

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
PRELIMINARY RECOMMENDATION OF WATER RIGHTS ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

EXPLANATORY MATERIAL: BASIS OF CLAIM - Decreed




Idaho Department of Water Resources

SRBA Recommendation:


67-14741

Legend

-  **Point of Diversion**
-  **Place of Use**
-  **Townships**
-  **PLS Sections**
-  **Quarter Quarters**




Washington County
IDWR Basin 67



Prepared by C. Saxton
On Monday, June 12, 2006
1987/88 Aerial Photography

67-14741



IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 67-14741 V1

WATER RIGHT NUMBER: 67-14741 V1

<u>Owner Type</u>	<u>Name and Address</u>
Current Owner	PAUL FREEMAN P.O. BOX 177 CAMBRIDGE, ID 83610
Current Owner	JOSEPHINE FREEMAN P.O. BOX 177 CAMBRIDGE, ID 83610

Priority Date: 05/01/1895

Basis: Decreed

Status: Active

<u>Source</u>	<u>Tributary</u>
RUSH CREEK	WEISER RIVER

<u>Beneficial Use</u>	<u>From</u> <u>To</u>	<u>Diversion Rate</u>	<u>Annual Volume</u>
IRRIGATION	03/15 to 10/31	0.078 CFS	
<u>Total Diversion:</u>		0.078 CFS	

Location of Point(s) of Diversion

RUSH CREEK	NE1/4SW1/4SW1/4	Sec. 34, Twp 15N, Rge 03W, B.M.
WASHINGTON County		

Place of Use

IRRIGATION

Twp	Rge	Sec	NE				NW				SW				SE				Totals
			NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	
14N	03W	3	6.2																6.2
			L 1																

Total Acres: 6.2

Conditions of Approval:

1. C18 This partial decree is subject to such general provisions necessary for the definition of the rights or for the efficient administration of the water rights as may be ultimately determined by the Court at a point in time no later than the entry of a final unified decree. Section 42-1412(6), Idaho Code.
2. E56 The rights listed below are limited to the irrigation of a combined total of 6.2 acres in a single irrigation season. Combined Right Nos.: 67-4052A & 67-14741

Remarks:

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 67-14741 V1

Comments:

1. csaxton 6/12/2006 Split History

Comment: Recommended all splits at the decreed diversion rate of 5/8 inches per acre.

2. csaxton 6/12/2006 Copied From Claim

Comment: This claims a portion of decreed right 67-289

3. csaxton 6/12/2006 Basis of Claim

Comment: Claimed basis is b-use but it really was supposed to be decreed. I filled out the claim for the claimant so it was my error. Recommended basis is Decreed.

Dates and Other Information:

Decreed Date:

Enlargement Use Priority Date:

Enlargement Statute Priority Date:

Defendant:

Plaintiff:

Civil Case Number:

Judicial District:

Notice of Error Flag:

State or Federal:

Owner Name Connector: AND

Water District Number:

Generic Max Rate Per Acre:

Generic Max Volume Per Acre:

Swan Falls Trust or Nontrust:

Swan Falls Dismissed:

DLE Act Number:

Carey Act Number:

Mitigation Plan: False

Combined Use Limits:

<u>Rate</u>	<u>Volume</u>	<u>Acres</u>
-------------	---------------	--------------

6.2

Combined Water Rights: 67-4052A , 67-14741

Element Reviewed/Verified Dates:

<u>Element</u>	<u>Last Reviewed Date</u>	<u>Reviewer</u>	<u>Last Verified Date</u>	<u>Verifier</u>	<u>Status</u>
Contacts	6/9/2006 12:37:45 PM	mmount			Active
Priority Date	6/9/2006 2:25:00 PM	mmount			Active
POD	6/9/2006 4:26:58 PM	mmount			Active
POU	6/9/2006 4:26:59 PM	mmount			Active
Source	6/9/2006 4:27:00 PM	mmount			Active
Quantity	6/9/2006 4:27:01 PM	mmount			Active
Season	6/9/2006 4:27:01 PM	mmount			Active
Use	6/9/2006 4:27:01 PM	mmount			Active
POD	6/12/2006 3:15:35 PM	csaxton			Active
Priority Date	6/12/2006 3:16:11 PM	csaxton			Active
POU	6/12/2006 3:16:43 PM	csaxton			Active
Use	6/12/2006 3:16:57 PM	csaxton			Active
Season	6/12/2006 3:17:07 PM	csaxton			Active

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 67-14741 V1

Quantity	6/12/2006 3:18:15 PM	csaxton	Active
POD	6/12/2006 3:21:53 PM	csaxton	Active
Source	6/12/2006 3:21:54 PM	csaxton	Active
Summary	06/12/2006	csaxton	Active

Verification Log:

<u>Element</u>	<u>Date Time Stamp</u>	<u>Reviewer</u>	<u>Log text</u>
POD	6/9/2006 4:26:59 PM	mmount	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (No) 4. Does the Decree or License have a POD? (No) 6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POD? (Yes) 9. Does the file contain all the necessary information to verify the POD? (Yes) 10. Is a POD remark and/or condition required?
POU	6/9/2006 4:27:00 PM	mmount	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License?
Source	6/9/2006 4:27:01 PM	mmount	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of claim Decree or License?
Use	6/9/2006 4:27:02 PM	mmount	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License?
Season	6/9/2006 4:27:02 PM	mmount	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of claim Decree or License?
Quantity	6/9/2006 4:27:02 PM	mmount	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License?
General	6/9/2006 2:25:01 PM	mmount	(No) 1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source? (Yes) 4. Is the basis of claim decree or license? (Yes) 5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date? (Yes) 6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date ? (Yes) 15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly? (No) 16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required?
Use	6/12/2006 3:16:54 PM	csaxton	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a Purpose of Use? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed Purpose of Use be verified using the water right file? (No) 13. Is a Purpose of Use remark and/or condition required?
POD	6/12/2006 3:11:37 PM	csaxton	Updated shape
POD	6/12/2006 3:11:49 PM	csaxton	Updated PLS
POU	6/12/2006 3:14:01 PM	csaxton	Updated shape for Active POU
POU	6/12/2006 3:14:12 PM	csaxton	Updated PLS for Active POU

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 67-14741 V1

POD	6/12/2006 3:15:32 PM	csaxton	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (No) 4. Does the Decree or License have a POD? (No) 6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POD? (Yes) 9. Does the file contain all the necessary information to verify the POD? (No) 10. Is a POD remark and/or condition required?
POU	6/12/2006 3:16:40 PM	csaxton	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 2a. Is the POU required? (Yes) 5. Does the Decree or License have a POU? (Yes) 6. Can the claimed POU be verified using the water right file? (No) 14. Is a POU remark and/or condition required?
General	6/12/2006 3:16:08 PM	csaxton	(No) 1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source? (Yes) 4. Is the basis of claim decree or license? (Yes) 5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date? (Yes) 6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date ? (No) 15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly? (Yes) 16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required?
Season	6/12/2006 3:17:04 PM	csaxton	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of claim Decree or License? (No) 4. Does the Decree or License have a Period of Use? (No) 6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Period of Use? (Yes) 12. Does the file contain all the necessary information to verify the Period of Use? (No) 13. Is the basis of claim a decree, license, or a transferred beneficial use right that has had the Period of Use administratively determined? (Yes) 15. Is irrigation claimed as a Purpose of Use? (No) 18. Is a Period of Use remark and/or condition required?
Quantity	6/12/2006 3:18:12 PM	csaxton	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a diversion rate and/or volume? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed diversion rate and/or volume be verified using the water right file? (No) 8. Does the parent right have an annual diversion volume limit? (No) 9. Is the source Groundwater and does the purpose of use require a diversion volume limit? (No) 11. Has a diversion volume limit been established by a decree, license or transfer for this water use? (No) 12. Is a Quantity remark or condition required?

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 67-14741 V1

Summary	6/12/2006 4:03:42 PM	csaxton	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice? (No) 2. Does any evidence indicate a five year period of continuous nonuse occurred that could result in recommending forfeiture or partial forfeiture? (Yes) 5. Does this right have any combined use limits with other rights? (Yes) 6. Is an additional remark and/or condition required? (Yes) 7. Would you like to view the recommended right? (Yes) 8. Is this a split or combined right? (No) 9. Do you have documents you would like to attach or link to the file? (Yes) 10. Would you like to set the verification date?
POD	6/12/2006 3:21:50 PM	csaxton	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (No) 4. Does the Decree or License have a POD?
Source	6/12/2006 3:21:51 PM	csaxton	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of claim Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a source?
Contacts	6/9/2006 12:37:46 PM	mmount	(No) 1. Do you want to schedule an appointment? Comment for 1: office review

Water Supply Bank:

Split History of 67-289

Water Right History

67-289	Nunnalee
0.28	cfs
22.4	ac
0	af

Acre @ 5/8 " per acre

Claim History

67-289	Nunnalee
0.28	
22.4	

67-289A	Ridenour
0.1	
5	

67-289B	De Moss
0.09	
10	

67-289C	Hawkins
0.09	
14	

C/O

67-14741	Freeman
0.120	
9.3	

Sum CFS =	0.400
Sum Acre=	38.3

Extra CFS =	-0.120
Extra Acre=	-15.9

Recommendation

67-289A	Ridenour
0.063	
5	

0.0125

67-289B	De Moss
0	
0	

67-289C	Pearson/Thorsen
0.09	
7.2	

0.0125

67-14741	Freeman
0.078	
6.2	

0.0125

Sum CFS =	0.230
Sum Acre=	18.4

Extra CFS =	0.050
Extra Acre=	4

Page #	Parcel Number	Name	Water in Inches	File #	Original O	Priority Date
30	14N03W030040	PAUL FRE	9.69	289	A H Nunn	5/1/1895
30	14N03W030150	HAWKINS,	4.31	289	A H Nunn	5/1/1895 (Pearson/Thorsen)

14.00

Point of Diversion and Source Data Entry Form

Claim Number 67-4052E

Claimed Point of Diversion and Source

T15N R03W S34 SESW Within WASHINGTON County Source: RUSH CREEK WEISER RIVER

☐ Use Claimed POD and Source as Recommended POD and Source

Water Right Point of Diversion and Source

Recommended Point of Diversion and Source

Source Information

Source

Tributary To

Twp	Rge	Sec	Gov Lot	QQQ of QQ of Q	County	Source

Other rights from this POD? _____

Document how the POD and Source were verified:

A0014016 - FE conducted by Roger Meyer 11-11-05
Ridenow Ditch off of Rush Creek

Reviewer: MVBDate: 5-24-06

Period of Use and Quantity Data Entry Form

Claim Number 67-4052E

Claimed Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume
IRRIGATION	03/15	11/15	0.28	

Overall Diversion Rate 0.28 Overall Diversion Volume

☐ Use Claimed Period of Use and Quantity as Recommended Period of Use and Quantity

Water Right Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate Overall Diversion Volume


Recommended Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____ Overall Diversion Volume _____

Document how the Period of Use and/or Diversion Rate were verified:

Recommend IDWR standard season of use



6" to high water mark

Reviewer: MVB

Date: 5-24-06

Table 10.—Discharge of standard Cipolletti weirs in second-feet.
Values below and to the left of heavy lines determined experimentally; others computed from the formula $Q=3.367LH^{3/2}$.
(See sec. 19.)—Continued

Head H , feet	Length of weir, L , feet					
	1.0	1.5	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0
0.36	0.727	1.09	1.45	2.18	2.91	3.64
.37	.758	1.14	1.52	2.27	3.03	3.79
.38	.789	1.18	1.58	2.37	3.16	3.94
.39	.820	1.23	1.64	2.46	3.28	4.10
.40	.852	1.28	1.70	2.56	3.41	4.26
.41	.884	1.33	1.77	2.65	3.54	4.42
.42	.916	1.38	1.83	2.75	3.66	4.58
.43	.949	1.42	1.90	2.85	3.80	4.75
.44	.983	1.47	1.96	2.95	3.93	4.91
.45	1.02	1.52	2.03	3.05	4.06	5.08
.46	1.05	1.58	2.10	3.15	4.20	5.25
.47	1.08	1.63	2.17	3.25	4.34	5.42
.48	1.12	1.68	2.24	3.36	4.48	5.60
.49	1.16	1.73	2.31	3.46	4.62	5.77
.50	1.20	1.78	2.38	3.57	4.76	5.95
.51	-----	1.84	2.45	-----	-----	-----
.52	-----	1.89	2.52	-----	-----	-----
.53	-----	1.95	2.60	-----	-----	-----
.54	-----	2.00	2.67	-----	-----	-----
.55	-----	2.06	2.75	-----	-----	-----
.56	-----	2.12	2.82	-----	-----	-----
.57	-----	2.17	2.90	-----	-----	-----
.58	-----	2.23	2.97	-----	-----	-----
.59	-----	2.29	3.05	-----	-----	-----
.60	-----	2.35	3.13	-----	-----	-----
.61	-----	2.41	3.21	-----	-----	-----
.62	-----	2.46	3.29	-----	-----	-----
.63	-----	2.52	3.37	-----	-----	-----
.64	-----	2.59	3.45	-----	-----	-----
.65	-----	2.65	3.53	-----	-----	-----
.66	-----	2.71	3.61	-----	-----	-----
.67	-----	2.77	3.69	-----	-----	-----
.68	-----	2.83	3.81	-----	-----	-----
.69	-----	2.89	3.90	-----	-----	-----
.70	-----	2.96	3.98	-----	-----	-----

Head H , feet	Length of weir, L , feet		Head H , feet	Length of weir, L , feet	
	1.5	2.0		1.5	2.0
0.71	3.02	4.06	0.86	-----	5.44
.72	3.08	4.15	.87	-----	5.54
.73	3.15	4.24	.88	-----	5.64
.74	3.22	4.33	.89	-----	5.74
.75	3.28	4.42	.90	-----	5.84
.76	-----	4.51	.91	-----	5.94
.77	-----	4.60	.92	-----	6.04
.78	-----	4.69	.93	-----	6.14
.79	-----	4.78	.94	-----	6.25
.80	-----	4.87	.95	-----	6.36
.81	-----	4.96	.96	-----	6.47
.82	-----	5.05	.97	-----	6.58
.83	-----	5.14	.98	-----	6.69
.84	-----	5.24	.99	-----	6.80
.85	-----	5.34	1.00	-----	6.91

Table 10.—Discharge of standard Cipolletti weirs in second-feet.
Values below and to the left of heavy lines determined experimentally; others computed from the formula $Q=3.367LH^{3/2}$.
(See sec. 19.)—Continued

Head H , feet	Length of weir, L , feet			Head H , feet	Length of weir, L , feet		
	3.0	4.0	5.0		3.0	4.0	5.0
0.51	3.68	4.90	6.13	0.91	8.77	11.7	14.6
.52	3.79	5.05	6.31	.92	8.91	11.9	14.9
.53	3.90	5.20	6.50	.93	9.06	12.1	15.1
.54	4.01	5.34	6.68	.94	9.20	12.3	15.3
.55	4.12	5.49	6.87	.95	9.35	12.5	15.6
.56	4.23	5.64	7.05	.96	9.50	12.7	15.8
.57	4.35	5.80	7.24	.97	9.65	12.9	16.1
.58	4.46	5.95	7.44	.98	9.80	13.1	16.3
.59	4.58	6.10	7.63	.99	9.95	13.3	16.6
.60	4.69	6.26	7.82	1.00	10.1	13.5	16.8
.61	4.81	6.42	8.02	1.01	10.5	13.7	17.1
.62	4.93	6.57	8.22	1.02	10.6	13.9	17.3
.63	5.05	6.73	8.42	1.03	10.8	14.1	17.6
.64	5.17	6.90	8.62	1.04	10.9	14.3	17.9
.65	5.29	7.06	8.82	1.05	11.1	14.5	18.1
.66	5.42	7.22	9.03	1.06	11.3	14.7	18.4
.67	5.54	7.38	9.23	1.07	11.4	14.9	18.6
.68	5.66	7.55	9.44	1.08	11.6	15.1	18.9
.69	5.79	7.72	9.65	1.09	11.7	15.3	19.2
.70	5.92	7.89	9.86	1.10	11.9	15.5	19.4
.71	6.04	8.06	10.1	1.11	12.1	15.8	19.7
.72	6.17	8.23	10.3	1.12	12.2	16.0	20.0
.73	6.30	8.40	10.5	1.13	12.4	16.2	20.2
.74	6.43	8.57	10.7	1.14	12.5	16.4	20.5
.75	6.56	8.75	10.9	1.15	12.7	16.6	20.8
.76	6.69	8.92	11.2	1.16	12.9	16.8	21.0
.77	6.82	9.10	11.4	1.17	13.0	17.0	21.3
.78	6.96	9.28	11.6	1.18	13.2	17.3	21.6
.79	7.09	9.46	11.8	1.19	13.4	17.5	21.9
.80	7.23	9.64	12.0	1.20	13.6	17.7	22.1
.81	7.36	9.82	12.3	1.21	13.7	17.9	22.4
.82	7.50	10.0	12.5	1.22	13.9	18.1	22.7
.83	7.64	10.2	12.7	1.23	14.1	18.4	23.0
.84	7.78	10.4	13.0	1.24	14.3	18.6	23.2
.85	7.92	10.6	13.2	1.25	14.4	18.8	23.5
.86	8.06	10.7	13.4	1.26	14.6	19.0	23.8
.87	8.20	10.9	13.7	1.27	14.8	19.3	24.1
.88	8.34	11.1	13.9	1.28	15.0	19.5	24.4
.89	8.48	11.3	14.1	1.29	15.2	19.7	24.7
.90	8.62	11.5	14.4	1.30	15.4	20.0	25.0

no lateral contraction of water passing through the weir is possible.

Conditions for accuracy of measurement for this type of weir are identical with those of the contracted rectangular weir, except those relating to side contraction. In the suppressed weir the sides of the approach channel should be coincident with the sides of the weir, and should extend downstream beyond the crest to prevent lateral expansion of the nappe. A suppressed weir in a flume drop is illustrated in figure 5.

Special care must be taken with suppressed weirs to secure proper aeration beneath the overflowing sheet at the crest. This is usually accomplished by placing vents on both sides of the weir box under the nappe (fig. 3).

(c) *Standard Cipolletti (Trapezoidal) Weir*.—A standard Cipolletti weir is trapezoidal in shape (fig. 6). Its crest and sides, which are of thin plate, are placed far enough from the bottom and sides of the approach channel so that full contraction of the nappe occurs. The sides incline outwardly at a slope of 1 horizontal to 4 vertical.

The Cipolletti weir is a contracted weir, and should be installed accordingly. However, its discharge occurs essentially as though its end contractions were suppressed because the contractions are compensated by making the sides of the weir slope outward.




Figure 6.—Cipolletti weir in a permanent bulkhead discharging under free-flow conditions. 103-D-859.

All conditions for accuracy stated for the standard contracted rectangular weir apply to the Cipolletti weir. The height of the weir crest above the bottom of the approach channel should be at least twice the head over the crest, and the distances from the sides of the notch to the sides of the channel should also be at least twice the head. The weir should not be used for heads less than about 0.2 foot, nor for heads greater than one-third the crest length. A Cipolletti weir is illustrated in figure 7.

(d) *Standard 90° V-Notch Weir*.—The triangular or V-notch thin-plate weir is an accurate flow measuring device particularly suited for small flows. The V-notch usually used by the Bureau is the 90° V-notch shown in figure 2.

The crest of the standard 90° V-notch weir consists of a thin plate, the sides of the notch being inclined 45° from the vertical. This weir operates as a contracted weir and all conditions for accuracy stated for the standard contracted rectangular weir apply. The minimum distances of the sides of the weir from the channel banks should be at least twice the head on the weir, and should be measured from the intersection points of the maximum water surface with the edges of the





Figure 7.—Cipolletti weir with a well-type head-measuring station. PX-D-53011.



Above photos are taken of Ridenour Weir from just upstream. Rod instream is for scale. Actual measurements are in file 67-4052D.



Photo of Ridenour weir from just downstream. POD off Rush Creek is about 100 yards upstream of this point.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39576

Ident. Number
Date Received:
Receipt No:
Received By:

67-14741
5-30-06
C081352
CS

New Claim

NOTICE OF CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

New Claim

1. Name of Claimant(s)

PAUL FREEMAN Phone: (208) 257-3468
PO BOX 177
CAMBRIDGE ID USA 83610

JOSEPHINE FREEMAN Phone: (208) 257-3468
PO BOX 177
CAMBRIDGE ID USA 83610

2. Date of Priority: 05/01/1895

3. Source: RUSH CREEK Trib. to: WEISER RIVER

4. Point of Diversion:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of 1/4 of 1/4 Lot	County	Type
15N	03W	34	NE SW SW	WASHINGTON	

5. Description of diverting works:

6. Water is used for the following purposes:

Purpose	From	To	C.F.S.	(or) A.F.A
IRRIGATION	3/15	10/31	0.12	

7. Total Quantity Appropriated is:
0.12 C.F.S.

8. Total consumptive use:

9. Non-irrigation uses:

10. Place of use:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of 1/4 Lot	Use	Acres
----------	-------	---------	----------------	-----	-------

14N 03W 3 NE NE IRRIGATION 9.3
Section Acres 9.3
Total Acres 9.3

11. Place of use in counties: WASHINGTON

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? Yes

13. Other Water Rights Used:

67-4051A

14. Remarks:

This claims a portion of decreed right 67-289.

15. Basis of Claim: Beneficial Use

16. Signature(s)

(a.) By signing below, I/We acknowledge that I/We have received, read and understand the form entitled "How you will receive notice in the Snake River Basin Adjudication." (b.) I/We do _____ do not X wish to receive and pay a small annual fee for monthly copies of the docket sheet.

Number of attachments: _____


For Individuals:

I/We do solemnly swear or affirm that the statements contained in the foregoing document are true and correct.

Signature of Claimant(s): Paul C. Freeman Date: 5/26/06
Josephine M. Freeman Date: 5/26/06

State of Idaho)
County of Washington) SS.

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) before me this 26th day
of May 2006 Ann Hanson



Notary Public
Residing at Cambridge
My commission Expires 3/3/10

Paul & Jo Freeman
P. O. Box 177
Cambridge, ID 83610

BOISE ID 837

27 MAY 2006 PM 1 T



State of Idaho
Dept. of Water Resources
322 E. Front St. P.O. Box 83720
Boise, ID. 83720-0098

Attn: Monica Van Bussum

POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY ADDRESSEE