

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM.

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39576

Ident. Number: A36-10706
Date Received: 8/29/1988
Receipt No: S001826
Received By: SC

NOTICE OF CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

1. Name: LOREN VADER 208-837-4489
Address: 2816 RITCHIE RD.
HAGERMAN, ID 83332

OR
Name: GLORIA VADER 208-837-4489
Address: 2816 RITCHIE RD.
HAGERMAN, ID 83332

2. Date of Priority: JUN 15, 1910

3. Source: GROUNDWATER Trib. to:

4. Point of Diversion:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4 of	1/4 of	Lot	County
07S	14E	29	SW	NW	NW		GOODING

5. Description of diverting works:
WELL AND PUMP

6. Water is used for the following purposes:

Purpose	From	To	C.F.S.	(or)	A.F.A.
DOMESTIC	01/01	12/31	0.040		
STOCKWATER	01/01	12/31	0.050		

7. Total Quantity Appropriated is:
0.050 C.F.S. (and/or) A.F.A.

8. Total consumptive use is Acre Feet Per Annum.

9. Non-irrigation uses:
D/ 1 HOUSE S/ 200 BEEF

A36-10706

Page 1

Date: 08/29/88

MICROFILMED
OCT 20 1994

10. Place of Use:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	Use	Acres
07S	14E	29	NW	NW		DOMEST	
			NW	NW		STOCK	

11. Place of use in counties: GOODING

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? YES

13. Other Water Rights Used:
NONE

14. Remarks:

15. Basis of Claim: BENEFICIAL USE

16. Signature(s)

(a.) By signing below, I/We acknowledge that I/We have received, read and understand the form entitled "How you will receive notice in the Snake River Basin Adjudication." (b.) I/We do _____ do not X wish to receive and pay a small annual fee for monthly copies of the docket sheet.

Number of attachments: 1

For Individuals:

I/We do solemnly swear or affirm that the statements contained in the foregoing document are true and correct.

Signature of Claimant(s): Loren F. Vader Date: 8-29-88

Date: _____

State of Idaho)
County of Twin Falls) SS.

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) before me this 29th day
of August 19 88

Roxanne Storey
Notary Public

Seal

Residing at Buhl, Id.

My Commission Expires 6-30-94


17
20

17 16
20 21

POOR ORIGINAL

75.
14E.

A36 - 10706



MICROFILMED
OCT 20 1994
(580c)

INPUT: AJ_SELECTION:HHT-NOA-BROWN2.SEL

TYPE OF ACTION: ADD

MODIFY ALL FIELDS FLAG:

LAST NAME: BROWN

ADDRESS LINE 1: HEPWORTH NUNGESTER LEZAMIZ

ADDRESS LINE 3: PO BOX 389

CITY: TWIN FALLS

FIRST NAME: PATRICK D

ADDRESS LINE 2:133 SHOSHONE ST N

STATE: ID ZIP: 833030389

PHONE NUMBER: (208) 734-7510

36-00003A ATTORNEY NAME SUCCESSFULLY ADDED TO NAME FILE
36-00011A ATTORNEY NAME SUCCESSFULLY ADDED TO NAME FILE
36-00033D ATTORNEY NAME SUCCESSFULLY ADDED TO NAME FILE
36-00033E ATTORNEY NAME SUCCESSFULLY ADDED TO NAME FILE
36-00051C ATTORNEY NAME SUCCESSFULLY ADDED TO NAME FILE
36-00051E ATTORNEY NAME SUCCESSFULLY ADDED TO NAME FILE
36-00055AA ATTORNEY NAME SUCCESSFULLY ADDED TO NAME FILE
36-00064A ATTORNEY NAME SUCCESSFULLY ADDED TO NAME FILE
36-00090D ATTORNEY NAME SUCCESSFULLY ADDED TO NAME FILE
36-00090E WATER RIGHT HAS ONE ATTORNEY OWNER CODE - NOT ADDED
36-00104A ATTORNEY NAME SUCCESSFULLY ADDED TO NAME FILE
36-02008 ATTORNEY NAME SUCCESSFULLY ADDED TO NAME FILE
36-02009 ATTORNEY NAME SUCCESSFULLY ADDED TO NAME FILE
36-02588 ATTORNEY NAME SUCCESSFULLY ADDED TO NAME FILE
36-10308 ATTORNEY NAME SUCCESSFULLY ADDED TO NAME FILE
36-10309 ATTORNEY NAME SUCCESSFULLY ADDED TO NAME FILE
36-10310 ATTORNEY NAME SUCCESSFULLY ADDED TO NAME FILE
36-10314 ATTORNEY NAME SUCCESSFULLY ADDED TO NAME FILE
36-10409 ATTORNEY NAME SUCCESSFULLY ADDED TO NAME FILE
36-10588 ATTORNEY NAME SUCCESSFULLY ADDED TO NAME FILE
36-10706 ATTORNEY NAME SUCCESSFULLY ADDED TO NAME FILE
A36-00003A ATTORNEY NAME SUCCESSFULLY ADDED TO NAME FILE
A36-00011A ATTORNEY NAME SUCCESSFULLY ADDED TO NAME FILE
A36-00033D ATTORNEY NAME SUCCESSFULLY ADDED TO NAME FILE
A36-00033E ATTORNEY NAME SUCCESSFULLY ADDED TO NAME FILE
A36-00051C ATTORNEY NAME SUCCESSFULLY ADDED TO NAME FILE
A36-00055AA ATTORNEY NAME SUCCESSFULLY ADDED TO NAME FILE
A36-00064A ATTORNEY NAME SUCCESSFULLY ADDED TO NAME FILE
A36-00090D ATTORNEY NAME SUCCESSFULLY ADDED TO NAME FILE
A36-00090E WATER RIGHT HAS ONE ATTORNEY OWNER CODE - NOT ADDED
A36-00104A ATTORNEY NAME SUCCESSFULLY ADDED TO NAME FILE
A36-02008 ATTORNEY NAME SUCCESSFULLY ADDED TO NAME FILE
A36-02009 ATTORNEY NAME SUCCESSFULLY ADDED TO NAME FILE
A36-02588 ATTORNEY NAME SUCCESSFULLY ADDED TO NAME FILE
A36-10308 ATTORNEY NAME SUCCESSFULLY ADDED TO NAME FILE
A36-10309 ATTORNEY NAME SUCCESSFULLY ADDED TO NAME FILE
A36-10310 ATTORNEY NAME SUCCESSFULLY ADDED TO NAME FILE
A36-10312 ATTORNEY NAME SUCCESSFULLY ADDED TO NAME FILE
A36-10314 ATTORNEY NAME SUCCESSFULLY ADDED TO NAME FILE
A36-10409 ATTORNEY NAME SUCCESSFULLY ADDED TO NAME FILE
A36-10588 ATTORNEY NAME SUCCESSFULLY ADDED TO NAME FILE
A36-10706 ATTORNEY NAME SUCCESSFULLY ADDED TO NAME FILE

added on first supplement

added on first supplement

MICROFILMED

OCT 20 1994

1 HEPWORTH, NUNGESTER & LEZAMIZ,
2 CHARTERED
3 133 Shoshone St. North
4 P.O. Box 389
5 Twin Falls, ID 83303-0389
6 Telephone: (208)734-7510
7 Attorneys for Claimant
8 (PPK\21530SUP.NO2\lmr)

RECEIVED

FEB 25 1993

Department of Water Resources
Adjudication Bureau

9 IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
10 STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

11 * * * * *

12 In Re the General Adjudication)
13 of Rights to the Use of Water)
14 from the Snake River Basin)
15 Water System)

Case No. 39576

16 The State of Idaho, ex rel.)
17 R. Keith Higginson in his)
18 official capacity as director)
19 of the Idaho Department of)
20 Water Resources,)

HAGERMAN WATER RIGHT OWNERS,
INC. AND ITS INDIVIDUAL
MEMBERS' SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL
NOTICE OF APPEARANCE

21 Petitioner,)

22 vs.)

23 United States; State of Idaho;)
and all claimants to the use)
of water from the Snake River)
Basin Water System,)

Respondents.)

* * * * *

24 COMES NOW the law firm of Hepworth, Nungester & Lezamiz
25 and supplements it Notice of Appearance to add on behalf of



Hepworth,
Nungester,
& Lezamiz

SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL NOTICE OF APPEARANCE - 1

MICROFILMED

OCT 20 1994

Hagerman Water Right Owners, Inc. and its individual members, the following individuals:

Mallane, Thomas	A36-00055AA
Mavencamp, John V.	A36-00104A
Pothier, Norman	A36-00064A
Vader, Loren	A36-10706
Vader, Loren	A36-02588
Culbertson, Stowe Allen & Mildred Sue	A36-00090D
Culbertson, Stowe Allen & Mildred Sue	A36-10409
Barnard, Clifton R.	A36-02008
Barnard, Clifton R.	A36-02009
Rea, Edna Mae & Bell, Verl C.	A36-00003A
Rea, Edna Mae & Bell, Verl C.	A36-000033E
Sauer, Roy E.	A36-00033D
Jensen, Darrell W.	A36-10308
Jensen, Darrell W.	A36-10309
Jensen, Darrell W.	A36-10310
Jensen, Darrell W.	A36-00011A
Robinson, Creed & Sandra	A36-00090E
Robinson, Creed & Sandra	A36-10588
Zabala, Ignacio Nick	A36-00051C
Zabala, Ignacio Nick	A36-10312-00051E
Zabala, Ignacio Nick	A36-10314

Dated this 24 day of February, 1993.

HEPWORTH, NUNGESTER & LEZAMIZ,
CHARTERED

By: 

Patrick D. Brown
Attorneys for Respondents

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

The undersigned, a resident attorney of the State of Idaho, with offices at 133 Shoshone St. North, P.O. Box 389, Twin Falls, Idaho 83303, and one of the attorneys for the respondents in this matter, certifies that he caused to be mailed a copy of the above and foregoing SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL NOTICE OF APPEARANCE on the



Hepworth,
Nungester,
& Lezamiz

SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL NOTICE OF APPEARANCE - 2

MICROFILMED

OCT 20 1994

1 24 day of February, 1993, to:

2 Snake River Adjudication (original)
3 District Court
4 P.O. Box 27070
Twin Falls, ID 83303-0126

5 Chief, Natural Resources Division (1)
6 Office of Attorney General
State of Idaho
P.O. Box 44449
Boise, Idaho 83711-4449

7 The United States Department of Justice (1)
8 Environment and Natural Resources Division
550 West Fort Street, Box 33
9 Boise, ID 83734

10 
11 Patrick D. Brown



Hepworth,
Nungester,
& Lezamiz

MICROFILMED
OCT 20 1994

RECEIVED

JAN 02 1998

Department of Water Resources
Southern Region

OCT 22 1997

DISTRICT COURT-SRBA
TWIN FALLS CO., IDAHO
FILED

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

In Re SRBA

Case No. 39576

SUBCASE(S) 36-10706

SPECIAL MASTER'S REPORT

A notice of claim was filed for this water right pursuant to I.C. § 42-1409 (1990). The Director of the State of Idaho Department of Water Resources examined the claim and his Report contained a recommendation of the elements of this water right.

- ☐ There were no objections to this claim; however, this claim is used in combination with another claim which is the subject of an objection.
- ☒ All objections to this claim were resolved by stipulation of the parties at hearing or with a *Standard Form 5*. All parties to the subcase and IDWR have signed the *Standard Form 5*.
- ☐ All objections to this claim were resolved at trial. The court made oral findings of fact and conclusions of law at the trial and they are incorporated herein reference.

Therefore, based on the file and record herein, IT IS RECOMMENDED that this claim be decreed with the elements as set forth in the attached *Special Master's Recommendation for Partial Decree*.

DATED: October 22, 1997

J. H. H. H.
Special Master
Snake River Basin Adjudication

JAN 02 1998

Department of Water Resources
Southern Region

OCT 22 1997

DISTRICT COURT-SRBA
TWIN FALLS CO., IDAHO
FILED

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

In Re SRBA

SUBCASE(S): 36-10706

Case No. 39576

NOTICE OF ISSUANCE and SERVICE

Special Master FRITZ X. HAEMMERLE issued a ***SPECIAL MASTER'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION*** for the above subcase(s) pursuant to SRBA Administrative Order 1 (AOI), Section 9.

The documents were served only on parties to the subcase and IDWR. They were not served on the Presiding Judge. Parties to this subcase may file a *Motion to Alter or Amend* with the Special Master, but must do so within 14 days of the date of the ***SPECIAL MASTER'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION***.

I certify that a true and correct copy of the *SPECIAL MASTER'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION* were hand delivered to the following:

Representative of IDWR:

Representative of U.S. Dept. of Justice

John Marshall

Toren Vader
Claimant/ Attorney

Claimant/Attorney

Party/Attorney

Party/Attorney

DATED October 72, 1997

Ami Albers
Deputy Clerk

RECEIVED

JAN 02 1998

Department of Water Resources
Southern Region

OCT 22 1997

DISTRICT COURT-SRBA
TWIN FALLS CO., IDAHO
FILED

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

In Re SRBA

Subcase(s) 36-10706

Case 39576

STANDARD FORM 5

This form is used to report the stipulated elements of a water right acquired under state law and/or a federal reserved water right. Submission of this form will not automatically result in the issuance of a partial decree. The Presiding Judge or Special Master will conduct any hearing necessary to determine whether the facts, data, expert opinions and law support the issuance of a partial decree for the water right.

The parties agree that the Snake River Basin Adjudication Court has jurisdiction of the parties and subject matter to enter a partial decree for this water right; that they have been served with sufficient process, according the law; and that they have appeared, prosecuted, and defended their positions with regard to this water right dispute.

The parties and IDWR agree and stipulate that the element of this water right should be described per the attached. The parties and IDWR have further indicated their concurrence by initialing each of the attached pages.

Date: 10/22/97

[Signature]
IDWR Concurrence

[Signature]
Claimant

Objector

Claimant/Attorney

Objector/Attorney

Claimant/Attorney

Objector/Attorney

As recommended

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
RECOMMENDED WATER RIGHTS ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAWDATE: OCT-16-1997
PAGE: A-1

RIGHTS FROM: SOURCE: GROUNDWATER

RIGHT NUMBER: 36-10706

NAME AND ADDRESS: LOREN VADER
GLORIA VADER
2816 RITCHIE RD
HAGERMAN ID 83332

SOURCE: GROUNDWATER

QUANTITY: 0.05 CFS

THE QUANTITY FOR NON-IRRIGATION USE IS LIMITED TO THE AMOUNT BENEFICIALLY
USED FOR DOMESTIC 1 HOUSE, STOCKWATER 200 BEEF

THE QUANTITY OF WATER UNDER THIS RIGHT SHALL NOT EXCEED 13,000
GALLONS PER DAY.

PRIORITY DATE: 06/15/1910

POINT OF DIVERSION: T07S R14E S29 SWNNW Within GOODING County

PURPOSE AND

PERIOD OF USE:	PURPOSE OF USE	PERIOD OF USE	QUANTITY
	STOCKWATER	01-01 12-31	0.05 CFS
	DOMESTIC 1 HOUSE	01-01 12-31	0.04 CFS

PLACE OF USE: STOCKWATER Within GOODING County
T07S R14E S29 NWNW

DOMESTIC Within GOODING County
T07S R14E S29 NWNW

OTHER PROVISIONS NECESSARY FOR DEFINITION OR ADMINISTRATION OF THIS WATER RIGHT:

THE QUANTITY OF WATER DECREED FOR THIS WATER RIGHT IS NOT A
DETERMINATION OF HISTORICAL BENEFICIAL USE.
PARCEL NO. RP07S14E290600

EXPLANATORY MATERIAL: BASIS OF CLAIM - BENEFICIAL USE
PARCEL NO. RP07S14E290600
STOCKWATER 200 BEEF

RECOMMENDATION

OCT 22 1997


SPECIAL MASTER

SUBCASE NO. 36-10706

	CLAIM (8/29/88)	AMENDED CLAIM	RECOMMENDATION	OBJECTION
NAME	Loren or Gloria Vader	N/A	Loren & Gloria Vader	
BASIS OF CLAIM	Beneficial Use		Beneficial Use	
SOURCE	Groundwater		Groundwater	
QUANTITY	0.050 cfs	.	.05 cfs	XX maximum quantity .13 cfs for 2 houses & stockwater
PRIORITY DATE	6/15/1910		6/15/1910	
PURPOSE OF USE	Domestic/Stockwater		Domestic/Stockwater	
PERIOD OF USE	Dom.: 1/1 - 12/31 S.W.: 1/1 - 12/31		Dom.: 1/1 - 12/31 S.W.: 1/1 - 12/31	
POINT OF DIVERSION	T07S R14E S29		T07S R14E S29	
PLACE OF USE	T07S R14E S29		T07S R14E S29	
REMARKS			+ see below	

+ Parcel No. RP07S14E290600

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

In Re the General Adjudication)
of the Rights to the Use of Water)
from the Snake River Basin)
Water System)
_____)

Case No. 36-10706 -0001

The State of Idaho, ex rel.)
R. Keith Higginson in his)
official capacity as Director)
of the Idaho Department of)
Water Resources,)

RESPONSE TO OBJECTION

Petitioner,)

vs.)

The United States; the State)
of Idaho; and all Claimants to)
the use of water from the Snake)
River Basin Water System,)

Respondents.)
_____)

I. GENERAL INFORMATION

1. Person responding to objection:

Name: R. KEITH HIGGINSON, DIRECTOR

Address: IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
P.O. BOX 44449
BOISE, ID 83711-4449

Briefly describe your interest in this water right:

IDWR is required to file a response to an objection to part
I of the director's report pursuant to I.C. section 42-1412(2).

(Over)

MICROFILMED
OCT 20 1994

2. Person who filed objection:

Name: LOREN & GLORIA VADER
Address: 2816 RITCHIE ROAD
HAGERMAN ID 83332

3. If this response is filed by an attorney:

Attorney Name(s): NICHOLAS B. SPENCER

Attorney Address: DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL(S)
IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
P.O. BOX 44449
BOISE, ID 83711-4449

Attorney Phone No.: (208) 327-7900

II. STATEMENT OF THE RESPONSE

3. ☒ Quantity: I respond to the objection concerning:
- 3a. ☒ The total quantity of water used in cubic feet per second.
10. ☒ Consumptive Use: I respond to the objection concerning the annual volume of consumptive use.
14. ☒ Other: I respond to the objection concerning:

ALL MATTERS RAISED IN THE CLAIMANTS' ANSWER,
AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES, AND PRAYER FOR RELIEF.

REASONS SUPPORTING EACH BOX CHECKED

In response to Statement of the Objection items 3 and 3.a, and all allegations of the objection regarding those items, IDWR responds as follows: (1) it recommended the amount of water it determined was being beneficially used; (2) the claimant is not entitled to any amount greater than that which is beneficially used (Idaho Code Secs. 42-104 and 42-1402); (3) the recommendation of the director to the SRBA Court as to how the right should be decreed does not constitute a taking because it

is simply a recommendation and not a final determination of the right; and (4) the eventual decree by the SRBA Court of the amount of water beneficially used will not constitute a taking because the right is limited to that amount beneficially used.

In further response to this objection item, IDWR responds that it recommended this element of the water right as claimed. By now seeking to include an increased diversion rate for this element the claimant improperly seeks to use the objection process to amend the claim. A claimant must obtain leave of the court to amend a claim after a director's report is filed, just as a party must obtain leave of the court to file a late claim after the director's report is filed. Once leave of the court is granted, IDWR can investigate the amended claim and make an appropriate recommendation to the court.

In response to Statement of the Objection item 10 and all allegations of the objection regarding this item, IDWR responds as follows: (1) Idaho Code Sec. 42-1411(2)(i) requires the director to determine the annual volume of consumptive use for each water right acquired under state law and recommended in the director's report. (2) General provision 9 of the director's report states: "Where consumptive use is not stated for a right or a portion of a right, the consumptive use for that right or that portion of the right is so small that it is deemed de minimus." (3) IDWR did not include a consumptive use volume in its recommendation for this right because the consumptive use for this water right is deemed to be de minimus. (4) No annual consumptive use volume was claimed for this right. (5) As noted in response to items 3 and 3.a. above, neither IDWR's recommendation nor the courts determination of this right constitutes a taking.

In response to Statement of the Objection item 14, and all allegations of the objection regarding that item, see attached "IDWR's Response to Answer Affirmative Defenses and Prayer for Relief of Claimant".

In response to the sentence listed in Changes to the Director's Report, whereby the claimant attempts to "reserve the right to claim all purposes of use and quantities of use as shown by the evidence at trial," IDWR responds as follows: Insofar as this purported reservation of rights may constitute an attempt to reserve the right to amend at trial the claimant's notice of claim, the claimant improperly seeks to use the objection process to amend the claim. A claimant must obtain leave of the court to amend a claim just as a party must obtain leave of the court to file a late claim after the director's report is filed.

(Over)

MICROFILMED
OCT 20 1994

CHANGES TO THE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

The director proposes no changes to the description of this claim in the Director's Report.

☒ Check here if you are submitting documents. Please list each document by the title and number of pages. You may use additional pages if necessary:

	No. of Pages
Notice of Claim No. A36-10706	3
IDWR's Response to Answer, Affirmative Defenses, and Prayer for Relief of Claimant	4


VERIFICATION (Must be Completed)

State of IDAHO


County of ADA

David B. Shaw, being first duly sworn, upon oath, deposes and says:

That R. Keith Higginson, in his official capacity as director of the Idaho Department of Water Resources, is a party to this proceeding, as defined by I.C. 42-1401A(1) and (7); that I am the Adjudication Bureau Chief for IDWR and am authorized by R. Keith Higginson, in his official capacity as director of IDWR, to verify this response; and that I have read the foregoing response, know its contents and that the statements contained therein are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.



Signature of person filing response

By:


(Attorney signing in
representative capacity)

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 9th day of September,
1993.




Notary Public for Idaho

Residing at: Perse Idaho

My Commission expires: 6/25/1999

(Over)

NOTED
11/14/1993

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that on the 10th day of SEPTEMBER, 1993, I mailed the original and copies of the foregoing response, including all attachments, to the following persons, by mailing the original and/or true and correct copies, postage prepared and addressed as follows:

1. Original to:

Clerk of the District Court
Snake River Basin Adjudication
253 Third Avenue North
P.O. Box 2707
Twin Falls, Idaho 83303-2707

2. One copy to the owner of the water right, and you must fill in the following information:

See No. 3

3. One copy to the party who filed the objection, or if represented by an attorney, to their attorney; and you must fill in the following information:

Name: HEPWORTH NUNGESTER & LEZAMIZ
Address: PATRICK D BROWN
& PENELOPE PARKER
PO BOX 389
TWIN FALLS ID 83303

4. One copy to:

United States Department of Justice
Environment and Natural Resource Division
550 West Fort Street, Box 33
Boise, Idaho 83734



Signature of Respondent or attorney
mailing on Respondent's behalf

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM.

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39576

Ident. Number: A36-10706
Date Received: 8/29/1988
Receipt No: S001826
Received By: SC

NOTICE OF CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

1. Name: LOREN VADER 208-837-4489
Address: 2816 RITCHIE RD.
HAGERMAN, ID 83332

OR

Name: GLORIA VADER 208-837-4489
Address: 2816 RITCHIE RD.
HAGERMAN, ID 83332

2. Date of Priority: JUN 15, 1910

3. Source: GROUNDWATER Trib. to:

4. Point of Diversion:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4 of	1/4 of	Lot	County
07S	14E	29	SW	NW	NW		GOODING

5. Description of diverting works:
WELL AND PUMP

6. Water is used for the following purposes:

Purpose	From	To	C.F.S.	(or)	A.F.A.
DOMESTIC	01/01	12/31	0.040		
STOCKWATER	01/01	12/31	0.050		

7. Total Quantity Appropriated is:
0.050 C.F.S. (and/or) A.F.A.

8. Total consumptive use is Acre Feet Per Annum.

9. Non-irrigation uses:
D/ 1 HOUSE S/ 200 BEEF

A36-10706

Page 1

Date: 08/29/88

MICROFILMED
FEB 12 1989
MICROFILMED
OCT 20 1994

10. Place of Use:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	Use	Acres
07S	14E	29	NW	NW		DOMEST	
			NW	NW		STOCK	

11. Place of use in counties: GOODING

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? YES

13. Other Water Rights Used:
NONE

14. Remarks:

15. Basis of Claim: BENEFICIAL USE

16. Signature(s)

(a.) By signing below, I/We acknowledge that I/We have received, read and understand the form entitled "How you will receive notice in the Snake River Basin Adjudication." (b.) I/We do _____ do not ☒ wish to receive and pay a small annual fee for monthly copies of the docket sheet.

Number of attachments: 1

For Individuals:

I/We do solemnly swear or affirm that the statements contained in the foregoing document are true and correct.

Signature of Claimant(s): Loren F. Vader Date: 8-29-88

Date: _____

State of Idaho)
County of Twin Falls) SS.

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) before me this 29th day
of August 19 88

Seal

Roxanne Storey
Notary Public

Residing at Buhl, Id


My Commission Expires 6-30-94

17	16
20	21

POOR ORIGINAL

75.
14E.

A36-10706



IDWR'S RESPONSE TO ANSWER, AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES AND PRAYER FOR
RELIEF OF CLAIMANT

This portion of the response addresses the multi-page attachment to the objection (hereinafter "the attachment"), wherein the claimant admits or denies all sections of Parts I, II, and III of the director's report, asserts 27 affirmative defenses, and alleges a prayer for relief. The attachment contains the following sections, corresponding to the various sections of the director's report:

- (1) I. GENERAL RESPONSE
- (2) II. DESCRIPTIVE SUMMARY, (first unnumbered page of Part I of the director's report)
- (3) III. TABLE OF CONTENTS (second and third unnumbered pages of Part I of the director's report)
- (4) IV. 1. INSTRUCTIONS FOR USING THIS REPORT PART I (page 1 of Part I of the director's report)
- (5) IV. 2. SCOPE OF THE ADJUDICATION (pages 1-3 of Part I of the director's report)
- (6) IV. 3. PURPOSE OF THIS REPORT PART I (pages 3-4 of Part I of the director's report)
- (7) V. 1. BOUNDARIES OF BASIN (pages 4-6 of Part I of the director's report)
- (8) V. 2. WATER SOURCES (page 6 of Part I of the director's report)
- (9) V. 3. DEVELOPMENT OF WATER USE (pages 6-9 of Part I of the director's report)
- (10) VI. GENERAL PROVISIONS 1-8 APPLY TO ALL WATER RIGHTS IN BASIN 36 (page 9 of Part I of the director's report)
- (11) VI. General Provision 1. EFFECT OF ADJUDICATION (page 9 of Part I of the director's report)
- (12) VI. General Provision 2. GENERAL ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS (pages 9-10 of Part I of the director's report)
- (13) VI. General Provision 3. CONJUNCTIVE ADMINISTRATION (page 10 of Part I of the director's report)
- (13) VI. General Provision 4. ADMINISTRATION OF GROUND WATER RIGHTS (page 10 of Part I of the director's report)
- (14) VI. General Provision 5. ADMINISTRATION OF BASIN 36 RELATIVE TO THE SNAKE RIVER (page 10 of Part I of the director's report)
- (15) VI. General Provision 6. ADMINISTRATION OF SMALL DOMESTIC AND STOCK WATER RIGHTS (page 11 of Part I of the director's report)
- (16) VI. General Provision 7. MEASURING DEVICES AND CONTROL

MICROFILMED

OCT 20 1994

- WORKS (page 11 of Part I of the director's report)
- (17) VI. General Provision 8. FIRE FIGHTING PURPOSES (page 11 of Part I of the director's report)
 - (18) VI. General Provision 9. CONSUMPTIVE USE (page 12 of Part I of the director's report)
 - (19) VI. GENERAL PROVISION 10 APPLIES TO ALL WATER RIGHTS IN BASIN 36 SHOWN WITH DOMESTIC USE AS A PURPOSE OF USE (page 12 of Part I of the director's report)
 - (20) VI. General Provision 10. DOMESTIC USE (page 12 of Part I of the director's report)
 - (21) VI. GENERAL PROVISION 11 APPLIES TO ALL WATER RIGHTS IN BASIN 36 SHOWN WITH STOCK WATER USE AS A PURPOSE OF USE (page 13 of Part I of the director's report)
 - (22) VI. General Provision 11. STOCK WATER USE (page 13 of Part I of the director's report)
 - (23) VI. GENERAL PROVISIONS 12-16 APPLY TO ALL WATER RIGHTS IN BASIN 36 SHOWN WITH IRRIGATION AS A PURPOSE OF USE (page 13 of Part I of the director's report)
 - (24) VI. General Provision 12. IRRIGATION USE (pages 13-14 of Part I of the director's report)
 - (25) VI. General Provision 13. DIVERSION VOLUME FOR IRRIGATION (page 14 of Part I of the director's report)
 - (26) VI. General Provision 14. CONSUMPTIVE USE FOR IRRIGATION (pages 14-15 of Part I of the director's report)
 - (27) VI. General Provision 15. AMOUNT OF COMBINED WATER RIGHTS FOR IRRIGATION (page 15 of Part I of the director's report)
 - (28) VI. General Provision 16. DIVERSION RATES IN EXCESS OF .02 CFS PER ACRE (pages 15-16 of Part I of the director's report)
 - (30) VI. 17. INSTRUCTIONS FOR USE OF LIST OF RECOMMENDED WATER RIGHTS (pages A-i through A-iii of Part I of the director's report)
 - (31) VI. 18. IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES RECOMMENDED WATER RIGHTS ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW (pages A-1 through B-25 of Part I of the director's report)
 - (32) DIRECTOR'S REPORT FOR REPORTING AREA 3 (BASIN 36), (DIRECTOR'S REPORT PART II)
 - (33) DIRECTOR'S REPORT FOR REPORTING AREA 3 (BASIN 36), (DIRECTOR'S REPORT PART III)

The attachment also contains part VIII, AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES (pp. 20 through 28) and a PRAYER FOR RELIEF (untitled, p. 28).

In response to each of the items enumerated above IDWR responds that pursuant to Section 4 a.(2)(c) of SRBA Administrative Order 1, Rules of Procedure, IDWR generally denies each and every allegation, if any, contained in each enumerated portion of the attachment. IDWR affirmatively responds that neither SRBA Administrative Order 1, Rules of Procedure, nor Title 42, Chapter 14, Idaho Code, make provision for IDWR to affirm, amplify, or otherwise respond to the claimant's admissions, or to deny the claimant's denials, and that IDWR therefore does not believe that it is required to do so. Title 42, Chapter 14, Idaho Code solely defines the content and scope of the director's report. It is to contain a description of the water system and is to provide a recommendation as to each water right claimed and as to those matters necessary for administration of those water rights. Therefore, the content and scope of the objection is limited to those same matters. The attachment to the present objection asserts or otherwise raises matters not directly addressing the elements of the water right as recommended, the description of the water system, or the general provisions. Rather, the attachment raises broad issues as to the constitutional, statutory and administrative efficacy of the entire adjudication process. All such issues should be raised by means of motions to designate basinwide issues and similar procedural vehicles specifically designed to provide for basinwide notice and participation. They should not be permitted to divert the focus of any individual subcase away from the issues directly connected to the elements of the water right and the administration thereof. Therefore, because the attachment to the present objection is not within the scope of the statutory purpose of an objection it should be stricken.

However, in the event that the court should determine that all or part of the attachment is properly within the scope of the objection, IDWR further responds as follows:

IDWR affirmatively responds that all statements within the director's report are properly included therein pursuant to Title 42, Chapter 14, Idaho Code, and in particular pursuant to Idaho Code §§ 42-1411 and 42-1412(8); and that the provisions of the Idaho Rules of Civil Procedure regarding pleadings are inapplicable to the extent that they are in conflict with the statutory requirements. Therefore IDWR denies all allegations directed at the contents of the director's report.

As to the enumerated portions of the attachment addressing the listings of recommended water rights, abstracts of federal reserved water right claims, or negotiated agreements, other than the individual recommendation that is the subject of this objection, IDWR responds that such claims or agreements are not brought before this court by this objection.

Responding to the 27 affirmative defenses asserted in the attachment, IDWR generally denies each and every affirmative defense asserted. IDWR further responds that affirmative defense numbers 1, 2, 4, 5, 10, 11, 12, 18, and 22, asserting various violations of civil or constitutional rights, fail to comply with the requirements I.R.C.P. 9(b) providing that in all averments of violation of civil or constitutional rights the factual circumstances constituting such violation must be stated with particularity. Accordingly, IDWR asserts that those affirmative defenses should be stricken.

In response to that portion of the multi-page attachment to the objection wherein the claimant asserts a prayer for relief, IDWR responds as follows:

1. IDWR denies that the director's report asserts any claims against the claimant; rather, the director's report contains only the recommendation of the director as to how the claimant's water right should be decreed and administered.
2. IDWR denies that the claimant is entitled to have the water right decreed as claimed unless in the director's report the water right has been recommended as claimed.
3. IDWR denies that any acts upon its part constitute the taking of private property for which just compensation should be allowed and awarded.
4. IDWR denies that the claimant is entitled to recover court costs and reasonable attorney fees.
5. IDWR denies that the claimant by this objection has raised any claims against IDWR entitling such claimant to any relief other than the eventual judicial determination and decree of the various elements of the claimant's water right, if any, and of those related matters necessary to describe and administer that water right.

For Monies Paid
to the
IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

- 02580
- 10706

Receipt No: S015425

RECEIVED

FEB 26 1993

Department of Water Resources
Southern Region Office

Date: 2/26/1993
Time: 10:46:30

Received

From: VADER LOREN

Type of Payment	Program Acct Class	Amount
FEE PRO COPY OF ADJUDICATION CLAIM 64100	229 21 1760	4.67

Total

CHECK 2777

\$4.67

Region: SOUTHERN

Signature:

R. Foster

MICROFILMED

OCT 20 1994



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Southern Region, 222 Shoshone St. East, Twin Falls, Idaho 83301 (208) 736-3033

CECIL D. ANDRUS
Governor

R. KEITH HIGGINSON
Director

14-Jan-1993

LOREN F VADER
2816 S RITCHIE ROAD
HAGERMAN ID 83332

RE: Copy of SRBA File(s): 36-02588, 36-10706

Dear Mr. Vader:

Please find enclosed the copies of documents you recently requested contained in the above referenced SRBA file(s) as of this date. Please note that copies of orthophoto quad maps or NAPP photography or aerial slides which may have been used in the review of your claim(s) have not been included since they are not easily reproduced. These items are however available for your review at our office. Please also note that in some situations some water rights may have other associated files which contain privileged information between IDWR staff and our legal council which has not been copied.

Please remit the following for the cost of the copies and postage:

\$4.67

Sincerely,


Jeanie Robertson
Records Clerk

Encl:

Vader
 $\frac{11}{37}$
 $\frac{37}{48} \times 5 = 2.40$
post. 2.13
env. .14
4.67

MICROFILMED

OCT 20 1994

RECEIVED

JAN 14 1993

Department of Water Resources
Southern Region Office

1-12-93
(Date)

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
222 SHOSHONE ST. EAST
TWIN FALLS, IDAHO 83301

Dear Idaho Department of Water Resources:

Please provide me with a copy of all information included in
my file as it relates to water right number 36-10706 & 36-02588

Please advise me of any documents in my file which you are not
releasing to me and why they are not being released.

Please bill me for the copy charge.

Sincerely,

Loren F. Vader
(Name)

2816 S. Ritchie Road
(Address)

Hagerman, Id. 83332
(City, State, Zip Code)

cc: David B. Shaw, Adjudication Bureau Chief
Idaho Department of Water Resources
1301 North Orchard Street
Statenhouse Mail
Boise, Idaho 83720-9000

MICROFILMED
OCT 20 1994

RECEIVED

APR 30 1993

Department of Water Resources
Adjudication Bureau

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

In Re the General Adjudication
of Rights to the Use of Water
from the Snake River Basin
Water System

Case No. A36-10706
(You must insert the
water right number you
are objecting to)

The State of Idaho, ex rel.
R. Keith Higginson in his
official capacity as Director
of the Idaho Department of
Water Resources,

OBJECTION

Petitioner,

36-10706 -0001/
93-04-0399

vs.

The United States; the State
of Idaho; and all Claimants to
the use of water from the Snake
River Basin Water System,

Respondents.

INSTRUCTIONS

This form must be used to file an objection to any water right recommended in the Director's Report. You may object to one water right per objection form. The water right number you are objecting to must be indicated above in the blank space following "Case No." To object to more than one water right, you must file a separate objection form for each. Forms may be obtained from the Idaho Department of Water Resources (IDWR), or you may copy or reproduce this blank form, as long as it is identical to the court's form. You must file your objection by the deadline shown in the notice that IDWR mailed to you. The objection must be received by the court on or before the deadline specified. Instructions for mailing this objection are found at Page 6, and must be strictly followed.

Any party physically filing 25 (twenty-five) or more objections must make an appointment with the Clerk of the Adjudication Court and arrange for an appointment to file multiple objections.

(Over)

MICROFILMED
OCT 20 1994

By filing an objection form, you are certifying that the objection is well-grounded in fact; is warranted by existing law or a good-faith argument for the extension, modification or reversal of existing law; and that it is not filed for any improper purpose, such as to harass, cause unnecessary delay or needless increase in the cost of litigation.

I. GENERAL INFORMATION

Please fill in the following information:

1. Person objecting:

Name: Loren & Gloria Vader

Address: 2816 Ritchie Road

Hagerman, ID 83332

Briefly describe your interest in this water right:

Claimants have a right based upon beneficial

use to .09 cfs with a priority date of 6/15/1910

for a period of 12 months from 1/1 to 12/31. Of

the .09 cfs, 0.04 cfs for domestic purposes and

0.05 cfs for stock water purposes

2. Owner of the water right:

Name: Loren & Gloria Vader

Address: 2816 Ritchie Road

Hagerman, ID 83332

3. If this objection is filed by an attorney:

Attorney Name: Patrick D. Brown & Penelope Parker

Attorney Address: Hepworth, Nungester & Lezamiz

P.O. Box 389, Twin Falls, ID 83303

Attorney Phone No.: (208) 734-7510

If filed by an attorney, notice of pending court proceedings will be sent to the attorney only.

II. STATEMENT OF THE OBJECTION

Please check each "box" which states your objection to the recommendation in the Director's Report.

1. ☐ Name and Address: I object to the name and/or address of the owner of the water right.
2. ☐ Source: I object to the source of water.
3. ☒ Quantity: I object to:
 - a. ☒ the total quantity of water used in cubic feet per second; or
 - b. ☐ the total quantity of water stored in acre-feet per year; or
 - c. ☐ the total quantity of water reserved for each and every purpose, including all present and future uses.
4. ☐ Priority Date: I object to the priority date.
5. ☐ Points of Diversion: I object to the legal description of the point(s) of diversion.
6. ☐ Instream Flow: I object to the legal description of the beginning and ending points of the instream flow.
7. ☐ Purpose(s) of Use: I object to the purpose(s) of use.
8. ☐ Period of Year: I object to the period of year when water is used or is necessary for the purpose stated.
9. ☐ Place of Use: I object to:
 - a. ☐ the legal description of the place of use; or
 - b. ☐ the number of irrigated acres within each forty (40) acre subdivision.
10. ☒ Consumptive Use: I object to the annual volume of consumptive use.
11. ☐ Remarks: I object to the "remarks" listed with the water right.

(Over)

12. ☐ Water Right Recommendation: I object to:
- a. ☐ this water right being recommended at all; or
- b. ☐ this water right not being recommended.
13. ☐ Description of Reservation: I object to the legal description of the reservation.
14. ☒ Other: I object to: See attached
- _____
- _____
- _____
- _____

REASONS SUPPORTING EACH BOX CHECKED

In the space following, you must state the reason(s) by number for each box checked. You may use additional pages if necessary.

Box 3, 3a & 10 - IDWR recommends an amount less than decreed
and/or beneficially used. A reduction in water right would
constitute a taking.

CHANGES TO THE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Specifically describe how you want the Director's Report to be changed. You may use additional pages if necessary.

Maximum quantity- .13 cfs for 2 houses and stockwater;
consumptive use to change accordingly;

Please note: You should attach copies of documents which support your objection. Note on each document the "box number" it supports.

☐ Check here if you are submitting documents. Please list each document by the title and number of pages. You may use additional pages if necessary.

See Hagerman Water Right Owner's, Inc.'s Compendium "A"

VERIFICATION (Must be Completed)

State of Idaho

County of Gooding

LOREN F. VADER, being first duly
(Name of person filing objection)
sworn, upon oath, deposes and says:

That I am the person or entity filing this objection, that I am a water claimant or party in this proceeding, as defined by I.C. Sec 42-1401A(1) and (7), and that I have read the foregoing objection, know its contents and that the statements contained therein are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Loren F. Vader
Signature of person filing objection

By: [Signature]
(Attorney signing in
representative capacity)

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 19th day of April,
1993.

[Signature]
Notary Public for Idaho
Residing at: HAGERMAN IDAHO
My Commission Expires: 5-10-97

MICROFILMED
OCT 20 1994

(Over)

INSTRUCTIONS FOR MAILING

You must mail the objection, including all attachments, to the Clerk of the Court. **FAX filings will not be accepted by the Clerk of the Court.** You must also send a copy to the owner of the water right, if other than yourself; to the Office of the Attorney General, State of Idaho; and to the United States Department of Justice, as set out below in the Certificate of Mailing. Finally, you must sign the Certificate of Mailing to show that you followed these steps.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that on the 28 day of April, 1993, I mailed the original and copies of the foregoing objection, including all attachments, to the following persons, by mailing the original and/or true and correct copies, postage prepaid and addressed as follows:

1. Original to:

Clerk of the District Court
Snake River Basin Adjudication
253 Third Avenue North
P.O. Box 2707
Twin Falls, Idaho 83303-2707

2. One copy to the owner of the water right, and you must fill in the following information:

Owner name: Loren & Gloria Vader
Owner's address: 2816 Ritchie Road
Hagerman, ID 83332

3. One copy to:

Chief, Natural Resources Division
Office of the Attorney General
State of Idaho
P.O. Box 44449
Boise, Idaho 83711-4449

4. One copy to:

United States Department of Justice
Environment and Natural Resource Division
550 West Fort Street, Box 33
Boise, ID 83724



Signature of Objector or attorney
mailing on Objector's behalf

CHANGES TO DIRECTOR'S REPORT, page 4

Objectors reserve the right to claim all purposes of use and quantities of use as shown by the evidence at trial.

MICROFILMED
OCT 20 1994

Question 14., page 4, Other

I.

GENERAL RESPONSE

Except as specifically admitted herein, objectors deny each and every allegation contained in the Director's Report, any related or subsidiary pleadings, and/or any attachments thereto.

II.

DESCRIPTIVE SUMMARY

(DIRECTOR'S REPORT PART I)

(hereinafter DRP-I)

(DRP-I at first unnumbered page)

The objectors admit that Part I of the Director's recommendation contains some claims to water rights appropriated under state law from Reporting Area 3. The objectors deny all other averments because the objectors lack sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the averments.

III.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(DRP-I at 2nd and 3rd unnumbered pages)

The objectors admit that the Table of Contents reflects the page numbers of the referenced sections and deny all other averments based upon the objectors' lack of sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the averments and because any averment, expressed or implied, is so

indefinite that it prevents the objectors from knowing what would be admitted or denied.

IV.

INTRODUCTION

1. INSTRUCTIONS FOR USING THIS REPORT PART I. (DRP-I at page 1)

The objectors admit that Part I consists of seven sections; that the description of the water system includes a brief description of Reporting Area 3 and an illustration of the boundaries of the reporting area; that the water rights and the list of the recommended rights are grouped alphabetically by source and listed chronologically by priority date; that the rights or claims in the list of claims/rights not recommended are listed numerically by water right number; and that brief instructions are found at the beginning of the applicable sections. The objectors deny that any description or any implication that the description of the water system or the illustrations are true and accurate due to lack of knowledge or information regarding the truth of the averment; deny that the general provisions apply to all water rights or define or limit classes of water rights; and deny that the general provisions "will affect your water right," based upon insufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the averments.

2. SCOPE OF THE ADJUDICATION. (DRP-I at page 1 - 3)

The objectors deny all averments in paragraph a., due to lack of sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the averments.

Regarding paragraph b., the objectors lack sufficient information or knowledge upon which to form a belief as to whether water rights which are not claimed in the adjudication will or will not exist upon entry of the court's decree and, therefore, deny such averment. The objectors admit that the court entered an order dated January 17, 1989, but presently lack sufficient knowledge to know whether any of the other averments concerning the court's order are true or accurate and, therefore, deny the same. The objectors deny that a right must be adjudicated before water may be distributed by the director. The objectors deny all other averments in paragraph b.

3. PURPOSE OF THIS REPORT PART I. (DRP-I at page 3 - 4)

The objectors admit that portion of the first sentence which states "This report contains the Director's recommendations to the SRBA District Court as to the nature and extent of water rights," but deny that such recommendations "are necessary for administration of water rights in Reporting Area 3," and further deny the Director has authority to "administer" such rights.

Regarding the second paragraph, the objectors admit that all water rights remain subject to the applicable law generally, but deny the remainder of the second paragraph.

Regarding the third paragraph of this section, the objectors deny all averments therein based upon lack of knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truthfulness of the averments.

V.

DESCRIPTION OF THE WATER SYSTEM: BASIN 36

1. **BOUNDARIES OF BASIN.** (DRP-I at pages 4 - 6)

Regarding the first paragraph of this section, the objectors deny all averments due to a lack of knowledge or information upon which to admit or deny.

Regarding the second, third and fourth paragraphs of this section, the objectors admit that as broad statements, those paragraphs are generally accurate but the statements are so broad and attenuated from any matter relevant to the objectors' water right that the objectors are unable to know what would be implicitly admitted or denied and therefore, deny the same.

With respect to the fifth paragraph of this section, the objectors lack sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of any matter averred and, therefore, deny the averments.

2. **WATER SOURCES.** (DRP-I at page 6)

The objectors admit that the Snake River Plain Aquifer is the source of outflows of springs located along the Snake River Canyon Wall in the Hagerman Valley. The objectors presently lack sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form an opinion as to the truth of the other averments set out in this section and, therefore, deny the same.

3. **DEVELOPMENT OF WATER USE.** (DRP-I at pages 6 - 9)

The objectors admit that numerous factual and historical averments are set out in this section, which may be accurate, but

these objectors have no basis for knowing whether some or all of the averments are intended to relate to the objectors' claims and, thus, are prevented from knowing what would be admitted or denied. Therefore, the averments are denied.

VI.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

GENERAL PROVISIONS 1 - 8 APPLY TO ALL WATER RIGHTS IN BASIN 36.
(DRP-I at page 9)

The objectors deny that "General Provisions 1 - 8" apply to all water rights in Basin 36 as the statements are so broad and attenuated from any matter relevant to the objectors' water rights, that the objectors are unable to know what would be explicitly admitted or denied. The objectors also specifically deny that general provisions 1-8 apply to the objectors' right.

1. EFFECT OF ADJUDICATION. (DRP-I at page 9)

With respect to paragraph a., the objectors presently have no knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of whether a future decree would supersede prior decrees, or whether unclaimed rights would cease to exist and, therefore, deny the same.

Regarding paragraph b., the objectors deny that the Director has any powers to administer water rights other than those specifically delegated by the Legislature of the State of Idaho, and which do not violate the objectors' state and federal constitutional rights, and therefore the averments are denied.

2. GENERAL ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS. (DRP-I at pages 9 - 10)

The objectors admit paragraphs a. and b.

3. CONJUNCTIVE ADMINISTRATION (DRP-I at page 10)

The objectors deny the conjunctive administration provisions based upon the objectors' lack of sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the averments and because any averment expressed or implied is so indefinite that it prevents the objectors from fairly understanding what would be admitted or denied. Additionally, the objectors deny that the Department of Water Resources has any statutory or regulatory authority for the "conjunctive administration" as therein described.

4. ADMINISTRATION OF GROUND WATER RIGHTS (DRP-I at page 10)

The objectors deny the averments within this section.

5. ADMINISTRATION OF BASIN 36 RELATIVE TO THE SNAKE RIVER (DRP-I at page 10)

The objectors admit that the springs are hydrologically interconnected with the Snake River Aquifer, but deny all other averments based upon the objectors' lack of sufficient information or knowledge upon which to form a belief as to the averments herein. The objectors additionally deny any said averments expressed or implied because the averments are so indefinite and generalized as to prevent the objectors from fairly understanding what would be admitted or denied.

6. ADMINISTRATION OF SMALL DOMESTIC AND STOCK WATER RIGHTS (DRP-I at page 11)

The objectors admit that part of the first sentence of subparagraph a. to the effect that "small domestic and stock water rights from surface water are not subject to administration," but deny the balance of said sentence and said paragraph.

The objectors admit the first sentence of paragraph b., and deny the balance of that paragraph because the criteria set forth therein ("if the director determines that administration is necessary") is so ambiguous, indefinite and uncertain that it prevents the objectors from knowing what would be admitted or denied, and by further reason of the fact that no statutory or regulatory authority exists conferring such sweeping and unlimited authority upon the Director or the Department.

The objectors deny the averments in paragraph c. as the averments are inaccurate and because the objectors lack sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the matters averred.

7. MEASURING DEVICES AND CONTROL WORKS (DRP-I at page 11)

The objectors deny all averments contained herein as such averments are based upon an indeterminate subjective standards which are so ambiguous and indefinite as to prevent the objectors from fairly knowing what would be admitted or denied. Additionally, no statutory or regulatory authority exists conferring such sweeping and unlimited authority upon the Director or the Department as is alleged in this paragraph.

8. FIRE FIGHTING PURPOSES (DRP-I at page 11)

The objectors admit that subparagraph a. and subparagraph b. are generally accurate statements of present law, but deny the averments are in any way relevant to defining the objectors' right.

9. CONSUMPTIVE USE (DRP-I at page 12)

The objectors lack sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of any matter averred and, therefore, deny the same.

GENERAL PROVISION 10 APPLIES TO ALL WATER RIGHTS IN BASIN 36 SHOWN WITH DOMESTIC USE AS A PURPOSE OF USE (DRP-I at page 12)

The objectors deny that "General Provision 10" applies to all water rights in Basin 36 shown with domestic use as a purpose of use as the statements are so broad and attenuated from any matter relevant to the objectors' water rights, that the objectors are unable to fairly understand what would be explicitly admitted or denied and, therefore, deny the same.

10. DOMESTIC USE (DRP-I at page 12)

The objectors deny that the definition of "domestic use" contained in paragraphs a., b., and c. is consistent or in conformity with the definition of such term for purposes of the Idaho Constitution, or statutory definition contained in I.C. Section 42-111 as now, or as heretofore in effect at relevant times. The objectors further deny that the limitations set forth therein exist, are in force, or apply or serve in any way to limit objectors' rights.

GENERAL PROVISION 11 APPLIES TO ALL WATER RIGHTS IN BASIN 36 SHOWN WITH STOCK WATER USE AS A PURPOSE OF USE. (DRP-I at page 13)

The objectors deny that "General Provision 11" applies to all water rights in Basin 36 shown with stock water use as a purpose of use as the statements are so broad and attenuated from any matter relevant to the objectors' water right, that the objectors are unable to fairly understand what would be admitted or denied and, therefore, deny the same.

11. STOCK WATER USE (DRP-I at page 13)

The objectors deny the averments herein as beyond the scope and applicability of the definition as provided by Idaho law as now, or as heretofore in effect at relevant times. The objectors further deny that the limitations set forth therein limit the objectors' right or are relevant to the right.

GENERAL PROVISIONS 12 - 16 APPLY TO ALL WATER RIGHTS IN BASIN 36 SHOWN WITH IRRIGATION AS A PURPOSE OF USE (DRP-I at page 13)

The objectors deny that "General Provisions 12 - 16" apply to all water rights in Basin 36 shown with irrigation as a purpose of use as the statements are so broad and attenuated from any matter relevant to the objectors' water right that the objectors are unable to fairly understand what would be admitted or denied.

12. IRRIGATION USE. (DRP-I at pages 13 - 14)

The objectors deny all averments based upon the objectors' lack of sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the averments and because

prevent the objectors from fairly knowing what would be admitted or denied; because the standard utilized ("as determined by the director") is ambiguous, subjective and indefinite so as to prevent objectors from knowing what would be admitted or denied; and because it is both expressly and implicitly in excess of the power and authority conferred upon the State of Idaho, the Director or the Department by the Constitutions of the United States and State of Idaho, pertinent provisions of the Idaho Code or valid applicable regulations, if any, adopted by the Department in conformity with law. Therefore, the objectors deny the same.

13. DIVERSION VOLUME FOR IRRIGATION. (DRP-I at page 14)

The objectors admit that Figure 1 generally depicts certain lands in Basin 36; however, the objectors deny all other averments including that the amounts set forth are the maximum annual volume of water reasonably required at the field headgate for irrigation, either within Basin 36 in general, or upon objectors' real property in particular. The objectors additionally deny that the maximum annual volumes per acre set forth is that which is "reasonably required for irrigation unless a higher annual diversion volume is reasonably required due to conveyance losses, method of irrigation or field conditions;" because the standard utilized ("as determined by the director") is ambiguous, subjective and indefinite so as to prevent objectors from knowing what would be admitted or denied; and because it is both expressly and implicitly in excess of the power and authority conferred upon the State of Idaho, the Director or the Department

by the Constitutions of the United States and State of Idaho, pertinent provisions of the Idaho Code or valid applicable regulations, if any, adopted by the Department in conformity with law. Therefore, the objectors deny the same.

14. CONSUMPTIVE USE FOR IRRIGATION. (DRP-I at page 14 and 15)

The objectors admit that the recommended water rights contained within the Director's Report show only the irrigation use listed unless otherwise indicated in "Remarks." The objectors deny all other averments contained within this section, including the amount of maximum annual volume of consumptive use per acre in the northern and southern portions of the basin, all of which is beyond the scope of any power or authority vested in the Director or the Department, and contrary to fact; historical use; established, vested, decreed, licensed or permitted rights.

15. AMOUNT OF COMBINED WATER RIGHTS FOR IRRIGATION. (DRP-I at page 15)

The specific averments have no relationship to or basis in fact relating to the individual needs or rights of the objectors, and accordingly, objectors deny this section in its entirety. In addition, no statutory or regulatory authority exists conferring upon the Director, or the Department, the power to limit use and ownership of objectors' private property rights as alleged.

16. DIVERSION RATES IN EXCESS OF .02 CFS PER ACRE. (DRP-I at page 15 - 16)

The objectors deny the averments contained in this section in its entirety.

17. INSTRUCTIONS FOR USE OF LIST OF RECOMMENDED WATER RIGHTS. (DRP-I at page A-i, A-ii and A-iii)

The objectors admit that each water right in the list of recommended water rights includes a description including right number, name and address, source, maximum quantity, consumptive use, priority date, point of diversion, purpose of use, period of use, place of use, basis of right and others. The objectors lack sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of any matter averred in said descriptions other than that the descriptions allegedly include the Director's or Department's version of the facts as they relate to the matters identified. Objectors deny the accuracy of the substantive allegations or information set forth with regard to the objectors' right.

18. IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES RECOMMENDED WATER RIGHTS ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW. (DRP-I, pages A-1 - B-25)

The objectors lack sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of any matter averred in said recommended water rights other than those specific admissions contained in Questions 1 - 12 of the attached individual objection, and therefore deny the same.

DIRECTOR'S REPORT FOR REPORTING AREA 3 (BASIN 36)

(DIRECTOR'S REPORT PART II)

I.

Objectors do not understand the allegations, averments or contents of Part II of the Director's Report for Reporting Area 3 (Basin 36) to pertain or relate to objectors or their claims, and do not believe any response is required. To the extent a response is required, objectors deny the same in its entirety.

DIRECTOR'S REPORT FOR REPORTING AREA 3 (BASIN 36)

(DIRECTOR'S REPORT PART III)

I.

No report denominated as Part III of the Director's Report for Reporting Area 3 (Basin 36) has been filed, and accordingly objectors are unable to admit, deny or otherwise response to the same. Objectors do not understand that the contemplated subject matter of such Part III will relate or pertain to objectors or their claims, and no response is required in any case. To the extent any response is required, objectors deny the same in its entirety.

VIII.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

Pursuant to I.R.C.P. 8(c), objectors herewith state the following matters of affirmative defense or avoidance:

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 1

The objectors' water right is an incorporeal form of real property (as defined by Idaho Code Sections 7-703, 73-114, 55-101,

MICROFILMED

OCT 20 1994

and like statutes) protected by Section 14, Article 1, of the Idaho Constitution, and the Fifth Amendment to the United States Constitution, and allege that the actions of the Director in recommending, limiting and/or regulating said rights is an unconstitutional infringement upon and a taking of private property, without just compensation and violation thereof.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 2

The adjudication authorization legislation, I.C. Section 42-1401, et seq., on its face and as applied by the Director and the Department constitutes an improper delegation of constitutional power and authority conferred upon the executive, legislative and/or judicial branches of government and as such violate the provisions governing separation of powers set forth in Article 2, Section 1, Idaho Constitution.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 3

The procedure set forth in the adjudication authorization legislation, statutes, I.C. Section 42-1401, et seq., and any rules, regulations, procedures, policies or standards promulgated by the Director and/or the Department, if any, are vague and so lacking in reasonable specificity so as to render them impossible of reasonable comprehension, or consistent and meaningful application.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 4

The procedures, rules, regulations, policies or standards, if any, adopted, implemented and applied by the Department and/or the Director violate the equal protection and due

process clauses of the Fourteenth Amendment, United States Constitution, and Article 1, Sections 2 and 13, Idaho Constitution.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 5

The adjudication authorization legislation, Idaho Code Section 42-1401, et seq., and the actions of the Director and Department in recommending, limiting and/or regulating the objectors' water right constitutes a violation of 42 U.S.C. Section 1983.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 6

The water right owned by the objectors is the subject of valid existing decrees issued by the Director and Department, which decrees are binding upon the State of Idaho, the Department and the Director. As a result, the State of Idaho, the Department and the Director are precluded by the doctrines of res judicata, collateral estoppel, judicial estoppel and the like from relitigating or questioning the validity, existence or priority of such right, and/or from taking any position in the Director's Report, or otherwise, which is inconsistent with such decrees.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 7

The Director's recommendations are contrary to decreed rights, historical use and extent licenses and permits issued by the Department. There has been no forfeiture nor abandonment of the objectors' water rights, nor have the objectors' water rights been prescriptively or adversely possessed, nor have such decrees been vacated or such licenses and permits revoked.

MICROFILMED

OCT 20 1994

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 8

The Directors' Report fails to state a claim to the extent the same asserts a forfeiture, abandonment, prescriptive or adverse acquisition or loss, or loss resulting from estoppel, of the objectors' water right in the absence of any fact upon which forfeiture, abandonment, prescriptive or adverse acquisition for loss, or loss resulting from estoppel, may be based or asserted.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 9

The objectors' water right is an incorporeal form of real property, as defined by Idaho Code Sections 7-703, 73-114, 55-101, and like statutes, and, as such, pursuant to Idaho Code Section 7-904, et. seq., the actions of the Director in attempting to limit, reduce and/or regulate such rights, and the assertion of some inconsistent proprietary ownership or right to use or control by the State of Idaho, constitutes an unauthorized and invalid taking of private property without the payment of just compensation, or compliance with statutory requirements, all in violation of Title 7, Chapter 7, Idaho Code.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 10

The actions of the Director, the Department and their agents, servants and employees in recommending, limiting, reducing and/or regulating objector's water rights, either as alleged in the Director's Report or as in fact applied, violates objectors' rights as secured by Art. 1, Section 1; Art. 15, Sections 3, 4 and/or 5; and/or as otherwise provided in the Constitution of the State of Idaho.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 11

The various allegations, statements and assertions contained in the Director's Report concerning the Department and/or the Director's position or intentions with regard to the adjudication, as well as the implementation of statutes and/or regulations, as well as the administration of water or management of water rights or claims to the beneficial uses of water, if implemented, constitute a violation of various provisions the United States and Idaho constitutions, and/or a violation of the letter, spirit and/or intent of various statutes enacted by the legislature, and/or constitute an exercise of purported authority or powers either in excess of those conferred by law, or in a manner inconsistent with those conferred by law, or both.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 12

The individual recommendations relating to the specific water right of the objectors as set forth in the Director's Report are (1) not based upon substantial evidence and/or are not, in fact, the product of any reasonable, complete or accurate investigation as required by law; (2) are the product of arbitrary and capricious action on the part of the Department or the Director; (3) have been made in excess of the constitutional and statutory authority of the Department and the Director; (4) have been made upon an unlawful and invalid procedure; (5) are affected by various and sundry errors of law; and/or (6) are clearly erroneous in view of the reliable, probative and substantial evidence.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 13

The Director's Report is a pleading subject to the provisions of the Idaho Rules of Civil Procedure, including, but not limited to the requirement of I.R.C.P. 8(a)(1) that such pleadings contain a clear and plain statement of the facts, demonstrating an entitlement to relief. The Director's Report violates such provision.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 14

The Director's Report fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 15

The Director's Report is subject to, and must comply with, the requirements of Idaho Code Section 42-1411. The Director's Report does not comply with the requirements of the statute.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 16

In conducting the investigation and compiling the Director's Report, the Department and the Director have not complied with the requirements of Idaho Code Section 42-1410.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 17

In conducting the investigation and compiling the Director's Report, the Department and the Director have not complied with the requirements of Idaho Code Section 42-1416.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 18

The procedures specified in the authorizing legislation and procedural rules promulgated in accordance therewith serve to

deny objectors due process of law in violation of Amendment Fourteen to the Constitution of the United States and Art. 1, Section 13, Idaho Constitution.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 19

The "General Provisions" and "Other Statements" contained in the Director's Report, along with the Claims Investigation Manual, Adjudication Memoranda and other materials of a similar nature used or employed by the Department, the Director and/or their agents, servants and/or employees constitute rules and regulations which were required to be proposed, promulgated, adopted and approved in conformity with the Administrative Procedures Act and other applicable statutory provisions. The Department, Director and their agents, servants and employees have failed to comply with such requirements, and accordingly, the "General Provisions," "Other Statements", Claims Investigation Manual and the like are invalid, void and of no force or effect.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 20

Neither the "General Conditions," the Claims Adjudication Manual, Adjudication Memoranda or other promulgations, adoptions, policies, or the like have been submitted to or approved by the Legislature as required by Idaho Code Section 67-5217.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 21

The relief requested by the Department and the Director in the Director's Report are beyond and in excess of the subject matter jurisdiction of the Court.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 22

The actions of the Legislature, or the Department and the Director to enact, adopt, implement or enforce statutes, regulations, rules or policies subsequent to November 19, 1987, and to apply or enforce the same for the purpose of, or with the effect of recommending, diminishing or altering objectors' water rights, constitute a violation of Amendment Fourteen, United States Constitution; Art. 1, Section 13, Idaho Constitution; the SRBA authorization legislation; and Idaho Code Sections 73-101 and 73-106.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 23

These proceedings are subject to the requirements and provisions of Idaho Code Sections 12-117, 12-121, 12-123 and I.R.C.P. 11, and the provisions of the private attorney general doctrine, and other related doctrines, and the Department, Director and State of Idaho are obligated to objectors for the payment and reimbursement of any and all reasonable costs or attorney fees incurred herein.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 24

The Director's Report asserts what amounts to be a taking of private property by power of eminent domain as governed by Idaho Code Section 7-701 et seq., and as such, venue is therefore improper.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 25

The Department and the Director are equitably estopped from seeking any reduction in the quantity, quality or priority of

objectors' water rights by virtue of prior statements, representations and actions of the Department, Director or their agents, servants and employees.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 26

The Department and the Director are barred by laches and the applicable statutes of limitation, including, but not limited to, Idaho Code Sections 5-202, 5-203, 5-204, 5-206, 5-207, 5-208, 5-215, 5-218, 5-219(2), 5-224 and/or 5-241.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 27

Objectors hereby reserve the right to assert any further affirmative defenses not expressly stated herein, but which subsequently become apparent.

WHEREFORE, the objectors pray for judgment as follows:

1. That the State of Idaho take nothing by reason of its Directors' Report, and that this action, and any claims asserted against objectors, be dismissed.

2. That a decree be entered adjudicating the existence, validity, amount and priority of objectors' water rights in accordance with objectors' claims.

3. That to the extent the acts of the Department or Director constitute a taking of objectors' property for public use, that objectors be allowed and awarded just compensation therefor.

4. That the objectors be allowed all costs of suit and reasonable attorney fees incurred herein.

5. For such further relief to which the Court finds objectors justly entitled.

(WATER\21530ANS)

NOTICE OF ERROR FORM

AUG-24-1992

RECEIVED ✓
SEP 21 1992

Department of Water Resources

Please type or print clearly, fill out completely, and return to:

**Idaho Department of Water Resources
Statehouse Mail
Boise, Idaho 83720-9000**

The notice of error must be received at IDWR by the **deadline date** printed below with your proposed recommendation.

YOUR DEADLINE FOR FILING A NOTICE OF ERROR IS: SEP-24-1992

1. Water right number: *36-10706*

2. Name: *LOREN UNDER AND GLORIA UNDER*

Address: *2816 Ritchie Rd.
Hagerman, ID 83332*

Weekday Telephone Number:

837-4489

TEAR AT PERFORATIONS AND COMPLETE FORM ON OTHER SIDE

RECEIVED

SEP 23 1992

Department of Water Resources
Southern Region Office

MICROFILMED

FEB 10 1993

(Instead of filling in items 3 and 4 below you may include a copy of your proposed recommendation with the changes marked on the copy.)

3. Describe the portion of proposed recommendation with which you disagree:

The total quantity. you have 0.04 for domestic AND 0.05 for stockwater for a total of 0.09 CFS. Also another house has been placed on the premises AND shall

4. Describe the changes you wish to have made in the proposed recommendation:

The recommendation should be increased to 0.13 CFS for two houses AND stockwater

5. Describe why you disagree with the proposed recommendation:

Disagree because of your addition AND the new extra house on premises

6. Date: 9/18/02

SIGNATURE Loren F. Vader

NOTICE OF ERROR

RESPONSE

CORRECTED R PROOF



MICROFILMED
FEB 10 1956



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Southern Region, 222 Shoshone St. East, Twin Falls, Idaho 83301 (208) 736-3033

CECIL D. ANDRUS
Governor

R. KEITH HIGGINSON
Director

15-Oct-1992

LOREN VADER
2816 RICHIE RD
HAGERMAN ID 83332

RE: RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF ERROR FOR CLAIM 36-10706.

Dear Mr Vader

The total quantity was recommended at 0.05 CFS as it was claimed that way. IDWR cannot increase the total diversion rate of a claim. Many systems that are for domestic and stockwater use are recommended in this manner where the two individual rates do not add up to the total quantity. Your system must be capable of delivering the combined rate before that rate can be recommended.

As water rights are based upon beneficial use and priority date. The second home that was added to the system requires a right of its own as it was apparently not in existence in 1910. Depending on when it was added to the system will determine the how a water right should be perfected for that home.

Based upon the currently available information I am unable to change the proposed recommendation for this claim.

If you still do not agree with the proposed IDWR recommendations to the court, you may file an objection with the court after you receive the final recommendation of your water right. The objection process will be explained when you receive your final recommendation of your water right.

Sincerely

Kelly K Christensen
Sr Water Resource Agent

MICROFILMED
FEB 10 1993

R PROOF

CVR

MAPS

PHOTOS

MISC



MICROFILMED
FEB 10 1992

WATER RIGHT NUMBER - A36-10706

ADJ. FLAG TRANSLATION: ADJUDICATION TEMPORARY WORK AREA

OLD (D) NUMBER -

+ - - - - - LAST NAME - - - - - +

+ - FIRST NAME - - +

+ - - - - - ADDRESS - - - - - +

*** C - CURRENT OWNER ***

VADER

LOREN

1 - 2816 RITCHIE RD.

2 -

3 -

CITY- HAGERMAN

STATE- ID ZIP- 83332

PHONE - 208-837-4489

VADER

GLORIA

1 - 2816 RITCHIE RD.

2 -

3 -

CITY- HAGERMAN

STATE- ID ZIP- 83332

PHONE - 208-837-4489

+ - - PRIORITY DATE - - +
06/15/1910+ - - - REGION - - - +
ERROR IN REGION+ - - - STAGE - - - +
J - BENEFICIAL USE

+ - - - STATUS - - - - +

+ - - - - - SOURCE - - - - - +
GROUNDWATER

+ - - - - - TRIBUTARY - - - - - +

+ - - - - - POINT OF DIVERSION - - - - - +
TWN RNG SEC TRACT LOT RFLG COUNTY STREAM INDEX (BLANK IF NO INDEX CODE)

07S 14E 29 SW NW NW (47) GOODING

+ - - - - - WATER USE - - - - - +
CODE DESCRIPTION PERIOD FROM PERIOD TO DIVERSION RATE DIVERSION VOLUME
43 - DOMESTIC 01/01 12/31 0.040
04 - STOCKWATER 01/01 12/31 0.050+ - TOTAL DIV. RATE - + + - TOTAL DIV. VOLUME - + + - TOTAL DIV. CAPACITY - + + - TOTAL CONSUMPTIVE USE - + + - KW USAGE - +
0.050+ - - - - - PLACE OF USE - - - - - +
TWN RNG SEC TRACT LOT ACRES CODE DESCRIPTION COUNTY
07S 14E 29 NW NW 04 STOCKWATER (47) GOODING
07S 14E 29 NW NW 43 DOMESTIC (47) GOODING

TOTAL ACRES:

VERIFIED

NOV 23 1990

+ - - DIVERSION MEANS - WELL AND PUMP

+ - - NON-IRRIGATION USE - D/ 1 HOUSE S/ 200 BEEF

+ - - OTHER RIGHTS FOR SAME USE - NONE

+ - - - - - REMARKS - - - - - +
NO REMARKS FOUND.MICROFILMED
FEB 10 1991

WATER RIGHT NUMBER - A36-10706

ADJ. FLAG TRANSLATION: ADJUDICATION TEMPORARY WORK AREA

+ - - - - OLD WATER RIGHT NUMBERS - - - - - +
+ - - - - OLD WATER RIGHT NUMBERS - - - - - +
NO OLD WATER RIGHT NUMBERS FOUND.
+ - - - - CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL - - - - - +
NO CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL FOUND.
+ - - - - DECREE PLAINTIFF - - - + + - - - - DECREE DEFENDANT - - - + + - DECREE DATE - +
00/00/0000
+ - - - - COMMENTS - - - - - +
NO COMMENTS FOUND
+ - - AUDIT FIELDS - 08/29/88 CLELLAND 10/03/89 REFUNDML
+ - - - - ADJUDICATION RIGHTS DATES AND FLAGS AREA - - - - - +

COURT REPORT FILING - 00/00/0000
OBJECTION FILED DATE - 00/00/0000
RESPONSE FILED DATE - 00/00/0000
CLAIM RECEIVED DATE - 08/29/1988
CLAIMS TAPE ERROR FLG -
FEE EXEMPT FLAG -

FIELD INSPECTION MADE - 00/00/0000
FIELD INSPECTION FLAG -
VERIFIED DATE - 00/00/0000
LAST AMENDED DATE - 00/00/0000
RECEIPT NUMBER - S001826
POU OWNERSHIP FLAG - Y
NAME CONNECTOR - OR

CIVIL CASE NUMBER - 39576
OLD CASE NUMBER -
OLD JUDICIAL DISTRICT -
TEMPORARY RIGHT - P
WATER DISTRICT -
FEDERAL/STATE INDICATOR - S
PRESUMPTION FLAG -

RP 07314E290600

DATA ENTRY 3/19/91
ENTERED 2/4/91 SW
CHECKED 3/4/92

"Verified By"
Topo Map/Ortho-PQ
ASCS Map
Watermaster Records
NAPP Photos
Field Exam
Other (See Remarks)
County Records
Witness Statement
Project Records
IDWR Records

CERTIFIED
DEC 27 1990
WATER EXAMINER

VERIFIED
NOV 23 1990