) The irrigation season of use cannot exceed the period in which water may be beneficially used. (4) The period of the year during which this water right may be used is consistent with the standard irrigation season of use for the area in which the place of use of this water right is located. (5) Water may be used for irrigation outside the standard season of use, subject to the conditions set forth in general provision 12b of the director's report. (6) The recommendation of the director to the SRBA Court as to how the right should be decreed does not constitute a taking because it is simply a recommendation and not a final determination of the right. (7) The eventual decree by the SRBA Court of the irrigation season of use will not constitute a taking because the right is limited to the period in which water may be beneficially used.

In further response to this objection item, IDWR responds that it recommended a longer season of use than claimed based on the above standards. By now seeking to include a year around season of use, the claimant improperly seeks to use the objection process to amend the claim. A claimant must obtain leave of the court to amend a claim after a director's report is filed, just as a party must obtain leave of the court to file a late claim after the director's report is filed. Once leave of the court is granted, IDWR can investigate the amended claim and make an appropriate recommendation to the court.

In response to Statement of the Objection 9 and 9.b, and all allegations of the objection regarding those items, IDWR responds that the recommended water right accurately describes the total number of acres within each 40 acre subdivision upon which IDWR found water was beneficially used for irrigation under this water right.

In response to Statement of the Objection item 10 and all allegations of the objection regarding this item, IDWR responds as follows: (1) Idaho Code Sec. 42-1411(2)(i) requires the director to determine the annual volume of consumptive use for

each water right acquired under state law and recommended in the director's report. (2) Idaho Code Sec. 42-1401A(2) provides that the quantification of consumptive use "is included as a rebuttable presumption in a decree only for the purpose of transfers pursuant to section 42-222, Idaho Code"; therefore, the consumptive use recommended for this water right is included solely for use in future transfer proceedings. (3) The annual volume of consumptive use recommended for this right is 3 acre feet per acre. This is the maximum volume of water consumed by the most water consumptive crop (pasture) that can be grown in the area during the period of year when water is used for irrigation, as provided for by Idaho Code Sec. 42-1401A(2). (4) The recommendation of the director to the SRBA Court as to how the right should be decreed does not constitute a taking because it is simply a recommendation and not a final determination of the right. (5) The eventual decree by the SRBA Court of the amount of water beneficially used will not constitute a taking because the right is limited to that amount beneficially used.

In response to Statement of the Objection item 11, and all allegations of the objection regarding that item, IDWR responds that it has authority pursuant to Idaho Code Sec. 42-1411(2)(j) to include in its recommendations of water rights acquired under state law and recommended in the director's report "such other matters as are necessary to define the right." The remark or remarks objected to are necessary to adequately describe and define this water right.

In response to Statement of the Objection item 14, and all allegations of the objection regarding that item, see attached "IDWR's Response to Answer Affirmative Defenses and Prayer for Relief of Claimant".

In response to the sentence listed in Changes to the Director's Report, whereby the claimant attempts to "reserve the right to claim all purposes of use and quantities of use as shown by the evidence at trial," IDWR responds as follows: Insofar as this purported reservation of rights may constitute an attempt to reserve the right to amend at trial the claimant's notice of claim, the claimant improperly seeks to use the objection process to amend the claim. A claimant must obtain leave of the court to amend a claim just as a party must obtain leave of the court to file a late claim after the director's report is filed.

(Over)

RECEIVED
NICHOLITWED

CHANGES TO THE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

The director proposes no changes to the description of this claim in the Director's Report.

☒ Check here if you are submitting documents. Please list each document by the title and number of pages. You may use additional pages if necessary:

	No. of Pages
Notice of Claim No. A36-10169	3
IDWR's Response to Answer, Affirmative Defenses, and Prayer for Relief of Claimant	4


VERIFICATION (Must be Completed)

State of IDAHO

County of ADA


David B. Shaw, being first duly sworn, upon oath, deposes and says:

That R. Keith Higginson, in his official capacity as director of the Idaho Department of Water Resources, is a party to this proceeding, as defined by I.C. 42-1401A(1) and (7); that I am the Adjudication Bureau Chief for IDWR and am authorized by R. Keith Higginson, in his official capacity as director of IDWR, to verify this response; and that I have read the foregoing response, know its contents and that the statements contained therein are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.




Signature of person filing response

By:



(Attorney signing in
representative capacity)

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 9th day of September, 1993.



Notary Public for Idaho

Residing at: Boise Idaho

My Commission expires: 6/25/1999

(Over)

RECEIVED
SEP 15 1993
JANUARY 1993

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that on the 10th day of SEPTEMBER, 1993, I mailed the original and copies of the foregoing response, including all attachments, to the following persons, by mailing the original and/or true and correct copies, postage prepared and addressed as follows:

1. Original to:

Clerk of the District Court
Snake River Basin Adjudication
253 Third Avenue North
P.O. Box 2707
Twin Falls, Idaho 83303-2707

2. One copy to the owner of the water right, and you must fill in the following information:

See No. 3

3. One copy to the party who filed the objection, or if represented by an attorney, to their attorney; and you must fill in the following information:

Name: HEPWORTH NUNGESTER & LEZAMIZ
Address: PATRICK D BROWN
& PENELOPE PARKER
PO BOX 389
TWIN FALLS ID 83303

4. One copy to:

United States Department of Justice
Environment and Natural Resource Division
550 West Fort Street, Box 33
Boise, Idaho 83734



Signature of Respondent or attorney
mailing on Respondent's behalf

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM.

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39570

Ident. Number: A36-10169
Date Received: 7/07/1988
Receipt No: S000755
Received By: SCC

NOTICE OF CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

1. Name: FRED A. BECKSTEAD
Address: BOX 359
HAGERMAN, ID 83332
OR
Name: FAYE COATES
Address: HAGERMAN, ID 83332

208-837-4573

2. Date of Priority: JAN 01, 1910

3. Source: WASTE WATER Trib. to: SINKS

4. Point of Diversion:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4 of	1/4 of	Lot	County
07S	13E	14	SE	NW	NW		GOODING

5. Description of diverting works:
DITCHES.

6. Water is used for the following purposes:

Purpose	From	To	C.F.S.	(or)	A.F.A.
IRRIGATION	04/01	11/01	2.000		

7. Total Quantity Appropriated is:
2.000 C.F.S. (and/or)

A.F.A.

8. Total consumptive use is 30.0 Acre Feet Per Annum.

9. Non-irrigation uses:

A36-10169

Page 1

Date: 07/07/88

MICROFILMED
FEB 07 1993
OCT 20 1994

10. Place of Use:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	Use	Acres
07S	13E	14	NW	NW		IRR	7.5
Section Acres							7.5
Total Acres							7.5

11. Place of use in counties: GOODING

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? YES

13. Other Water Rights Used:
A36-04025

14. Remarks:

15. Basis of Claim: BENEFICIAL USE

16. Signature(s)

(a.) By signing below, I/We acknowledge that I/We have received, read and understand the form entitled "How you will receive notice in the Snake River Basin Adjudication." (b.) I/We do _____ do not X wish to receive and pay a small annual fee for monthly copies of the docket sheet.

Number of attachments: 6

For Individuals:

I/We do solemnly swear or affirm that the statements contained in the foregoing document are true and correct.

Signature of Claimant(s): Page Conte Date: 7-7-88

Date: _____

State of Idaho)
County of Twin Falls) SS.

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) before me this 7th day

of July 19 88

Mary A. Baldwin
Notary Public

Seal

Residing at Leading Rd

My Commission Expires 10/16/1992

IDWR'S RESPONSE TO ANSWER, AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES AND PRAYER FOR
RELIEF OF CLAIMANT

This portion of the response addresses the multi-page attachment to the objection (hereinafter "the attachment"), wherein the claimant admits or denies all sections of Parts I, II, and III of the director's report, asserts 27 affirmative defenses, and alleges a prayer for relief. The attachment contains the following sections, corresponding to the various sections of the director's report:

- (1) I. GENERAL RESPONSE
- (2) II. DESCRIPTIVE SUMMARY, (first unnumbered page of Part I of the director's report)
- (3) III. TABLE OF CONTENTS (second and third unnumbered pages of Part I of the director's report)
- (4) IV. 1. INSTRUCTIONS FOR USING THIS REPORT PART I (page 1 of Part I of the director's report)
- (5) IV. 2. SCOPE OF THE ADJUDICATION (pages 1-3 of Part I of the director's report)
- (6) IV. 3. PURPOSE OF THIS REPORT PART I (pages 3-4 of Part I of the director's report)
- (7) V. 1. BOUNDARIES OF BASIN (pages 4-6 of Part I of the director's report)
- (8) V. 2. WATER SOURCES (page 6 of Part I of the director's report)
- (9) V. 3. DEVELOPMENT OF WATER USE (pages 6-9 of Part I of the director's report)
- (10) VI. GENERAL PROVISIONS 1-8 APPLY TO ALL WATER RIGHTS IN BASIN 36 (page 9 of Part I of the director's report)
- (11) VI. General Provision 1. EFFECT OF ADJUDICATION (page 9 of Part I of the director's report)
- (12) VI. General Provision 2. GENERAL ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS (pages 9-10 of Part I of the director's report)
- (13) VI. General Provision 3. CONJUNCTIVE ADMINISTRATION (page 10 of Part I of the director's report)
- (13) VI. General Provision 4. ADMINISTRATION OF GROUND WATER RIGHTS (page 10 of Part I of the director's report)
- (14) VI. General Provision 5. ADMINISTRATION OF BASIN 36 RELATIVE TO THE SNAKE RIVER (page 10 of Part I of the director's report)
- (15) VI. General Provision 6. ADMINISTRATION OF SMALL DOMESTIC AND STOCK WATER RIGHTS (page 11 of Part I of the director's report)
- (16) VI. General Provision 7. MEASURING DEVICES AND CONTROL

IDWR'S RESPONSE TO ANSWER, AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES AND PRAYER FOR
RELIEF OF CLAIMANT, Page 1

MICROFILMED

OCT 20 1994

- MICROFILMED
- WORKS (page 11 of Part I of the director's report)
- (17) VI. General Provision 8. FIRE FIGHTING PURPOSES (page 11 of Part I of the director's report)
 - (18) VI. General Provision 9. CONSUMPTIVE USE (page 12 of Part I of the director's report)
 - (19) VI. GENERAL PROVISION 10 APPLIES TO ALL WATER RIGHTS IN BASIN 36 SHOWN WITH DOMESTIC USE AS A PURPOSE OF USE (page 12 of Part I of the director's report)
 - (20) VI. General Provision 10. DOMESTIC USE (page 12 of Part I of the director's report)
 - (21) VI. GENERAL PROVISION 11 APPLIES TO ALL WATER RIGHTS IN BASIN 36 SHOWN WITH STOCK WATER USE AS A PURPOSE OF USE (page 13 of Part I of the director's report)
 - (22) VI. General Provision 11. STOCK WATER USE (page 13 of Part I of the director's report)
 - (23) VI. GENERAL PROVISIONS 12-16 APPLY TO ALL WATER RIGHTS IN BASIN 36 SHOWN WITH IRRIGATION AS A PURPOSE OF USE (page 13 of Part I of the director's report)
 - (24) VI. General Provision 12. IRRIGATION USE (pages 13-14 of Part I of the director's report)
 - (25) VI. General Provision 13. DIVERSION VOLUME FOR IRRIGATION (page 14 of Part I of the director's report)
 - (26) VI. General Provision 14. CONSUMPTIVE USE FOR IRRIGATION (pages 14-15 of Part I of the director's report)
 - (27) VI. General Provision 15. AMOUNT OF COMBINED WATER RIGHTS FOR IRRIGATION (page 15 of Part I of the director's report)
 - (28) VI. General Provision 16. DIVERSION RATES IN EXCESS OF .02 CFS PER ACRE (pages 15-16 of Part I of the director's report)
 - (30) VI. 17. INSTRUCTIONS FOR USE OF LIST OF RECOMMENDED WATER RIGHTS (pages A-i through A-iii of Part I of the director's report)
 - (31) VI. 18. IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES RECOMMENDED WATER RIGHTS ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW (pages A-1 through B-25 of Part I of the director's report)
 - (32) DIRECTOR'S REPORT FOR REPORTING AREA 3 (BASIN 36), (DIRECTOR'S REPORT PART II)
 - (33) DIRECTOR'S REPORT FOR REPORTING AREA 3 (BASIN 36), (DIRECTOR'S REPORT PART III)

The attachment also contains part VIII, AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES (pp. 20 through 28) and a PRAYER FOR RELIEF (untitled, p. 28).

In response to each of the items enumerated above IDWR responds that pursuant to Section 4 a.(2)(c) of SRBA Administrative Order 1, Rules of Procedure, IDWR generally denies each and every allegation, if any, contained in each enumerated portion of the attachment. IDWR affirmatively responds that neither SRBA Administrative Order 1, Rules of Procedure, nor Title 42, Chapter 14, Idaho Code, make provision for IDWR to affirm, amplify, or otherwise respond to the claimant's admissions, or to deny the claimant's denials, and that IDWR therefore does not believe that it is required to do so. Title 42, Chapter 14, Idaho Code solely defines the content and scope of the director's report. It is to contain a description of the water system and is to provide a recommendation as to each water right claimed and as to those matters necessary for administration of those water rights. Therefore, the content and scope of the objection is limited to those same matters. The attachment to the present objection asserts or otherwise raises matters not directly addressing the elements of the water right as recommended, the description of the water system, or the general provisions. Rather, the attachment raises broad issues as to the constitutional, statutory and administrative efficacy of the entire adjudication process. All such issues should be raised by means of motions to designate basinwide issues and similar procedural vehicles specifically designed to provide for basinwide notice and participation. They should not be permitted to divert the focus of any individual subcase away from the issues directly connected to the elements of the water right and the administration thereof. Therefore, because the attachment to the present objection is not within the scope of the statutory purpose of an objection it should be stricken.

However, in the event that the court should determine that all or part of the attachment is properly within the scope of the objection, IDWR further responds as follows:

IDWR affirmatively responds that all statements within the director's report are properly included therein pursuant to Title 42, Chapter 14, Idaho Code, and in particular pursuant to Idaho Code §§ 42-1411 and 42-1412(8); and that the provisions of the Idaho Rules of Civil Procedure regarding pleadings are inapplicable to the extent that they are in conflict with the statutory requirements. Therefore IDWR denies all allegations directed at the contents of the director's report.

As to the enumerated portions of the attachment addressing the listings of recommended water rights, abstracts of federal reserved water right claims, or negotiated agreements, other than the individual recommendation that is the subject of this objection, IDWR responds that such claims or agreements are not brought before this court by this objection.

RECEIVED
JUL 20 1964

Responding to the 27 affirmative defenses asserted in the attachment, IDWR generally denies each and every affirmative defense asserted. IDWR further responds that affirmative defense numbers 1, 2, 4, 5, 10, 11, 12, 18, and 22, asserting various violations of civil or constitutional rights, fail to comply with the requirements I.R.C.P. 9(b) providing that in all averments of violation of civil or constitutional rights the factual circumstances constituting such violation must be stated with particularity. Accordingly, IDWR asserts that those affirmative defenses should be stricken.

In response to that portion of the multi-page attachment to the objection wherein the claimant asserts a prayer for relief, IDWR responds as follows:

1. IDWR denies that the director's report asserts any claims against the claimant; rather, the director's report contains only the recommendation of the director as to how the claimant's water right should be decreed and administered.
2. IDWR denies that the claimant is entitled to have the water right decreed as claimed unless in the director's report the water right has been recommended as claimed.
3. IDWR denies that any acts upon its part constitute the taking of private property for which just compensation should be allowed and awarded.
4. IDWR denies that the claimant is entitled to recover court costs and reasonable attorney fees.
5. IDWR denies that the claimant by this objection has raised any claims against IDWR entitling such claimant to any relief other than the eventual judicial determination and decree of the various elements of the claimant's water right, if any, and of those related matters necessary to describe and administer that water right.



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Southern Region, 222 Shoshone St. East, Twin Falls, Idaho 83301 (208) 736-3033

CECIL D. ANDRUS
Governor

R. KEITH HIGGINSON
Director

25-Jan-1993

FRED BECKSTEAD
BOX 359
HAGERMAN ID 83332

RE: Copy of SRBA File(s): 36-00065B, 36-00066, 36-00067,
36-04025, 36-07298, 36-10169

Dear Mr. Beckstead:

Please find enclosed the copies of documents you recently requested contained in the above referenced SRBA file(s) as of this date. Please note that copies of orthophoto quad maps or NAPP photography or aerial slides which may have been used in the review of your claim(s) have not been included since they are not easily reproduced. These items are however available for your review at our office. Please also note that in some situations some water rights may have other associated files which contain privileged information between IDWR staff and our legal council which has not been copied.

Please remit the following for the cost of the copies and postage:

\$15.67

Sincerely,

Jeanie
Jeanie Robertson
Records Clerk

Encl:

Beckstead
2
51
44
40
26
38
28
227 x 5 = 11.35
post. 4.10
env. .22
15.67

MICROFILMED

OCT 20 1994

RECEIVED

JAN 15 1993

Department of Water Resources
Southern Region Office

JANUARY 14 1993
(Date)

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
222 SHOSHONE ST. EAST
TWIN FALLS, IDAHO 83301

Dear Idaho Department of Water Resources:

Please provide me with a copy of all information included in
my file as it relates to water right number List Below.

Please advise me of any documents in my file which you are not
releasing to me and why they are not being released.

Please bill me for the copy charge.

36-00065

36-07298

36-00066B

36-00067

36-04025

36-10169

36-07298

R36-00066B

R36-10169

Sincerely,

Fred Beckstead
(Name)

Box 359
(Address)

Hagerman Idaho 83332
(City, State, Zip Code)

cc: David B. Shaw, Adjudication Bureau Chief
Idaho Department of Water Resources
1301 North Orchard Street
Statenhouse Mail
Boise, Idaho 83720-9000

MICROFILMED

OCT 20 1994

RECEIVED

APR 30 1993

Department of Water Resources
Adjudication Bureau

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

In Re the General Adjudication
of Rights to the Use of Water
from the Snake River Basin
Water System

Case No. A36-10169
(You must insert the
water right number you
are objecting to)

The State of Idaho, ex rel.
R. Keith Higginson in his
official capacity as Director
of the Idaho Department of
Water Resources,

OBJECTION

Petitioner,

36-10169 -0001/
93-04-0383

vs.

The United States; the State
of Idaho; and all Claimants to
the use of water from the Snake
River Basin Water System,

Respondents.

INSTRUCTIONS

This form must be used to file an objection to any water right recommended in the Director's Report. You may object to one water right per objection form. The water right number you are objecting to must be indicated above in the blank space following "Case No." To object to more than one water right, you must file a separate objection form for each. Forms may be obtained from the Idaho Department of Water Resources (IDWR), or you may copy or reproduce this blank form, as long as it is identical to the court's form. You must file your objection by the deadline shown in the notice that IDWR mailed to you. The objection must be received by the court on or before the deadline specified. Instructions for mailing this objection are found at Page 6, and must be strictly followed.

Any party physically filing 25 (twenty-five) or more objections must make an appointment with the Clerk of the Adjudication Court and arrange for an appointment to file multiple objections.

(Over)

MICROFILMED

OCT 20 1994

By filing an objection form, you are certifying that the objection is well-grounded in fact; is warranted by existing law or a good-faith argument for the extension, modification or reversal of existing law; and that it is not filed for any improper purpose, such as to harass, cause unnecessary delay or needless increase in the cost of litigation.

I. GENERAL INFORMATION

Please fill in the following information:

1. Person objecting:

Name: Fay Coates and/or Fred A. Beckstead

Address: P.O. Box 359

Hagerman, ID 83332

Briefly describe your interest in this water right:

Beneficial use with a priority

date of January 1, 1910. (private springs)

2. Owner of the water right:

Name: Fay Coates and/or Fred A. Beckstead

Address: P.O. Box 359

Hagerman, ID 83332

3. If this objection is filed by an attorney:

Attorney Name: Hepworth, Nungester & Lezamiz

Attorney Address: P. Parker & Patrick D. Brown

P.O. Box 389, Twin Falls, ID 83303

Attorney Phone No.: (208)734-7510; Fax: (208)734-4115

If filed by an attorney, notice of pending court proceedings will be sent to the attorney only.

II. STATEMENT OF THE OBJECTION

Please check each "box" which states your objection to the recommendation in the Director's Report.

1. ☐ Name and Address: I object to the name and/or address of the owner of the water right.
2. ☐ Source: I object to the source of water.
3. ☒ Quantity: I object to:
 - a. ☒ the total quantity of water used in cubic feet per second; or
 - b. ☐ the total quantity of water stored in acre-feet per year; or
 - c. ☐ the total quantity of water reserved for each and every purpose, including all present and future uses.
4. ☒ Priority Date: I object to the priority date.
5. ☐ Points of Diversion: I object to the legal description of the point(s) of diversion.
6. ☐ Instream Flow: I object to the legal description of the beginning and ending points of the instream flow.
7. ☐ Purpose(s) of Use: I object to the purpose(s) of use.
8. ☒ Period of Year: I object to the period of year when water is used or is necessary for the purpose stated.
9. ☒ Place of Use: I object to:
 - a. ☐ the legal description of the place of use; or
 - b. ☒ the number of irrigated acres within each forty (40) acre subdivision.
10. ☒ Consumptive Use: I object to the annual volume of consumptive use.
11. ☒ Remarks: I object to the "remarks" listed with the water right.

(Over)

12. ☐ Water Right Recommendation: I object to:
- a. ☐ this water right being recommended at all; or
- b. ☐ this water right not being recommended.
13. ☐ Description of Reservation: I object to the legal description of the reservation.
14. ☒ Other: I object to: See Attached
-
-
-
-

REASONS SUPPORTING EACH BOX CHECKED

In the space following, you must state the reason(s) by number for each box checked. You may use additional pages if necessary.

See Page 7

CHANGES TO THE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Specifically describe how you want the Director's Report to be changed. You may use additional pages if necessary.

Priority date: 1/1/1910; quantity: all water used from springs;
place of use: 7.5 acres; period of year: 1/1 to 12/31; strike
all remarks

Please note: You should attach copies of documents which support your objection. Note on each document the "box number" it supports.



Check here if you are submitting documents. Please list each document by the title and number of pages. You may use additional pages if necessary. _____

VERIFICATION (Must be Completed)

State of Idaho

County of Sun Falls


Fred A. Beckstead, being first duly
(Name of person filing objection)
sworn, upon oath, deposes and says:

That I am the person or entity filing this objection, that I am a water claimant or party in this proceeding, as defined by I.C. Sec 42-1401A(1) and (7), and that I have read the foregoing objection, know its contents and that the statements contained therein are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Fred A. Beckstead
Signature of person filing objection

By: [Signature]
(Attorney signing in
representative capacity)

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 28 day of April,
1993.



Linda M. Rousseau
Notary Public for Idaho
Residing at: Sun Falls, ID
My Commission Expires: 9-11-93

(Over)

00120 L.W.
MICROFILM

INSTRUCTIONS FOR MAILING

You must mail the objection, including all attachments, to the Clerk of the Court. **FAX filings will not be accepted by the Clerk of the Court.** You must also send a copy to the owner of the water right, if other than yourself; to the Office of the Attorney General, State of Idaho; and to the United States Department of Justice, as set out below in the Certificate of Mailing. Finally, you must sign the Certificate of Mailing to show that you followed these steps.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that on the 28 day of April, 1993, I mailed the original and copies of the foregoing objection, including all attachments, to the following persons, by mailing the original and/or true and correct copies, postage prepaid and addressed as follows:

1. Original to:

Clerk of the District Court
Snake River Basin Adjudication
253 Third Avenue North
P.O. Box 2707
Twin Falls, Idaho 83303-2707

2. One copy to the owner of the water right, and you must fill in the following information:

Owner name: Fay Coates and/or Fred A. Beckstead

Owner's address: P.O. Box 359

Hagerman, ID 83332

3. One copy to:

Chief, Natural Resources Division
Office of the Attorney General
State of Idaho
P.O. Box 44449
Boise, Idaho 83711-4449

4. One copy to:

United States Department of Justice
Environment and Natural Resource Division
550 West Fort Street, Box 33
Boise, ID 83724



Signature of Objector or attorney
mailing on Objector's behalf

REASONS SUPPORTING EACH BOX CHECKED, page 4

Box 3, 3a and 10 - IDWR recommends an amount less than decreed and/or beneficially used. A reduction in water right would constitute a taking.

Box 4 - IDWR recommends a junior priority date which is not supported by the previously decreed right or the date of first beneficial use.

Box 8 - IDWR recommends a period of use less than decreed and/or beneficially used. A reduction in water right would constitute a taking.

Box 9 - Director's Report fails to accurately state the place of use.

Box 11 - This is beyond the statutory authority vested in the Director.

CHANGES TO DIRECTOR'S REPORT, page 4

Objectors reserve the right to claim all purposes of use and quantities of use as shown by the evidence at trial.

I.

GENERAL RESPONSE

Except as specifically admitted herein, objectors deny each and every allegation contained in the Director's Report, any related or subsidiary pleadings, and/or any attachments thereto.

II.

DESCRIPTIVE SUMMARY

(DIRECTOR'S REPORT PART I)

(hereinafter DRP-I)

(DRP-I at first unnumbered page)

The objectors admit that Part I of the Director's recommendation contains some claims to water rights appropriated under state law from Reporting Area 3. The objectors deny all other averments because the objectors lack sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the averments.

III.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(DRP-I at 2nd and 3rd unnumbered pages)

The objectors admit that the Table of Contents reflects the page numbers of the referenced sections and deny all other averments based upon the objectors' lack of sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the averments and because any averment, expressed or implied, is so

indefinite that it prevents the objectors from knowing what would be admitted or denied.

IV.

INTRODUCTION

1. INSTRUCTIONS FOR USING THIS REPORT PART I. (DRP-I at page 1)

The objectors admit that Part I consists of seven sections; that the description of the water system includes a brief description of Reporting Area 3 and an illustration of the boundaries of the reporting area; that the water rights and the list of the recommended rights are grouped alphabetically by source and listed chronologically by priority date; that the rights or claims in the list of claims/rights not recommended are listed numerically by water right number; and that brief instructions are found at the beginning of the applicable sections. The objectors deny that any description or any implication that the description of the water system or the illustrations are true and accurate due to lack of knowledge or information regarding the truth of the averment; deny that the general provisions apply to all water rights or define or limit classes of water rights; and deny that the general provisions "will affect your water right," based upon insufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the averments.

2. SCOPE OF THE ADJUDICATION. (DRP-I at page 1 - 3)

The objectors deny all averments in paragraph a., due to lack of sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the averments.

Regarding paragraph b., the objectors lack sufficient information or knowledge upon which to form a belief as to whether water rights which are not claimed in the adjudication will or will not exist upon entry of the court's decree and, therefore, deny such averment. The objectors admit that the court entered an order dated January 17, 1989, but presently lack sufficient knowledge to know whether any of the other averments concerning the court's order are true or accurate and, therefore, deny the same. The objectors deny that a right must be adjudicated before water may be distributed by the director. The objectors deny all other averments in paragraph b.

3. PURPOSE OF THIS REPORT PART I. (DRP-I at page 3 - 4)

The objectors admit that portion of the first sentence which states "This report contains the Director's recommendations to the SRBA District Court as to the nature and extent of water rights," but deny that such recommendations "are necessary for administration of water rights in Reporting Area 3," and further deny the Director has authority to "administer" such rights.

Regarding the second paragraph, the objectors admit that all water rights remain subject to the applicable law generally, but deny the remainder of the second paragraph.

Regarding the third paragraph of this section, the objectors deny all averments therein based upon lack of knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truthfulness of the averments.

V.

DESCRIPTION OF THE WATER SYSTEM: BASIN 36

1. BOUNDARIES OF BASIN. (DRP-I at pages 4 - 6)

Regarding the first paragraph of this section, the objectors deny all averments due to a lack of knowledge or information upon which to admit or deny.

Regarding the second, third and fourth paragraphs of this section, the objectors admit that as broad statements, those paragraphs are generally accurate but the statements are so broad and attenuated from any matter relevant to the objectors' water right that the objectors are unable to know what would be implicitly admitted or denied and therefore, deny the same.

With respect to the fifth paragraph of this section, the objectors lack sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of any matter averred and, therefore, deny the averments.

2. WATER SOURCES. (DRP-I at page 6)

The objectors admit that the Snake River Plain Aquifer is the source of outflows of springs located along the Snake River Canyon Wall in the Hagerman Valley. The objectors presently lack sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form an opinion as to the truth of the other averments set out in this section and, therefore, deny the same.

3. DEVELOPMENT OF WATER USE. (DRP-I at pages 6 - 9)

The objectors admit that numerous factual and historical averments are set out in this section, which may be accurate, but

these objectors have no basis for knowing whether some or all of the averments are intended to relate to the objectors' claims and, thus, are prevented from knowing what would be admitted or denied. Therefore, the averments are denied.

VI.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

GENERAL PROVISIONS 1 - 8 APPLY TO ALL WATER RIGHTS IN BASIN 36.
(DRP-I at page 9)

The objectors deny that "General Provisions 1 - 8" apply to all water rights in Basin 36 as the statements are so broad and attenuated from any matter relevant to the objectors' water rights, that the objectors are unable to know what would be explicitly admitted or denied. The objectors also specifically deny that general provisions 1-8 apply to the objectors' right.

1. EFFECT OF ADJUDICATION. (DRP-I at page 9)

With respect to paragraph a., the objectors presently have no knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of whether a future decree would supersede prior decrees, or whether unclaimed rights would cease to exist and, therefore, deny the same.

Regarding paragraph b., the objectors deny that the Director has any powers to administer water rights other than those specifically delegated by the Legislature of the State of Idaho, and which do not violate the objectors' state and federal constitutional rights, and therefore the averments are denied.

2. GENERAL ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS. (DRP-I at pages 9 - 10)

The objectors admit paragraphs a. and b.

3. CONJUNCTIVE ADMINISTRATION (DRP-I at page 10)

The objectors deny the conjunctive administration provisions based upon the objectors' lack of sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the averments and because any averment expressed or implied is so indefinite that it prevents the objectors from fairly understanding what would be admitted or denied. Additionally, the objectors deny that the Department of Water Resources has any statutory or regulatory authority for the "conjunctive administration" as therein described.

4. ADMINISTRATION OF GROUND WATER RIGHTS (DRP-I at page 10)

The objectors deny the averments within this section.

5. ADMINISTRATION OF BASIN 36 RELATIVE TO THE SNAKE RIVER (DRP-I at page 10)

The objectors admit that the springs are hydrologically interconnected with the Snake River Aquifer, but deny all other averments based upon the objectors' lack of sufficient information or knowledge upon which to form a belief as to the averments herein. The objectors additionally deny any said averments expressed or implied because the averments are so indefinite and generalized as to prevent the objectors from fairly understanding what would be admitted or denied.

6. ADMINISTRATION OF SMALL DOMESTIC AND STOCK WATER RIGHTS (DRP-I at page 11)

The objectors admit that part of the first sentence of subparagraph a. to the effect that "small domestic and stock water rights from surface water are not subject to administration," but deny the balance of said sentence and said paragraph.

The objectors admit the first sentence of paragraph b., and deny the balance of that paragraph because the criteria set forth therein ("if the director determines that administration is necessary") is so ambiguous, indefinite and uncertain that it prevents the objectors from knowing what would be admitted or denied, and by further reason of the fact that no statutory or regulatory authority exists conferring such sweeping and unlimited authority upon the Director or the Department.

The objectors deny the averments in paragraph c. as the averments are inaccurate and because the objectors lack sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the matters averred.

7. MEASURING DEVICES AND CONTROL WORKS (DRP-I at page 11)

The objectors deny all averments contained herein as such averments are based upon an indeterminate subjective standards which are so ambiguous and indefinite as to prevent the objectors from fairly knowing what would be admitted or denied. Additionally, no statutory or regulatory authority exists conferring such sweeping and unlimited authority upon the Director or the Department as is alleged in this paragraph.

EX-100-1111
FACILITY

8. FIRE FIGHTING PURPOSES (DRP-I at page 11)

The objectors admit that subparagraph a. and subparagraph b. are generally accurate statements of present law, but deny the averments are in any way relevant to defining the objectors' right.

9. CONSUMPTIVE USE (DRP-I at page 12)

The objectors lack sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of any matter averred and, therefore, deny the same.

GENERAL PROVISION 10 APPLIES TO ALL WATER RIGHTS IN BASIN 36 SHOWN WITH DOMESTIC USE AS A PURPOSE OF USE (DRP-I at page 12)

The objectors deny that "General Provision 10" applies to all water rights in Basin 36 shown with domestic use as a purpose of use as the statements are so broad and attenuated from any matter relevant to the objectors' water rights, that the objectors are unable to fairly understand what would be explicitly admitted or denied and, therefore, deny the same.

10. DOMESTIC USE (DRP-I at page 12)

The objectors deny that the definition of "domestic use" contained in paragraphs a., b., and c. is consistent or in conformity with the definition of such term for purposes of the Idaho Constitution, or statutory definition contained in I.C. Section 42-111 as now, or as heretofore in effect at relevant times. The objectors further deny that the limitations set forth therein exist, are in force, or apply or serve in any way to limit objectors' rights.

GENERAL PROVISION 11 APPLIES TO ALL WATER RIGHTS IN BASIN 36 SHOWN WITH STOCK WATER USE AS A PURPOSE OF USE. (DRP-I at page 13)

The objectors deny that "General Provision 11" applies to all water rights in Basin 36 shown with stock water use as a purpose of use as the statements are so broad and attenuated from any matter relevant to the objectors' water right, that the objectors are unable to fairly understand what would be admitted or denied and, therefore, deny the same.

11. STOCK WATER USE (DRP-I at page 13)

The objectors deny the averments herein as beyond the scope and applicability of the definition as provided by Idaho law as now, or as heretofore in effect at relevant times. The objectors further deny that the limitations set forth therein limit the objectors' right or are relevant to the right.

GENERAL PROVISIONS 12 - 16 APPLY TO ALL WATER RIGHTS IN BASIN 36 SHOWN WITH IRRIGATION AS A PURPOSE OF USE (DRP-I at page 13)

The objectors deny that "General Provisions 12 - 16" apply to all water rights in Basin 36 shown with irrigation as a purpose of use as the statements are so broad and attenuated from any matter relevant to the objectors' water right that the objectors are unable to fairly understand what would be admitted or denied.

12. IRRIGATION USE. (DRP-I at pages 13 - 14)

The objectors deny all averments based upon the objectors' lack of sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the averments and because

4-1-74
NCO 11110

prevent the objectors from fairly knowing what would be admitted or denied; because the standard utilized ("as determined by the director") is ambiguous, subjective and indefinite so as to prevent objectors from knowing what would be admitted or denied; and because it is both expressly and implicitly in excess of the power and authority conferred upon the State of Idaho, the Director or the Department by the Constitutions of the United States and State of Idaho, pertinent provisions of the Idaho Code or valid applicable regulations, if any, adopted by the Department in conformity with law. Therefore, the objectors deny the same.

13. DIVERSION VOLUME FOR IRRIGATION. (DRP-I at page 14)

The objectors admit that Figure 1 generally depicts certain lands in Basin 36; however, the objectors deny all other averments including that the amounts set forth are the maximum annual volume of water reasonably required at the field headgate for irrigation, either within Basin 36 in general, or upon objectors' real property in particular. The objectors additionally deny that the maximum annual volumes per acre set forth is that which is "reasonably required for irrigation unless a higher annual diversion volume is reasonably required due to conveyance losses, method of irrigation or field conditions;" because the standard utilized ("as determined by the director") is ambiguous, subjective and indefinite so as to prevent objectors from knowing what would be admitted or denied; and because it is both expressly and implicitly in excess of the power and authority conferred upon the State of Idaho, the Director or the Department

by the Constitutions of the United States and State of Idaho, pertinent provisions of the Idaho Code or valid applicable regulations, if any, adopted by the Department in conformity with law. Therefore, the objectors deny the same.

14. CONSUMPTIVE USE FOR IRRIGATION. (DRP-I at page 14 and 15)

The objectors admit that the recommended water rights contained within the Director's Report show only the irrigation use listed unless otherwise indicated in "Remarks." The objectors deny all other averments contained within this section, including the amount of maximum annual volume of consumptive use per acre in the northern and southern portions of the basin, all of which is beyond the scope of any power or authority vested in the Director or the Department, and contrary to fact; historical use; established, vested, decreed, licensed or permitted rights.

15. AMOUNT OF COMBINED WATER RIGHTS FOR IRRIGATION. (DRP-I at page 15)

The specific averments have no relationship to or basis in fact relating to the individual needs or rights of the objectors, and accordingly, objectors deny this section in its entirety. In addition, no statutory or regulatory authority exists conferring upon the Director, or the Department, the power to limit use and ownership of objectors' private property rights as alleged.

16. DIVERSION RATES IN EXCESS OF .02 CFS PER ACRE. (DRP-I at page 15 - 16)

The objectors deny the averments contained in this section in its entirety.

17. INSTRUCTIONS FOR USE OF LIST OF RECOMMENDED WATER RIGHTS. (DRP-I at page A-i, A-ii and A-iii)

The objectors admit that each water right in the list of recommended water rights includes a description including right number, name and address, source, maximum quantity, consumptive use, priority date, point of diversion, purpose of use, period of use, place of use, basis of right and others. The objectors lack sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of any matter averred in said descriptions other than that the descriptions allegedly include the Director's or Department's version of the facts as they relate to the matters identified. Objectors deny the accuracy of the substantive allegations or information set forth with regard to the objectors' right.

18. IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES RECOMMENDED WATER RIGHTS ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW. (DRP-I, pages A-1 - B-25)

The objectors lack sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of any matter averred in said recommended water rights other than those specific admissions contained in Questions 1 - 12 of the attached individual objection, and therefore deny the same.

DIRECTOR'S REPORT FOR REPORTING AREA 3 (BASIN 36)

(DIRECTOR'S REPORT PART II)

I.

Objectors do not understand the allegations, averments or contents of Part II of the Director's Report for Reporting Area 3 (Basin 36) to pertain or relate to objectors or their claims, and do not believe any response is required. To the extent a response is required, objectors deny the same in its entirety.

DIRECTOR'S REPORT FOR REPORTING AREA 3 (BASIN 36)

(DIRECTOR'S REPORT PART III)

I.

No report denominated as Part III of the Director's Report for Reporting Area 3 (Basin 36) has been filed, and accordingly objectors are unable to admit, deny or otherwise response to the same. Objectors do not understand that the contemplated subject matter of such Part III will relate or pertain to objectors or their claims, and no response is required in any case. To the extent any response is required, objectors deny the same in its entirety.

VIII.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

Pursuant to I.R.C.P. 8(c), objectors herewith state the following matters of affirmative defense or avoidance:

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 1

The objectors' water right is an incorporeal form of real property (as defined by Idaho Code Sections 7-703, 73-114, 55-101,

and like statutes) protected by Section 14, Article 1, of the Idaho Constitution, and the Fifth Amendment to the United States Constitution, and allege that the actions of the Director in recommending, limiting and/or regulating said rights is an unconstitutional infringement upon and a taking of private property, without just compensation and violation thereof.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 2

The adjudication authorization legislation, I.C. Section 42-1401, et seq., on its face and as applied by the Director and the Department constitutes an improper delegation of constitutional power and authority conferred upon the executive, legislative and/or judicial branches of government and as such violate the provisions governing separation of powers set forth in Article 2, Section 1, Idaho Constitution.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 3

The procedure set forth in the adjudication authorization legislation, statutes, I.C. Section 42-1401, et seq., and any rules, regulations, procedures, policies or standards promulgated by the Director and/or the Department, if any, are vague and so lacking in reasonable specificity so as to render them impossible of reasonable comprehension, or consistent and meaningful application.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 4

The procedures, rules, regulations, policies or standards, if any, adopted, implemented and applied by the Department and/or the Director violate the equal protection and due

process clauses of the Fourteenth Amendment, United States Constitution, and Article 1, Sections 2 and 13, Idaho Constitution.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 5

The adjudication authorization legislation, Idaho Code Section 42-1401, et seq., and the actions of the Director and Department in recommending, limiting and/or regulating the objectors' water right constitutes a violation of 42 U.S.C. Section 1983.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 6

The water right owned by the objectors is the subject of valid existing decrees issued by the Director and Department, which decrees are binding upon the State of Idaho, the Department and the Director. As a result, the State of Idaho, the Department and the Director are precluded by the doctrines of res judicata, collateral estoppel, judicial estoppel and the like from relitigating or questioning the validity, existence or priority of such right, and/or from taking any position in the Director's Report, or otherwise, which is inconsistent with such decrees.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 7

The Director's recommendations are contrary to decreed rights, historical use and extent licenses and permits issued by the Department. There has been no forfeiture nor abandonment of the objectors' water rights, nor have the objectors' water rights been prescriptively or adversely possessed, nor have such decrees been vacated or such licenses and permits revoked.

JUL 10 1972
JUL 10 1972

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 8

The Directors' Report fails to state a claim to the extent the same asserts a forfeiture, abandonment, prescriptive or adverse acquisition or loss, or loss resulting from estoppel, of the objectors' water right in the absence of any fact upon which forfeiture, abandonment, prescriptive or adverse acquisition for loss, or loss resulting from estoppel, may be based or asserted.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 9

The objectors' water right is an incorporeal form of real property, as defined by Idaho Code Sections 7-703, 73-114, 55-101, and like statutes, and, as such, pursuant to Idaho Code Section 7-904, et. seq., the actions of the Director in attempting to limit, reduce and/or regulate such rights, and the assertion of some inconsistent proprietary ownership or right to use or control by the State of Idaho, constitutes an unauthorized and invalid taking of private property without the payment of just compensation, or compliance with statutory requirements, all in violation of Title 7, Chapter 7, Idaho Code.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 10

The actions of the Director, the Department and their agents, servants and employees in recommending, limiting, reducing and/or regulating objector's water rights, either as alleged in the Director's Report or as in fact applied, violates objectors' rights as secured by Art. 1, Section 1; Art. 15, Sections 3, 4 and/or 5; and/or as otherwise provided in the Constitution of the State of Idaho.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 11

The various allegations, statements and assertions contained in the Director's Report concerning the Department and/or the Director's position or intentions with regard to the adjudication, as well as the implementation of statutes and/or regulations, as well as the administration of water or management of water rights or claims to the beneficial uses of water, if implemented, constitute a violation of various provisions the United States and Idaho constitutions, and/or a violation of the letter, spirit and/or intent of various statutes enacted by the legislature, and/or constitute an exercise of purported authority or powers either in excess of those conferred by law, or in a manner inconsistent with those conferred by law, or both.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 12

The individual recommendations relating to the specific water right of the objectors as set forth in the Director's Report are (1) not based upon substantial evidence and/or are not, in fact, the product of any reasonable, complete or accurate investigation as required by law; (2) are the product of arbitrary and capricious action on the part of the Department or the Director; (3) have been made in excess of the constitutional and statutory authority of the Department and the Director; (4) have been made upon an unlawful and invalid procedure; (5) are affected by various and sundry errors of law; and/or (6) are clearly erroneous in view of the reliable, probative and substantial evidence.

OC, 8-20-20
INVESTIGATED

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 13

The Director's Report is a pleading subject to the provisions of the Idaho Rules of Civil Procedure, including, but not limited to the requirement of I.R.C.P. 8(a)(1) that such pleadings contain a clear and plain statement of the facts, demonstrating an entitlement to relief. The Director's Report violates such provision.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 14

The Director's Report fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 15

The Director's Report is subject to, and must comply with, the requirements of Idaho Code Section 42-1411. The Director's Report does not comply with the requirements of the statute.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 16

In conducting the investigation and compiling the Director's Report, the Department and the Director have not complied with the requirements of Idaho Code Section 42-1410.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 17

In conducting the investigation and compiling the Director's Report, the Department and the Director have not complied with the requirements of Idaho Code Section 42-1416.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 18

The procedures specified in the authorizing legislation and procedural rules promulgated in accordance therewith serve to

deny objectors due process of law in violation of Amendment Fourteen to the Constitution of the United States and Art. 1, Section 13, Idaho Constitution.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 19

The "General Provisions" and "Other Statements" contained in the Director's Report, along with the Claims Investigation Manual, Adjudication Memoranda and other materials of a similar nature used or employed by the Department, the Director and/or their agents, servants and/or employees constitute rules and regulations which were required to be proposed, promulgated, adopted and approved in conformity with the Administrative Procedures Act and other applicable statutory provisions. The Department, Director and their agents, servants and employees have failed to comply with such requirements, and accordingly, the "General Provisions," "Other Statements", Claims Investigation Manual and the like are invalid, void and of no force or effect.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 20

Neither the "General Conditions," the Claims Adjudication Manual, Adjudication Memoranda or other promulgations, adoptions, policies, or the like have been submitted to or approved by the Legislature as required by Idaho Code Section 67-5217.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 21

The relief requested by the Department and the Director in the Director's Report are beyond and in excess of the subject matter jurisdiction of the Court.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 22

The actions of the Legislature, or the Department and the Director to enact, adopt, implement or enforce statutes, regulations, rules or policies subsequent to November 19, 1987, and to apply or enforce the same for the purpose of, or with the effect of recommending, diminishing or altering objectors' water rights, constitute a violation of Amendment Fourteen, United States Constitution; Art. 1, Section 13, Idaho Constitution; the SRBA authorization legislation; and Idaho Code Sections 73-101 and 73-106.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 23

These proceedings are subject to the requirements and provisions of Idaho Code Sections 12-117, 12-121, 12-123 and I.R.C.P. 11, and the provisions of the private attorney general doctrine, and other related doctrines, and the Department, Director and State of Idaho are obligated to objectors for the payment and reimbursement of any and all reasonable costs or attorney fees incurred herein.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 24

The Director's Report asserts what amounts to be a taking of private property by power of eminent domain as governed by Idaho Code Section 7-701 et seq., and as such, venue is therefore improper.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 25

The Department and the Director are equitably estopped from seeking any reduction in the quantity, quality or priority of

objectors' water rights by virtue of prior statements, representations and actions of the Department, Director or their agents, servants and employees.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 26

The Department and the Director are barred by laches and the applicable statutes of limitation, including, but not limited to, Idaho Code Sections 5-202, 5-203, 5-204, 5-206, 5-207, 5-208, 5-215, 5-218, 5-219(2), 5-224 and/or 5-241.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 27

Objectors hereby reserve the right to assert any further affirmative defenses not expressly stated herein, but which subsequently become apparent.

WHEREFORE, the objectors pray for judgment as follows:

1. That the State of Idaho take nothing by reason of its Directors' Report, and that this action, and any claims asserted against objectors, be dismissed.

2. That a decree be entered adjudicating the existence, validity, amount and priority of objectors' water rights in accordance with objectors' claims.

3. That to the extent the acts of the Department or Director constitute a taking of objectors' property for public use, that objectors be allowed and awarded just compensation therefor.

4. That the objectors be allowed all costs of suit and reasonable attorney fees incurred herein.

5. For such further relief to which the Court finds objectors justly entitled.

25 28 1960
WILMINGTON

(WATER\21530ANS)

NOTICE OF ERROR



RESPONSE



CORRECTED R PROOF



MICROFILMED

FEB 07 1993

Water Right Number - R36-10169

Prefix Translation: RESEARCH

Old (D) Number - VERIFIED BY

+ - - - - Last Name - - - - + + - First Name - - + + - - - - - Address - - - - - +

*** C - CURRENT OWNER ***
BECKSTEAD

FRED A

1 - PO BOX 359

2 -

3 -

City- HAGERMAN

State- ID Zip- 83332

Phone - 208-837-4573

COATES

FAYE

1 - PO BOX 359

2 -

3 -

City- HAGERMAN

State- ID Zip- 83332

Phone - 208-000-0000

+ - - Priority Date - - + + - - Region - - - + + - - Stage - - - + + - - Status - - - +
01/01/1957 ERROR IN REGION J - BENEFICIAL USE+ - - - - Source - - - - - + + - - - - Tributary - - - - - +
WASTE WATER SINKS+ - - - - Point of Diversion - - - - - +
Twn Rng Sec Tract Lot RFlg County

07S 13E 14 SE NW NW (47) GOODING

+ - - - - Water Use - - - - - +
Code Description Period From Period To Diversion Rate Diversion Volume

01 - IRRIGATION 03/15 11/15 0.110

+ - Total Div. Rate - + + - Total Div. Volume - + + - Total Consumptive Use - + + - KW Usage - +
0.110 0.0+ - - - - Place of Use - - - - - +
Twn Rng Sec Tract Lot Acres Code Description County

07S 13E 14 NW NW 4.0 01 IRRIGATION (47) GOODING

Total Acres: 4.0

+ - - Diversion Means - DITCHES.

+ - - Non-Irrigation Use -

+ - - Other Rights for Same Use - 36-00066B

+ - - - - Remarks - - - - - +

A DIVERSION RATE OF 0.11 CFS AT THE FIELD HEADGATE IS
RECOMMENDED FOR THE PLACE OF USE DESCRIBED ABOVE.
USE OF THIS RIGHT WITH RIGHT NO. 36-00066B IS LIMITED TO A
TOTAL COMBINED DIVERSION RATE OF 0.61 CFS, A TOTAL COMBINEDMICROFILMED
FEB 07 1993

Water right number - R36-10169

Prefix Translation: RESEARCH

+ - - - - Remarks - - - - - +
ANNUAL CONSUMPTIVE USE VOLUME OF 61.2 AF, AND TO THE IRRIGATION
OF A COMBINED TOTAL OF 20.4 ACRES IN A SINGLE IRRIGATION SEASON.

+ - - - - Old Water Right Numbers - - - - - +
No Old Water Right Numbers Found

+ - - - - Conditions of Approval - - - - - +
No Conditions of Approval found.

+ - - - - Decree Plaintiff - - - + + - - - - Decree Defendant - - - + + - Decree Date - +
00/00/0000

+ - - - - Comments - - - - - +
Date: 08/04/1992 User Id: CHRISTEN Subject: BASIS FOR DISALLOWAL
THIS CLAIM IS FOR WASTEWATER. IT IS THEIR OWN WASTEWATER AND IS DESCRIBED THROUGH 36-00066B AS A PART OF THE PLACE OF USE.
Date: 09/22/1992 User Id: SCHULTZ Subject: NOTICE OF ERROR (NOE)
RECEIVED NOE 9/21/92. CHANGED NOE FLAG FROM BLANK TO A. CLAIM VERIFIED 8/4/92. DID NOT REVERIFY ANY PART OF CLAIM.
Date: 10/13/1992 User Id: CLELLAND Subject: RESPONSE TO NOE AND ALLOWAL OF RIGHT
THIS WATER WAS BELIEVED TO BE FROM THE SANDS DITCH RUNOFF UNDER 36-00066B BUT IS FROM THE DONKEY SPRINGS FISH USE UNDER 36-07298.

+ - - Audit Fields - - +
10/13/92 CLELLAND

+ - - - - Adjudication Rights Dates and Flags Area - - - - - +

Court Report Filing	- 00/00/0000	Field Inspection Made	- 00/00/0000	Civil Case Number	- 39576
Objection Filed Date	- 00/00/0000	Field Inspection Flag	-	Old Case Number	-
Response Filed Date	- 00/00/0000	Verified Date	- 10/13/1992	Old Judicial District	-
Claim Received Date	- 07/07/1988	Last Amended Date	- 00/00/0000	Temporary Right	- P
		Receipt Number	- S000755	Water District	- 36A
		POU Ownership Flag	- Y	Federal/State Indicator	- S
		Name Connector	- OR	Presumption Flag	-
				Notice of Error Flag	- C

MICROFILMED

FEB 07 1993

NOTICE OF ERROR FORM

AUG-24-1992

Please type or print clearly, fill out completely, and return to:

Idaho Department of Water Resources

Statehouse Mail

Boise, Idaho 83720-9000

RECEIVED

SEP 21 1992

Department of Water Resources

The notice of error must be received at IDWR by the **deadline date** printed below with your proposed recommendation.

YOUR DEADLINE FOR FILING A NOTICE OF ERROR IS:

SEP-24-1992

1. Water right number:

36-10169

SEP 22 1992

Department of Water Resources
Statehouse Mail, C-100

2.

Name:

Fred A. Beckstead

Address:

P.O. Box 359, Hagerman, Idaho

83332

Weekday Telephone Number:

837-4573

MICROFILMED

FEB 07 1993

TEAR AT PERFORATIONS AND COMPLETE FORM ON OTHER SIDE

(Instead of filling in items 3 and 4 below you may include a copy of your proposed recommendation with the changes marked on the copy.)

3. Describe the portion of proposed recommendation with which you disagree:

Disallowed

4. Describe the changes you wish to have made in the proposed recommendation:

Reconsider application

5. Describe why you disagree with the proposed recommendation:

this water is used for irrigation.
if not allowed, land could not be irrigated

6. Date: September 21, 1992

SIGNATURE

Frank R. Smith

R PROOF



CVR



MAPS



PHOTOS



MISC

MICROFILMED

FEB 07 1993

RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF ERROR
IN THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN ADJUDICATION

Water Right No: 36-10169 Contact Date: 10-13-92

IDWR Contact Person: (print and initial) STEVE CLELLAND SC

Claimant Contact Person FRED BECKSTEAD

Others Present

Contact By (give date)

Meeting (location):

Phone Call (no.)

Letter (attach letter): PROFILE REPORT

IDWR USE ONLY	
Date	Initials
Date <u>10-13-92</u>	<u>SCC</u>
Entered	
Verified	

I. Issues identified by claimant: WATER IS USED SEPARATE FROM
SANDS DITCH WATER.

II. Reasons provided claimant for original recommendation: WATER WAS BELIEVED TO
BE DECREED USE OF SANDS DITCH WATER.

III. Additional information provided by claimant: PHONE CONVERSATION WITH
BOB BANNING EXPLAINED THE USE MORE CLEARLY;
OF THIS WATER.

IV. Changes to be made in final recommendation (if any): RE INSTATE CLAIM &
RECOMMEND AS OVERLAP OF 4 ACRES WITH SANDS
DITCH CLAIM 36-00066B at the same irrigation
rate of 0.027 cfs/acre

V. Action taken (date): Amend Recommendation record & sent copy
of this Response & profile Report

Steve Clelland **MICROFILMED**
FEB 07 1993

Water Right Number - R36-10189

Prefix Translation: RESEARCH

Old (D) Number -

+ - - - - Last Name - - - - + + - First Name - - + + - - - - - Address - - - - - +

*** C - CURRENT OWNER ***
BECKSTEAD

FRED A

1 - PO BOX 359

2 -

3 -

City- HAGERMAN

Phone - 208-837-4573

State- ID Zip- 83332

1 - PO BOX 359

2 -

3 -

City- HAGERMAN

Phone - 208-000-0000

State- ID Zip- 83332

COATES

FAYE

+ - - Priority Date - - + + - - - Region - - - + + - - - Stage - - - + + - - - Status - - - +
01/01/1910 ERROR IN REGION Beneficial Use I - DISALLOWED+ - - Source - - - - + + - - - Tributary - - - - - +
WASTE WATER SINKS+ - - - - Point of Diversion - - - - - +
Twn Rng Sec Tract Lot RFlg County

07S 13E 14 SE NW NW (47) GOODING

+ - - - - Water Use - - - - - +
Code Description Period From Period To Diversion Rate Diversion Volume
01 - IRRIGATION 3-15 11-15 0.11 30.00
04/01 10/31 0.150+ - Total Div. Rate - + + - Total Div. Volume - + + - Total Consumptive Use - + + - KW Usage - +
0.150 30.00 22.5+ - - - - Place of Use - - - - - +
Twn Rng Sec Tract Lot Acres Code Description County

07S 13E 14 NW NW 7.5 4.0 01 IRRIGATION (47) GOODING

Total Acres: 7.5 4.0

+ - - Diversion Means - DITCHES.

+ - - Non-Irrigation Use -

+ - - Other Rights for Same Use - A36-00066B

+ - - - - Remarks - - - - - +
RECOMMENDED AS RIGHT NO. 36-00066B.KKC
MICROFILMED
FEB 07 1993NOE
aⁿ-instruments

Water right number - R36-10169

Prefix Translation: RESEARCH

+ - - - - Old Water Right Numbers - - - - - +

+ - - - - Old Water Right Numbers - - - - - +

No Old Water Right Numbers Found

+ - - - - Conditions of Approval - - - - - +

~~POB~~ - RECOMMENDED AS RIGHT NO. _____: This is included for a
- claim that is PROPOSED to be disallowed because the right claimed
- is recommended pursuant to another right. The remark indicates
- the water right number of the OTHER right that is proposed to be
- recommended. If you are not mailed a notice of the recommendation
- of the OTHER right, then the OTHER right is recommended in
- another claimant's name.

+ - - - - Decree Plaintiff - - - +

+ - - - - Decree Defendant - - - +

+ - Decree Date - +
00/00/0000

+ - - - - Comments - - - - - +

Date: 08/04/1992 User Id: CHRISTEN Subject: BASIS FOR DISALLOWAL
THIS CLAIM IS FOR WASTEWATER. IT IS THEIR OWN WASTEWATER AND IS DESCRIBED THROUGH 36-00066B AS A PART OF THE PLACE OF USE.

+ - - Audit Fields - - +

08/04/92 CHRISTEN

+ - - - - Adjudication Rights Dates and Flags Area - - - - - +

Court Report Filing	- 00/00/0000	Field Inspection Made	- 00/00/0000	Civil Case Number	- 39576
Objection Filed Date	- 00/00/0000	Field Inspection Flag	-	Old Case Number	-
Response Filed Date	- 00/00/0000	Verified Date	- 08/04/1992	Old Judicial District	-
Claim Received Date	- 07/07/1988	Last Amended Date	- 00/00/0000	Temporary Right	- P
		Receipt Number	- S000755	Water District	- 36A
		POU Ownership Flag	- Y	Federal/State Indicator	- S
		Name Connector	- OR	Presumption Flag	-
				Notice of Error Flag	- <u>C</u>

MICROFILMED
FEB 07 1993

STATE OF IDAHO
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
ADJUDICATION CLAIM VERIFICATION REPORT

01:44:33
07/03/90

PAGE: 11
QUICKLOOK INFORMATION

CLAIM NUMBER: A36-10169

WR ELEMENT	FIELD EXAM Y/N	CORRESPONDENCE SENT/RECEIVED BY WHOM/DATE	FIELD EXAM BY WHOM/DATE	CHANGE CLAIM Y/N	VERIFIED BY CODE	COMPLETED REVIEW WHOM/DATE	COMPLETED DATA ENTRY WHOM/DATE	VERIFIED DATE WHOM/DATE
PRIORITY DATE	Y	Called Mrs. Coates 12-13-90 sec				HHT 8/3/92	DB	Sec 10-13-92
POINT OF DIV	Y		Sec 8-29-90	N				
SOURCE	Y			N				
PLACE OF USE	Y			(Y)	1, 4, 5, 6			
DIV. AMOUNT CFS/VOLUME	Y			(Y)				
CONSUMPTIVE USE	-			(Y)				
PURPOSES	Y			N				
PERIOD OF USE	Y			N				
BASIS OF CLAIM	Y	NOE 9-21-92		N				
REMARKS								

"VERIFIED BY" CODES

TOPO MAP/ORTHO-PQ = 1
ASCS MAP = 2
WATERMASTER RECORDS = 3
NAPP PHOTOS = 4
FIELD EXAM = 5
OTHER (SEE REMARKS) = 6

THESE CODES ARE USED TO INDICATE HOW THE FIELD EXAMINER VERIFIED THE INFORMATION
USED FOR THIS FIELD EXAMINATION. INDICATED ARE ALL CODES WHICH APPLY.

allowed
per NOE
10-13-92
sec

Disallowed - this claim for wastewater. It is their own wastewater
and is described through 36-00066B as a part of
the POU

FILED
JUL 07 1993

STATE OF IDAHO
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
ADJUDICATION CLAIM VERIFICATION REPORT

01:44:33
07/03/90

PAGE: 1
GENERAL INFORMATION

CLAIM NUMBER: A36-10169

LAST: BECKSTEAD
ADDRESS: P.O. BOX 359
CITY: HAGERMAN
PHONE NUMBER: 208-837-4573

FIRST: FRED A.

STATE: ID ZIP: 83332

OWNER CODE: C

LAST: COATES
ADDRESS: P.O. BOX 359
CITY: HAGERMAN
PHONE NUMBER: 208-000-0000

FIRST: FAYE

STATE: ID ZIP: 83332

OWNER CODE: C

FIELD EXAM REQUIRED?

DATABASE
N

FIELD
EXAMINER

VERIFICATION REQUIRED DUE TO REMARKS?

NO REMARKS IN CLAIM

MICROFILMED
FEB 07 1993

Phone conversation: Bob Banning (after NOE) (date not recorded)

I spoke to Bob B. on the phone and we discussed the use of this spring water after it came through the fish ponds under 36-7298. Use is not for Sands Ditch waste water as believed.

see
10-13-92

CONTACT PERSON: Bob Banning

PHONE NUMBER: () -

DATE OF FIELD EXAM: 8/29/90

APPOINTMENT MADE: Y() N()

TIME: 8:00 A(X) P()

ACCOMPANIED BY: _____

PHONE NUMBER: () -

RELATIONSHIP TO CLAIMANT: _____

DIRECTIONS TO PROPERTY / REMARKS:

Due to the location of this field it is difficult to distinguish between the sub-water action & irrigation. Water is drained off through an injection well.

MICROFILMED

FEB 07 1993

A. AJ CLAIM: # A36-10169 PRIORITY DATE: 01-01-1910 STAGE: J
B. WR RECORD: NO WATER RIGHT RECORD TO MATCH THIS AJ CLAIM

C. FIELD EXAM REQUIRED?
DUE TO PRIORITY DATE DISCREPANCY? (Y/N) DATABASE Y FIELD EXAMINER ~~NES~~

ARE THERE OBVIOUS REASONS TO QUESTION THE PRIORITY DATE CLAIMED? Y(~~X~~) N(~~X~~)
IF YES EXPLAIN.

REMARKS: ~~NEEDS EVIDENCE OF PROOF. Should be listed in Decree if Date is correct~~
Called for Proof info 12-13-90 HAM spoke to Faye Coates.
Proof submitted states use has occurred for 35 years therefore 1992-35= 1957
Recommended
Priority Date
KKE.

RECOMMENDED PRIORITY DATE:

REVIEWED BY _____

DATE 10-13-92
SC

~~DISALLOW~~

MICROFILMED
FEB 07 1993

A. AJ CLAIM POINT OF DIVERSION AND SOURCE:

RIGHT	TWP.	RGE.	SEC.	1/4	1/4	1/4	LOT	CNTY
A36-10169	07S	13E	14	SE	NW	NW		GOODING

OTHER AJ CLAIMS WITH SAME AJ POD
A PREFIX R PREFIX
NONE NONE

WR RECORDS IN SAME 40 ACRE TRACT
36-07298

SOURCE: WASTE WATER

TRIB: SINKS

B. WR POINT OF DIVERSION AND SOURCE:

THERE IS NO WATER RIGHT RECORD WITH THE SAME CLAIM NUMBER

SOURCE: NO SOURCE FOR RECORD

TRIB: NO TRIBUTARY FOR RECORD

C. FIELD EXAM REQUIRED?

DUE TO POD DISCREPANCY? (Y/N)
DUE TO SOURCE DISCREPANCY? (Y/N)

DATABASE
Y
Y

FIELD
EXAMINER

X
Y

REMARKS:

confined by field exam.

~~DISALLOW~~

RECOMMENDED POINT(S) OF DIVERSION AND SOURCE:

TWP.	RGE.	SEC.	1/4	1/4	1/4	LOT	COUNTY
<u>7S.</u>	<u>13E</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>SE</u>	<u>NW</u>	<u>NW</u>	<u>---</u>	<u>47</u>

SOURCE: WASTE WATER
REVIEWED BY: SW

TRIB: SINKS

DATE: 7-4-90

OK
10-13-92
SW

MICROFILMED
FEB 07 1993

A. ROOT WATER RIGHT NUMBERS: A36-10169

B. POU LISTING

TWP	RNG	SEC	1/4	1/4	LOT	NAME	AJ #/ACRES	WR #/ACRES	LANDSAT DATA	FIELD EXAM.	RECOMM. ACRES
07S	13E	14	NW	NW		BECKSTEAD, FRED A.	A36-10169 / 7.5	- /	30.0	8.0	8.0
						COATES, FAYE	A36-00067 / 7.5	36-00067 /			8.0
						BECKSTEAD, FRED A.	A36-07298 /	36-07298 /			7.5
						STRANGE, WILLIAM	A36-00006 / 28.0	36-00006 /			4.0
						STRANGE, WILLIAM	A36-00007 / 28.0	36-00007 /			
						BECKSTEAD, FRED A.	A36-00065 / 7.5	36-00065 /			
						BECKSTEAD, FRED A.	A36-00066 / 7.5	36-00066 /			
						BARLOGI, REX		36-00008 /			
						BARLOGI, AGNES		36-00145 /			
						SULLIVAN, RALPH		36-04025 / 5.0			
TOTAL ACRES							A36-10169	7.50	30.00		8.0

ARE IRRIGATED ACRES FOUND IN TRACTS OTHER THAN INDICATED ABOVE, Y() N(X)
IF YES SEE ATTACHED SHEET:

C. FIELD EXAM REQUIRED?

DUE TO POU DISCREPANCY? (Y/N)

DATABASE
Y

FIELD
EXAMINER
X

PRESUMPTION FLAG:

REMARKS:

added 0.5 Acres left out by ASCS map - field Exam shows irrigated.

~~DISALLOW~~ 4 acres from Springs
Waste water
after Fish Uses.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

TOTAL ACRES:

REVIEWED BY:

DATE:

10-13-92

See

FEB 07 1993

A. AJ CLAIMED USES:

PURPOSES	MONTH/DAY	DIVERSION QUANTITY
A36-10169 IRRIGATION	FROM 04-01 TO 11-01	2.000 CFS
TOTAL DIVERSION RATE/VOLUME CLAIMED		2.000 CFS

B. WR RECORDED USES:

PURPOSES	MONTH/DAY	DIVERSION QUANTITY
TOTAL DIVERSION RATE/VOLUME CLAIMED		

PRESUMPTION FLAG:

C. FIELD EXAM REQUIRED?

	DATABASE	FIELD EXAMINER
DUE TO WATER-USE DISCREPANCY? (Y/N)	Y	<u>Y</u>
DUE TO DIVERSION RATE DISCREPANCY? (Y/N)	Y	<u>Y</u>
DUE TO PERIOD FROM/TO DISCREPANCY? (Y/N)	Y	<u>Y</u>

D. PERIOD OF USE:

RECOMMEND AS CLAIMED?

Y(X) N()

RECOMMEND TO WR STANDARDS OR OTHER?

Y() N(X) Explain in remarks, below.

REMARKS:

MICROFILMED
FEB 07 1993

A. DOES DIVERSION RATE CLAIMED AGREE WITH EXISTING RECORDS?

Y() N(☒)

AJ TOTAL CLAIMED DIVERSION RATE: 2.000 CFS
WR ROOT RIGHT TOTAL DIVERSION RATE: CFS

*** IF NO or IF STATUTORY CLAIM or BENEFICIAL USE then flow measurement required. ***
** If an amount smaller than the original diversion rate is **
** claimed and an exam is NOT required, explain in remarks. **

FLOW MEASUREMENT REQUIRED?

FIELD
DATABASE EXAMINER
Y N

DUE TO STAGE OR DIVERSION RATE DISCREPANCY? (Y/N)

B.

FLOW MEASUREMENT

MEASUREMENT METHOD _____, SEE ATTACHED CALCULATIONS.

C. ARE OTHER WATER RIGHTS USED? ☒ (Y) N() IF YES COMPLETE OVERLAP REVIEW.

OTHER RIGHTS LISTED IN CLAIM: ~~A36-04025~~

A36-00066B

LIST ALL RIGHTS WITH A SAME POU AND IRRIGATION USAGE:

CLAIM	SOURCE	NAME	DIV. RATE	DIV. RATE/ACRE	DIV. VOL.	DIV. VOL./ACRE
A36-10169	WASTE WATER	BECKSTEAD , FRED A.	2.000	0.266		
A36-00067	BILLINGSLEY CREEK	COATES , FAYE	0.500	0.014		
A36-00007	BILLINGSLEY CREEK	STRANGE , WILLIAM	1.080	0.009		
A36-00065	BILLINGSLEY CREEK	BECKSTEAD , FRED A.	0.700	0.019		
A36-00066	BILLINGSLEY CREEK	BECKSTEAD , FRED A.	1.400	0.039		

LIST ALL RIGHTS WITH SAME POU BUT NOT USED FOR IRRIGATION.

CLAIM NO.	PURPOSES	NAME	MONTH/DAY	RATE	VOLUME
A36-07298	FISH PROPAGATION	BECKSTEAD , FRED A.	FROM 01-01 TO 12-31	2.000 CFS	

MICROFILMED
FEB 07 1993

D. RATE/VOLUME CALCULATIONS: (IRRIGATION)

1a. IRRIGATION RATE
ACRES 8.0 x 0.02 CFS/ACRE = 0.16 CFS
(Taken from p/u review)

DOES AMOUNT CLAIMED EXCEED 0.02 CFS/ACRE? Y(X) N()

*** IF YES ADDITIONAL WATER USE FORM FILED AND ATTACHED? Y() N(X) ***

2. IRRIGATION CONSUMPTIVE USE

CLAIMED CONSUMPTIVE USE = 30.0 AF/A

ACRES 8.0 x CONSUMPTIVE VOL. AF/A FOR AREA 3.0 =
24.0

TOTAL CONSUMPTIVE USE 24.0 AF/A

= 12.0

3. IRRIGATION DIVERSION VOLUME

CLAIMED DIVERSION VOLUME = AF/A

ACRES 8.0 x DIVERSION VOL. AF/A FOR AREA 4.0 =

TOTAL DIVERSION VOLUME 32.0 AF/A

N/A

4. CALCULATIONS FOR USES OTHER THAN IRRIGATION:

SEE ATTACHED SHEET? Y() N()

REMARKS: (Include limitations on CONSUMPTIVE USE for combined rights.)

1b. CALCULATIONS

per analysis

RECEIVED
FEB 07 1993

RECOMMENDATIONS:

REC. PURPOSES	REC. PERIOD		REC. DIV RATE CFS		REC. DIV VOL. AF/A		REC. CONS. USE	
	FROM	TO	DATABASE	EXAMINER	DATABASE	EXAMINER	DATABASE	EXAMINER
<u>IRRIGATION</u>	<u>3-15</u>	<u>11-15</u>		<u>0.15</u>		<u>30.0</u>		<u>22.5</u>
	<u>4-1</u>	<u>11-1</u>		<u>0.15</u>		<u>30.0</u>		<u>22.5</u>
TOTAL FOR THIS RIGHT			<u>0.11</u>	<u>0.15</u>		<u>30.0</u>		<u>22.5</u>
REVIEWED BY: <u>SCC</u>			DATE: <u>9-4-90</u>			<u>N/A</u>		<u>12.0</u>
				<u>10-10-90</u>				

Revised: SCC

~~DISALLOWED~~ dk

Query?

Since the WR A36-00066 from the Sands ditch is already covering this POU any waste water from the Sands Ditch can already be used here. That leaves only the Run-off created by Donkey Springs and/or the fish ponds there. Since water Drainage appears to be a problem and sub irrigation occurs - Question the Beneficial Use of this water for irrigation. (SCC 10-10-90)

I asked Mrs. Coates about this - waste water is used as claimed. (see memos)

It is their own wastewater - Recommended under 36-00066B

ALLOWED RIGHT:

This wastewater source is for water from the fishponds separate from the Sands Ditch water. The Place of use will overlap on the 4 acres described herein. See 10-13-92

MICROFILMED
FEB 07 1993

A. CHECK ONLY THE FOLLOWING THAT APPLY:

OWNERSHIP _____
FORFEIT/ABANDON _____
ADDITIONAL DIV. AMOUNT _____

ACCEPTED AS CLAIMED	NEEDS REVIEW
(X)	()
(X)	()
()	(X)

B. SPLIT WATER RIGHT REVIEW

ROOT WATER RIGHT NUMBER: 36-10169

TOTAL DIVERSION RATE OF WR SPLITS

DATABASE

EXAMINER

CFS

SPLITS:

OLD RIGHT NUMBERS	CURRENT CLAIM NUMBERS	DIVERSION RATE	CURRENT "R" CLAIM NUM.	"R" DIV. RATE	WR RIGHT NUMBERS	DIVERSION RATE
-	A36-10169	2.000	-	-	-	-
TOTAL CFS		2.000				

DIVERSION RATE REVIEW

DO THE SUM CFS RATE OF THE SPLITS EQUAL THE ROOT RIGHT CFS RATE? (Y/N)
IF NO, is the written review sheet attached? (Y/N)

DATABASE
N

FIELD
EXAMINER

~~X~~ OK

REMARKS:

~~Disallowed~~

AUTHENTICATION:

SIGNATURE:


Steve [Signature]

DATE:

12-13-90

NAME OF FIELD EXAMINER:

TITLE:



COPY FOR:

A36-10169

IRRIGATION ANALYSIS WORKSHEET

CLAIM NO. A36-00066
 DATE 7-15-92
 AGENT SCC

CLAIMANT/SYSTEM DESCRIPTION BECKSTEAD/COATES - SANDS DITCH
 IRRIGATION SYSTEM ----- Flare Corrugated
 ANALYSIS METHOD ----- BORDER + FURROW
 TYPE OF CROP ----- PASTURE
 MAXIMUM PROFILE DEPTH ----- 36 INCHES
 INTAKE FAMILY ----- weighted ave 0.22 + 0.42 2.0/3.0 = 0.64

SOIL TYPE	EPC	FAB	SYSTEM TOTAL
ACRES PER TYPE	<u>8.3</u>	<u>12.1</u>	<u>20.4</u> ACRES
SOIL PROFILE WHC	<u>3.305</u>	<u>3.42</u>	
DESIGN IRR $\frac{1}{2}$ WHC	<u>1.65</u>	<u>1.71</u>	

weighted average: $0.67 + 1.01 = 1.68$

CROP REQUIREMENT:

REPORT AREA & FIGURE NO. ----- Bliss 10-8

DAILY CROP REQUIREMENT:

6.44 (MM/DAY) / 25.4 (FACTOR IN MM/IN) ----- 0.25 IN/DAY

ACTUAL ROTATION PRACTICED ----- 14 DAYS

2 parts (DRY DAY ROTATION 7 IRR DAY ROTATION 7)

THEORETICAL ROTATION:

1.68 DESIGN IRR / 0.25 CROP REQ = 6.72 DAYS

DESCRIPTION OF FIELD:

AVERAGE LENGTH RUN ----- (700 + 1000 + 175 + 200) 428

TOTAL WIDTH OF FIELD ----- 2075

CHECK 428 L * 2075 W / 43,560 = 20.4 ACRES

% SLOPE ----- 3%

BORDER WIDTH ----- N/A

WIDTH OF FIELD / DAYS OF ROTATION ----- 30"

FURROW CORRUGATION WIDTH ----- 12-24 Hrs

SET TIME -----

MICROFILMED

FEB 07 1993

A36-00066

Beckstead/COATES

7-24-92

SCC

SANDS DITCH

INTAKE FAMILY <IF> = 0.64
 DESIGN APPLICATION <Fn> = 1.68 INCHES
 FURROW SLOPE <S> = 0.030 FEET/FOOT
 FURROW SPACING <W> = 2.50 FEET
 FURROW LENGTH <L> = 428 FEET
 INFLOW RATE <Q> = 4 GPM/FURROW
 APPLICATION TIME <Ti> = 12 HOURS

 ADVANCE TIME <TT> = 39.0 MINUTES; OR 0.7 HOURS
 GROSS APPLICATION <FG> = 4.32 INCHES
 NET APPLICATION <Fx> = 3.61 INCHES
 RUNOFF <RO> = 0.61 INCHES
 DEEP PERCOLATION <DP> = 2.02 INCHES
 EFFICIENCY <E> = 38.91 %

TO CHANGE: PRESS (EXISTING)
 Design Application <1> 1.68 in
 Furrow Slope <2> 0.030 ft/ft
 Furrow Spacing <3> 2.50 ft
 Furrow Length <4> 428 ft
 Furrow Intake Family <5> 0.64
 Inflow Rate <6> 4 gpm/furrow
 Inflow Time <7> 12 hours <ANALYSIS MODE>

TO PRINT: DATA/WITH HEADING PRESS:<8/9>
 NEW DESIGN PRESS <10>, NEW ANALYSIS PRESS <11>, QUIT PRESS <12>?

INTAKE FAMILY	DESIGN APPLIC.	FURROW SLOPE	FURROW SPACING	FURROW LENGTH	INFLOW RATE	APPROXIMATE INFLOW TIME	ADVANCE TIME	GROSS IRRIGATION APPLICATION	NET IRRIGATION APPLICATION	DEEP PERC.	IRRIGATION EFFICIENCY
	Inches	Ft/Ft	Feet	Feet	Gpm	Hours	Minutes	Inches	Inches	Inches	%
0.64	1.68	.030	2.50	428	5	12	32.6	5.40	3.76	1.56	31.13
0.64	1.68	.030	2.50	428	4	12	39.0	4.32	3.61	0.61	38.91 ←
0.64	1.68	.030	2.50	428	3	12	52.7	3.24 <	3.61	0.61	38.91

$$F.I.R. = 4.2 \frac{cu}{EFF\%}$$

$$= 0.027 \text{ cfs/Ac}$$

$$20.4 \text{ Ac} \times 0.027 \text{ cfs/Ac} = \frac{0.55}{\cancel{3.55}} \text{ cfs}$$

MICROFILMED

FEB 07 1993

CONVERSATION MEMORANDUM

EMPLOYEE: STEVE CLELLAND

FILE A36-00066, 65, 67

RE: irrigation systems

DATE 7-21-92

NAME OF PARTY

BOB BONNING 837-6340
(irrigator)

7-20-92 1:40 pm No Answer - Sun

7-21-92 10:00 Am

I called and confirmed that Bob was still the irrigator for Faye Coates - He is. He said all the fields are corrugated and he ~~re~~recorrugates each year. He estimated the spacing at 30".

Re: Buckeye + Bill water + land -

He said he runs the water continuous and can cover the fields in 7 days then return to start over. They use 12-24 sets.

Re: Sands Ditch -

This field is different since he has to ^{shift} ~~split~~ the head of water into a lower ditch system. Takes about 7 days to cover the Top end fields then they set while the Bottom portions are covered for the next 7 days. (Total 14 day rotation - 12-24 hour sets also.)

MICROFILMED
FEB 07 1993

10/19/90

9:15 am

Steve:

Fay Coates called in regard to the rights on the F. Becksted Estate @ Hagerman. She wishes to be assured that you know that they want to keep both the filing on the waste water from the springs and the filing on the injection well. The springs serve as an irrigation ~~well~~ ^{source} + the injection well is used in another area and at a different part of the irrigation season to dispose of "sub-water."

She said she had been working with you and you have her phone number and/or address. Please call her.

Bob.

MICROFILMED
FEB 07 1993

A36-10169



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Southern Region, 222 Shoshone St. East, Twin Falls, Idaho 83301 (208) 734-3578

CECIL D. ANDRUS
Governor

R. KEITH HIGGINSON
Director

October 3, 1990

RECEIVED

OCT 16 1990

A26-10169
FRED A. BECKSTEAD
P.O. BOX 359

HAGERMAN

ID 83332

Department of Water Resources
Southern Region Office

Dear Claimant(s):

In the past you submitted the claim(s) identified with the number(s) shown above your name and address at the top of this letter. You indicated on the claim(s) that the basis for establishing the water right(s) was Beneficial Use, meaning the water right was not previously confirmed by a decree, water right license or permit before you filed the claim(s).

The purpose of this letter is to request evidence supporting the priority date(s) you have claimed. The enclosed pamphlet describes what this means. While I encourage you to read all of the pamphlet, I direct your attention to the right-hand half of the pamphlet after you open it. There you will find two sections: What Kind of Evidence is Required, and Some Examples of Documents which May Be Evidence of the Priority Date. These sections tell you what must be submitted. The other sections tell you why the evidence is necessary and what the consequences of not submitting the evidence could be.

Please submit the requested evidence by November 1, 1990. When returning to the Department please reference all documents with the claim(s) identification number(s) listed above.

If you have questions not addressed by the pamphlet or need other technical assistance, please feel free to contact this office. For advice on your water right(s), please contact your attorney.

Sincerely,

Allen Merritt, P.E.
Water Rights Supervisor

Enclosure

Mr Merritt -

We have withdrawn the claim
as we didn't understand at the time
In fact we filed on the inspection well to get rid
of waste water in the lower end -
Hagerman
Fred Beckstead

MICROFILMED

FEB 07 1993

CONVERSATION MEMORANDUM

NAME OF PARTY FAYE COATES
EMPLOYEE: STEVE CLELLAND

DATE 10-15-90 11:45 am
FILE A36-10169

RE: WASTE WATER / Proof of Evidence

She called about the Priority letter recently sent out. I said she needed proof of the 1-1-1910 date on the use of waste water. She said proof would be very difficult. I suggested affidavits from surrounding neighbors who would know of the use of the water on the pasture. She said there was no one.

At this point I mentioned my Field Exam findings — "You use an injection well to get rid of the waste water why file a claim to use more waste water?" After talking this over she decided to let the claim go & abandon it. She will write a note on the bottom of the letter for evidence and send it back to us. I told her I would write this memo also for the file.

MRS Faye Coates has since chosen to pursue the claim as filed — Disregard the above intentions.

12-13-90 SCL

MICROFILMED

FEB 07 1993

REMARK AND CONDITION CODE WORKSHEET

SYSTEM CLAIM NUMBERS

R36 10169

AGENT

INITIALS

HAT

DATE

8/3/92

CLAIM NO.
OR ENTRY
ORDERREMARK
CODE

ENTRY DATA

CONDITION
CODESTAGE
OR
STATUSPRESUMPTION
CODE

P06

36-00066B

P06

MICROFILMED

FEB 07 1993

Fred H. Beckett
Box 259
Hagerman, Idaho
83332



Dept of Water Resources
772 Shoshone St E.
Twin Falls, Idaho

83301 MICROFILMED
FEB 07 1993

ATT
Allen Merrill, P.E.

To SCC A36-10169
Date 12 Time 3 AM
PM

WHI

claim is
overlap w/
A36-00066

OUT

ates

of _____
Phone _____
AREA CODE _____ EXTENSION 4573

Telephoned			X
Called to see you		will call again	
Wants to see you		Returned your call	

Message

I called back 4:15 pm :
she was not sure of the date.
Suggested she get the statements
from the two persons who know
the situation & get the earliest
date possible with evidence.
She said she would send it.

Signed

ShuChill
12-17-70

To SCC
Date 10/18/90 Time _____ A.M.
P.M.

WHILE YOU WERE OUT

Faye Kotz

of _____
Phone _____
AREA CODE _____ NUMBER 837-4573 EXTENSION _____

Telephoned	+	Please call	+
Called to see you		Will call again	
Wants to see you		Returned your call	

Message

Re: Claim that
she withdrew. Very
important! She has
called here twice long
distance.

MICROFILMED

FEB 07 1993

Signed

myB