

11/14/2006

NOTICE OF ERROR
REPLY

RECEIVED

DEC 8 2006

DEPARTMENT OF
IDWR

Please type or print clearly, fill out this form completely and mail.

Return this reply form to IDWR by the deadline date printed below if you want IDWR to change your recommendation or you want to let IDWR know that you agree with its recommendation.

YOUR DEADLINE FOR RETURNING A NOTICE OF ERROR REPLY IS: December 8, 2006

Water right number: 67-12338

ROBIN L THORSEN

PO BOX 205-2982 Cutler Rd

CAMBRIDGE ID 83610

Telephone Number: (208)257-2541 3541

ETHEL J PEARSON

2982 CUTLER RD

CAMBRIDGE ID 83610-5166

Telephone Number: (208)257-3541

1. Describe the portion of the proposed recommendation with which you disagree (e.g. priority date, period of use, source):

2. Describe the changes you wish to make in the proposed recommendation:

3. Give a brief explanation as to why you disagree with these portions:

☒ I AGREE with this proposed recommendation: no changes need to be made.

DATE: 12-6-06

SIGNATURE:

Ethel J. Pearson

Please print your name:

Ethel J. Pearson

IDWR Regional Office, 322 E Front St
PO Box 83720, Boise, ID 83720-0098, 2082874800

SCANNED
DEC 11 2006

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
PRELIMINARY RECOMMENDATION OF WATER RIGHTS ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

Right includes accomplished change in place of use pursuant to Section 42-1425, Idaho Code.




Idaho Department of Water Resources

SRBA Recommendation:


67-12338

Legend

-  Point of Diversion
-  Place of Use
-  Townships
-  PLS Sections
-  Quarter Quarters



Washington County
IDWR Basin 67



0 0.7 1.4 Miles



Prepared by C. Saxton
On Wednesday, June 7, 2006
1987/88 Aerial Photography

67-12338



IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 67-12338 V1

WATER RIGHT NUMBER: 67-12338 V1

<u>Owner Type</u>	<u>Name and Address</u>
Current Owner	ROBIN L THORSEN PO BOX 205 CAMBRIDGE, ID 83610 (208)257-2541
Current Owner	ETHEL J PEARSON 2982 CUTLER RD CAMBRIDGE, ID 83610-5166 (208)257-3541

Priority Date: 08/23/1883

Basis: Decreed

Status: Active

<u>Source</u>	<u>Tributary</u>
RUSH CREEK	WEISER RIVER

<u>Beneficial Use</u>	<u>From</u> <u>To</u>	<u>Diversion Rate</u>	<u>Annual Volume</u>
IRRIGATION	03/15 to 10/31	0.670 CFS	
	<u>Total Diversion:</u>	0.670 CFS	

Location of Point(s) of Diversion

RUSH CREEK	SW1/4SW1/4	Sec. 34, Twp 15N, Rge 03W, B.M.
WASHINGTON County		

Place of Use

IRRIGATION

Twp	Rge	Sec	NE				NW				SW				SE				Totals
			NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	
14N	03W	2			38.0	24.0			15.0	39.0	22.0						6.0		144.0

Total Acres: 144

Conditions of Approval:

1. C05 Right includes accomplished change in place of use pursuant to Section 42-1425, Idaho Code.
2. C18 This partial decree is subject to such general provisions necessary for the definition of the rights or for the efficient administration of the water rights as may be ultimately determined by the Court at a point in time no later than the entry of a final unified decree. Section 42-1412(6), Idaho Code.
3. 178 This right when combined with other rights from the same point(s) of diversion is limited to a total combined diversion rate of 2.38 cfs.
4. E56 The rights listed below are limited to the irrigation of a combined total of 144.0 acres in a single irrigation season. Combined Right Nos.: 67-2060A, 67-278, 67-4052D, and 67-12338.

Remarks:

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
 Adjudication Recommendation Report 67-12338 V1

Comments:

1. csaxton 6/7/2006 Copied From Claim
 Comment: THIS CLAIM IS A COMBINATION OF A PORTION OF OLD RIGHT NOS.
 67-00247, 67-00253, 67-00267, 67-00268, 67-00269, 67-00270 AND 67-00271.
2. csaxton 6/7/2006 TR 1765
 Comment: Recommended diversion rate and POU per TR 1765

Dates and Other Information:

Decreed Date:
 Enlargement Use Priority Date:
 Enlargement Statute Priority Date:
 Defendant:
 Plaintiff:
 Civil Case Number:
 Judicial District:
 Notice of Error Flag:
 State or Federal: S
 Owner Name Connector: AND
 Water District Number:
 Generic Max Rate Per Acre:
 Generic Max Volume Per Acre:
 Swan Falls Trust or Nontrust:
 Swan Falls Dismissed:
 DLE Act Number:
 Carey Act Number:
 Mitigation Plan: False

Combined Use Limits:Element Reviewed/Verified Dates:

<u>Element</u>	<u>Last Reviewed Date</u>	<u>Reviewer</u>	<u>Last Verified Date</u>	<u>Verifier</u>	<u>Status</u>
Contacts	6/6/2006 3:58:46 PM	csaxton			Active
Priority Date	6/7/2006 8:28:00 AM	csaxton			Active
POD	6/7/2006 8:30:42 AM	csaxton			Active
Source	6/7/2006 8:30:52 AM	csaxton			Active
POU	6/7/2006 8:36:32 AM	csaxton			Active
Use	6/7/2006 8:37:42 AM	csaxton			Active
Season	6/7/2006 8:38:27 AM	csaxton			Active
Quantity	6/7/2006 8:39:04 AM	csaxton			Active
Summary			06/07/2006	csaxton	Active

Verification Log:

<u>Element</u>	<u>Date Time Stamp</u>	<u>Reviewer</u>	<u>Log text</u>
----------------	------------------------	-----------------	-----------------

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 67-12338 V1

General	6/7/2006 8:28:00 AM	csaxton	(No) 1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source? (Yes) 4. Is the basis of claim decree or license? (Yes) 5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date? (Yes) 6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date ? (Yes) 15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly? (No) 16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required?
POU	6/7/2006 8:36:53 AM	csaxton	Updated shape for Active POU
POU	6/7/2006 8:37:03 AM	csaxton	Updated PLS for Active POU
POU	6/7/2006 8:36:32 AM	csaxton	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 2a. Is the POU required? (Yes) 5. Does the Decree or License have a POU? (No) 6. Can the claimed POU be verified using the water right file? (Yes) 7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POU? (Yes) 8. Can the claim be verified as an accomplished transfer? (Yes) 13. Does the file contain all the necessary information to verify the POU? (Yes) 14. Is a POU remark and/or condition required? Description for Question 8 - Recommended as PPU with other rights from same source. POU is different QQs than defined in TR 1765. Description for Question 13 - Field Exam by Monica Van Bussum on 5-24-06.
Use	6/7/2006 8:37:42 AM	csaxton	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a Purpose of Use? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed Purpose of Use be verified using the water right file? (No) 13. Is a Purpose of Use remark and/or condition required?
Season	6/7/2006 8:38:28 AM	csaxton	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of claim Decree or License? (No) 4. Does the Decree or License have a Period of Use? (No) 6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Period of Use? (Yes) 12. Does the file contain all the necessary information to verify the Period of Use? (No) 13. Is the basis of claim a decree, license, or a transferred beneficial use right that has had the Period of Use administratively determined? (Yes) 15. Is irrigation claimed as a Purpose of Use? (No) 18. Is a Period of Use remark and/or condition required?

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 67-12338 V1

Quantity	6/7/2006 8:39:05 AM	csaxton	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a diversion rate and/or volume? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed diversion rate and/or volume be verified using the water right file? (No) 8. Does the parent right have an annual diversion volume limit? (No) 9. Is the source Groundwater and does the purpose of use require a diversion volume limit? (No) 11. Has a diversion volume limit been established by a decree, license or transfer for this water use? (No) 12. Is a Quantity remark or condition required?
POD	6/7/2006 8:29:59 AM	csaxton	Updated shape
POD	6/7/2006 8:30:13 AM	csaxton	Updated PLS
POD	6/7/2006 8:30:42 AM	csaxton	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (No) 4. Does the Decree or License have a POD? (No) 6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POD? (Yes) 9. Does the file contain all the necessary information to verify the POD? (No) 10. Is a POD remark and/or condition required?
Source	6/7/2006 8:30:52 AM	csaxton	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of claim Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a source? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed source be verified using the water right file? (No) 11. Is a Source remark and/or condition required?
Contacts	6/6/2006 3:58:47 PM	csaxton	(No) 1. Do you want to schedule an appointment? Comment for 1: Field Exam by Monica Van Bussum on 5-24-06.
Summary	6/7/2006 9:01:45 AM	csaxton	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice? (No) 2. Does any evidence indicate a five year period of continuous nonuse occurred that could result in recommending forfeiture or partial forfeiture? (Yes) 5. Does this right have any combined use limits with other rights? (Yes) 6. Is an additional remark and/or condition required? (Yes) 7. Would you like to view the recommended right? (Yes) 8. Is this a split or combined right? (No) 9. Do you have documents you would like to attach or link to the file? (Yes) 10. Would you like to set the verification date?

Split history for Decreed Right 67-247, 253, 267, 268, 269, 270, 271

WR History

Changed POD only

67-247	Ridenour	TR 1765	67-247	Ridenour
0.08	cfs		0.08	
6.4	ac		6.4	
67-253	Wilson, L Etta	TR 1765	67-248	Ridenour
0.08	cfs		0.08	
6.4	ac		6.4	
67-267	Tinsley	TR 1765	67-249	Ridenour
0.2725	cfs		0.2725	
21.8	ac		21.8	
67-268	Norton, Liz	TR 1765	67-257	Ridenour
0.0475	cfs		0.0475	
3.8	ac		3.8	
67-269	Norton, John	TR 1765	67-258	Ridenour
0.095	cfs		0.095	
7.6	ac		7.6	
67-270	Robson	TR 1765	67-252	Ridenour
0.0475	cfs		0.0475	
3.8	ac		3.8	
67-271	Tuttle	TR 1765	67-4044	Ridenour
0.0475	cfs		0.0475	
3.8	ac		3.8	
0	af			

Total
0.67
53.6

Claim History

67-12338	Pearson/Thorsen
	0.668
	144
67-10042	Hill
	0.01
	5

Recommendation

67-12338	Pearson/Thorsen
	0.67
	53.6

67-10042	Hill
	0
	0

Sum CFS =	0.67
Sum Acre =	53.6

Extra CFS =	0.00
Extra Acre =	0

Point of Diversion and Source Data Entry Form

Claim Number 67-4052E

Claimed Point of Diversion and Source

T15N R03W S34 SESW Within WASHINGTON County Source: RUSH CREEK WEISER RIVER

☐ Use Claimed POD and Source as Recommended POD and Source

Water Right Point of Diversion and Source

Recommended Point of Diversion and Source

Source Information

Source

Tributary To

_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____

Twp

Rge

Sec

Gov Lot

QQQ of QQ of Q

County

Source

_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

Other rights from this POD? _____

Document how the POD and Source were verified:

A0014016 - FE conducted by Roger Meyer 11-11-05
Ridenow Ditch off of Rush Creek

Reviewer: MVBDate: 5-24-06

Period of Use and Quantity Data Entry Form

Claim Number 67-4052E

Claimed Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume
IRRIGATION	03/15	11/15	0.28	

Overall Diversion Rate 0.28 Overall Diversion Volume

☐ Use Claimed Period of Use and Quantity as Recommended Period of Use and Quantity

Water Right Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate Overall Diversion Volume


Recommended Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate Overall Diversion Volume

Document how the Period of Use and/or Diversion Rate were verified:

Recommend IDWR standard season of use



Ridenous
Weir

6" to high water mark

Reviewer: MVB

Date: 5-24-06

Table 10.—Discharge of standard Cipolletti weirs in second-feet.
Values below and to the left of heavy lines determined experimentally; others computed from the formula $Q=3.367LH^{3/2}$.
(See sec. 19.)—Continued

Head H , feet	Length of weir, L , feet					
	1.0	1.5	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0
0.36	0.727	1.09	1.45	2.18	2.91	3.64
.37	.758	1.14	1.52	2.27	3.03	3.79
.38	.789	1.18	1.58	2.37	3.16	3.94
.39	.820	1.23	1.64	2.46	3.28	4.10
.40	.852	1.28	1.70	2.56	3.41	4.26
.41	.884	1.33	1.77	2.65	3.54	4.42
.42	.916	1.38	1.83	2.75	3.66	4.58
.43	.949	1.42	1.90	2.85	3.80	4.75
.44	.983	1.47	1.96	2.95	3.93	4.91
.45	1.02	1.52	2.03	3.05	4.06	5.08
.46	1.05	1.58	2.10	3.15	4.20	5.25
.47	1.08	1.63	2.17	3.25	4.34	5.42
.48	1.12	1.68	2.24	3.36	4.48	5.60
.49	1.16	1.73	2.31	3.46	4.62	5.77
.50	1.20	1.78	2.38	3.57	4.76	5.95
.51	-----	1.84	2.45	-----	-----	-----
.52	-----	1.89	2.52	-----	-----	-----
.53	-----	1.95	2.60	-----	-----	-----
.54	-----	2.00	2.67	-----	-----	-----
.55	-----	2.06	2.75	-----	-----	-----
.56	-----	2.12	2.82	-----	-----	-----
.57	-----	2.17	2.90	-----	-----	-----
.58	-----	2.23	2.97	-----	-----	-----
.59	-----	2.29	3.05	-----	-----	-----
.60	-----	2.35	3.13	-----	-----	-----
.61	-----	2.41	3.21	-----	-----	-----
.62	-----	2.46	3.29	-----	-----	-----
.63	-----	2.52	3.37	-----	-----	-----
.64	-----	2.59	3.45	-----	-----	-----
.65	-----	2.65	3.53	-----	-----	-----
.66	-----	2.71	3.61	-----	-----	-----
.67	-----	2.77	3.69	-----	-----	-----
.68	-----	2.83	3.81	-----	-----	-----
.69	-----	2.89	3.90	-----	-----	-----
.70	-----	2.96	3.98	-----	-----	-----

Head H , feet	Length of weir, L , feet		Head H , feet	Length of weir, L , feet	
	1.5	2.0		1.5	2.0
0.71	3.02	4.06	0.86	-----	5.44
.72	3.08	4.15	.87	-----	5.54
.73	3.15	4.24	.88	-----	5.64
.74	3.22	4.33	.89	-----	5.74
.75	3.28	4.42	.90	-----	5.84
.76	-----	4.51	.91	-----	5.94
.77	-----	4.60	.92	-----	6.04
.78	-----	4.69	.93	-----	6.14
.79	-----	4.78	.94	-----	6.25
.80	-----	4.87	.95	-----	6.36
.81	-----	4.96	.96	-----	6.47
.82	-----	5.05	.97	-----	6.58
.83	-----	5.14	.98	-----	6.69
.84	-----	5.24	.99	-----	6.80
.85	-----	5.34	1.00	-----	6.91

Table 10.—Discharge of standard Cipolletti weirs in second-feet.
Values below and to the left of heavy lines determined experimentally; others computed from the formula $Q=3.367LH^{3/2}$.
(See sec. 19.)—Continued

Head H , feet	Length of weir, L , feet			Head H , feet	Length of weir, L , feet		
	3.0	4.0	5.0		3.0	4.0	5.0
0.51	3.68	4.90	6.13	0.91	8.77	11.7	14.6
.52	3.79	5.05	6.31	.92	8.91	11.9	14.9
.53	3.90	5.20	6.50	.93	9.06	12.1	15.1
.54	4.01	5.34	6.68	.94	9.20	12.3	15.3
.55	4.12	5.49	6.87	.95	9.35	12.5	15.6
.56	4.23	5.64	7.05	.96	9.50	12.7	15.8
.57	4.35	5.80	7.24	.97	9.65	12.9	16.1
.58	4.46	5.95	7.44	.98	9.80	13.1	16.3
.59	4.58	6.10	7.63	.99	9.95	13.3	16.6
.60	4.69	6.26	7.82	1.00	10.1	13.5	16.8
.61	4.81	6.42	8.02	1.01	10.5	13.7	17.1
.62	4.93	6.57	8.22	1.02	10.6	13.9	17.3
.63	5.05	6.73	8.42	1.03	10.8	14.1	17.6
.64	5.17	6.90	8.62	1.04	10.9	14.3	17.9
.65	5.29	7.06	8.82	1.05	11.1	14.5	18.1
.66	5.42	7.22	9.03	1.06	11.3	14.7	18.4
.67	5.54	7.38	9.23	1.07	11.4	14.9	18.6
.68	5.66	7.55	9.44	1.08	11.6	15.1	18.9
.69	5.79	7.72	9.65	1.09	11.7	15.3	19.2
.70	5.92	7.89	9.86	1.10	11.9	15.5	19.4
.71	6.04	8.06	10.1	1.11	12.1	15.8	19.7
.72	6.17	8.23	10.3	1.12	12.2	16.0	20.0
.73	6.30	8.40	10.5	1.13	12.4	16.2	20.2
.74	6.43	8.57	10.7	1.14	12.5	16.4	20.5
.75	6.56	8.75	10.9	1.15	12.7	16.6	20.8
.76	6.69	8.92	11.2	1.16	12.9	16.8	21.0
.77	6.82	9.10	11.4	1.17	13.0	17.0	21.3
.78	6.96	9.28	11.6	1.18	13.2	17.3	21.6
.79	7.09	9.46	11.8	1.19	13.4	17.5	21.9
.80	7.23	9.64	12.0	1.20	13.6	17.7	22.1
.81	7.36	9.82	12.3	1.21	13.7	17.9	22.4
.82	7.50	10.0	12.5	1.22	13.9	18.1	22.7
.83	7.64	10.2	12.7	1.23	14.1	18.4	23.0
.84	7.78	10.4	13.0	1.24	14.3	18.6	23.2
.85	7.92	10.6	13.2	1.25	14.4	18.8	23.5
.86	8.06	10.7	13.4	1.26	14.6	19.0	23.8
.87	8.20	10.9	13.7	1.27	14.8	19.3	24.1
.88	8.34	11.1	13.9	1.28	15.0	19.5	24.4
.89	8.48	11.3	14.1	1.29	15.2	19.7	24.7
.90	8.62	11.5	14.4	1.30	15.4	20.0	25.0

no lateral contraction of water passing through the weir is possible.

Conditions for accuracy of measurement for this type of weir are identical with those of the contracted rectangular weir, except those relating to side contraction. In the suppressed weir the sides of the approach channel should be coincident with the sides of the weir, and should extend downstream beyond the crest to prevent lateral expansion of the nappe. A suppressed weir in a flume drop is illustrated in figure 5.

Special care must be taken with suppressed weirs to secure proper aeration beneath the overflowing sheet at the crest. This is usually accomplished by placing vents on both sides of the weir box under the nappe (fig. 3).

(c) *Standard Cipolletti (Trapezoidal) Weir*.—A standard Cipolletti weir is trapezoidal in shape (fig. 6). Its crest and sides, which are of thin plate, are placed far enough from the bottom and sides of the approach channel so that full contraction of the nappe occurs. The sides incline outwardly at a slope of 1 horizontal to 4 vertical.

The Cipolletti weir is a contracted weir, and should be installed accordingly. However, its discharge occurs essentially as though its end contractions were suppressed because the contractions are compensated by making the sides of the weir slope outward.




Figure 6.—Cipolletti weir in a permanent bulkhead discharging under free-flow conditions. 103-D-859.

All conditions for accuracy stated for the standard contracted rectangular weir apply to the Cipolletti weir. The height of the weir crest above the bottom of the approach channel should be at least twice the head over the crest, and the distances from the sides of the notch to the sides of the channel should also be at least twice the head. The weir should not be used for heads less than about 0.2 foot, nor for heads greater than one-third the crest length. A Cipolletti weir is illustrated in figure 7.

(d) *Standard 90° V-Notch Weir*.—The triangular or V-notch thin-plate weir is an accurate flow measuring device particularly suited for small flows. The V-notch usually used by the Bureau is the 90° V-notch shown in figure 2.

The crest of the standard 90° V-notch weir consists of a thin plate, the sides of the notch being inclined 45° from the vertical. This weir operates as a contracted weir and all conditions for accuracy stated for the standard contracted rectangular weir apply. The minimum distances of the sides of the weir from the channel banks should be at least twice the head on the weir, and should be measured from the intersection points of the maximum water surface with the edges of the




Figure 7.—Cipolletti weir with a well-type head-measuring station. PX-D-53011.



Above photos are taken of Ridenour Weir from just upstream. Rod instream is for scale. Actual measurements are in file 67-4052D.



Photo of Ridenour weir from just downstream. POD off Rush Creek is about 100 yards upstream of this point.

Claim

<u>Basin</u>	<u>Sequence</u>	<u>Suffix</u>	<u>Basis</u>	<u>Status</u>	<u>Priority Date</u>	<u>Rate(cfs)</u>	<u>Acres</u>	<u>Source</u>	<u>Water Use(s)</u>	<u>Owner(s)</u>	<u>Source Table</u>
67	260	A	Decreed	Active	1890-04-30	0.02		RUSH CREEK IRRIGATION		PEARSON, E	Claim
67	265	B	Decreed	Active	1883-08-23	0.25		RUSH CREEK IRRIGATION		PEARSON, E	Claim
67	278		Decreed	Active	1890-05-01	0.32		RUSH CREEK IRRIGATION		PEARSON, E	Claim
67	280	A	Decreed	Active	1890-04-30	0.07		RUSH CREEK IRRIGATION		PEARSON, E	Claim
67	289	C	Decreed	Active	1895-05-01	0.09		RUSH CREEK IRRIGATION		PEARSON, E	Claim
67	457		Decreed	Active	1885-06-01	0.3		LITTLE WEISE IRRIGATION		PEARSON, E	Claim
67	458	B	Decreed	Active	1894-06-01	0.12		LITTLE WEISE IRRIGATION		PEARSON, E	Claim
67	2242		License	Active	5/3/1954	0.1		GROUND WA DOMESTIC, IRR		PEARSON, E	Claim
67	4052	D	B-use	Active	6/1/1924	2.88		RUSH CREEK IRRIGATION, ST		PEARSON, E	Claim
67	4052	E	Decreed	Active	6/1/1924	0.28		RUSH CREEK IRRIGATION		PEARSON, E	Claim
67	4273		Statutory C	Active	5/1/1968	0.14		WASTE WATE IRRIGATION		PEARSON, E	Claim
67	4276		Statutory C	Active	5/1/1949	0.6		LITTLE WEISE IRRIGATION		PEARSON, E	Claim
67	12338		Decreed	Active	1883-08-23	0.67		RUSH CREEK IRRIGATION		PEARSON, E	Claim

Recommendation

<u>Basin</u>	<u>Sequence</u>	<u>Suffix</u>	<u>Basis</u>	<u>Status</u>	<u>Priority Date</u>	<u>Rate(cfs)</u>	<u>Acres</u>	<u>Source</u>	<u>Water Use(s)</u>	<u>Owner(s)</u>	<u>Source Table</u>
67	260	A	Decreed		1890-04-30	0.02	1.6	RUSH CREEK IRRIGATION		PEARSON, E	Recommendation
67	278		Decreed		1890-05-01	0.32	25.6	RUSH CREEK IRRIGATION		PEARSON, E	Recommendation
67	12338		Decreed		1883-08-23	0.67	53.6	RUSH CREEK IRRIGATION		PEARSON, E	Recommendation
						1.01	80.8				
67	4052	D	B-use		6/1/1924	1.87	144	RUSH CREEK IRRIGATION, ST		PEARSON, E	Recommendation
						2.88	144				
67	265	B	Decreed		1883-08-23	0.25	15	RUSH CREEK IRRIGATION		PEARSON, E	Recommendation
67	2242		License		5/3/1954	0.1	5	GROUND WA DOMESTIC, IRR		PEARSON, E	Recommendation
						0.3	15				
67	280	A	Decreed		1890-04-30	0.05	4	RUSH CREEK IRRIGATION		PEARSON, E	Recommendation
67	289	C	Decreed	Active	1895-05-01	0.09	7.2	RUSH CREEK IRRIGATION		PEARSON, E	Recommendation
67	4052	E	B-use		6/1/1924	0.15	12	RUSH CREEK IRRIGATION		PEARSON, E	Recommendation
						0.24	12				

CONVERSATIONAL MEMORANDUM

Date: September 14, 2005

To: File 67-4052D, 67-4052E, 67-4273, 67-4276, 67-12338

From: Craig L. Saxton

Subject: Evidence of priority

Received a call from Ethel Pearson and she said she needs an extension on the deadline. I told her to take the time she needs and get back to us as soon as she can. She was really nice and said she was worried to call as she heard that we were all really angry over here.

3-10-06 : From the decree, The sum of 8-23-1883 Rights =

67-247	4
67-253	4
67-267	$13 \frac{5}{8}$
67-268	$2 \frac{3}{8}$
67-269	$4 \frac{3}{4}$
67-270	$2 \frac{3}{8}$
67-271	$2 \frac{3}{8}$

$$31 \frac{20}{8} = 33 \frac{1}{2} " \text{ or } \underline{\underline{0.67 cfs}}$$

Claimed diversion Rate = 0.668 cfs
or 0.002 cfs under set
decree diversion Rate.



Water Master



INDIAN VALLEY WATER DISTRICT 67A

Roger Meyer
3035 Cemetery Rd.
Cambridge, Idaho 83610
Phone (208) 257-3418
Cell (208) 741-0859
E-mail rjmeyer@ctcweb.net

November 15, 2005

This Attachment Covers the following Water Right numbers 260A, 265B, 278, 2242, 4052D, 12338 and these are all a part of 12338, 247, 253, 267, 268, 269, 270, and 271. All of these water rights come from the same source, Rush Creek. The Diversion Point is # A0014016 which is the Ridenour Ditch and the other is an Artesian Well #A0016800 that dump into a pond about 200 feet due east of the Artesian well. It has a pump house with a 3 HP pump that runs 14 sprinklers that irrigates part of the 5 acres and the 20 acre section that is above the pond which is a total of 25 acres that can't be flood irrigated.

Now for the rest of the story.

Why are all of these water rights grouped together? One person created this mess Robert Bumgardner. The Ridenour at one time split before it reached the Zundels and came in below the Zundels and around the side of the hill above the Zundels. The Ditch below the Zundels irrigated everything on the west side of Cemetery Rd and crossed the road to the lower section of the Thorsen-Pearson property. This ditch irrigated the Zundels, the Wilsons, _____ (Who's last name I don't know), then comes the Filers, and the Chandlers. Only the Zundels and the Chandlers have water rights today. That ditch was done away with. When Ethel Pearson turned Bumgardner in for doing away with her ditch. At that time Robert owned all of the above property. She was asked if she could get water through the upper ditch. When she said yes but she wouldn't be able to get water to the lower section she was told to just extend her ditches and do the best she could. Robert got away with it again, he didn't have to replace her ditches.

The Water for all the Thorsen-Pearson water rights come around the Ridenour ditch and crosses the road at the old Warren place and irrigates his field. It then passed his place and splits and runs over the hill to the Pattee place you can see the split on map 67-2242. It comes down and picks up part of the old ditch that crosses the Thorsen-Pearson property and crosses the Cutler Road into part of the lower section of the 144 acres. The part that is next to Cemetery Road on map 67-278 SW NW does not get much water. The largest part of the water goes through the McLaughlin property down a ditch between the McLaughlin and Thorsen-Pearson property , then the Dopf property crossing the road and running into the same pond the Artesian well feeds. From that pond they irrigate all the land below the pond and as far as it will reach with flood irrigation. The pump in the pump house irrigates the 25 acres above the pond. Because of the ditch system and other people stealing water only in the spring can they get enough water to irrigate the whole 144 acres and the 25 above the pond. My understanding is that Robert was burning brush and cleaning his ditch and the fire got away from him and burned up the Ridenour head gate which was wood in a concrete diversion and the trees that held the concrete diversion in place. With our winter storms the corner where the trees were is already wash out. For the Ridenour ditch to get water in the spring the whole section of the creek will have to be replaced to create a diversion.

Roger Meyer

Contacts Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 67-12338

Owner Type	Full Name	Address	City	State	Zip	Phone
Current Owner	ROBIN L THORSEN	PO BOX 205	CAMBRIDGE	ID	83610	(208)257- ~~2541~~ 3541
Current Owner	ETHEL J PEARSON	2982 CUTLER RD	CAMBRIDGE	ID	83610-516	(208)257-3541 6

Date of Field Exam: 11-11-05 Time: 1530Accompanied By: Robin Thorsen Phone Number: 1-208-257-3541Relationship to Claimant: Owner

Directions to Property (if different from above) and/or update owner information:

Reviewer: Date: 11-11-05

Claim Number 67-12338

Claimed Basis: Decreed

Water Right Basis:

Recommended Priority Date _____ Recommended Basis _____

DECREED

Reviewer:

Date: 11-11-05

Robert M. Jensen

Point of Diversion and Source Data Entry Form

Claim Number 67-12338

Claimed Point of Diversion and Source

T15N R03W S34 SESW Within WASHINGTON County Source: RUSH CREEK WEISER RIVER

☐ Use Claimed POD and Source as Recommended POD and Source

Water Right Point of Diversion and Source

Recommended Point of Diversion and Source

Source Information

Source

Rush Creek
Artesian Well

Tributary To

Weiser River
"

Twp	Rge	Sec	Gov Lot	QQQ of QQ of Q	County	Source
#A0014016					Washington	Rush Creek
#A0016800					Washington	Artesian Well

2287995
1490329

Other rights from this POD?

yes Ridenour Ditch.

Document how the POD and Source were verified:

Reviewer:



Date:

11-11-05

Place Of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number 67-12338

Claimed Place Of Use

T14N R03W S02	SWNE	25.0	T14N R03W S02	SENE	16.0
T14N R03W S02	SWNW	33.0	T14N R03W S02	SENW	40.0
T14N R03W S02	NESW	25.0	T14N R03W S02	NWSE	5.0

Total Acres 144

☐ Use Claimed POU as Recommended POU

Water Right Place Of Use

Recommended Place of Use

☐ See corrections to claimed POU above

Tw	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use

See map w/r
278

Total Acres _____

Document how the POU was verified:

Reviewer: 

Date: 11-11-05

Purpose of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number 67-12338

Claimed Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit
IRRIGATION			

☐ Use Claimed Purpose of Use as Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Right Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit
-----------	-----------------	-----------------	------------

Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other
±RR	0	20-200		
IN the summer time it is ALSO				
stock water				

Document how the Purpose of Use was verified:

Reviewer: Date: 11-11-05

Period of Use and Quantity Data Entry Form

Claim Number 67-12338

Claimed Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume
IRRIGATION	4/01	10/31	0.668	

Overall Diversion Rate 0.668 Overall Diversion Volume

 Use Claimed Period of Use and Quantity as Recommended Period of Use and Quantity

Water Right Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume
-----------	-------	-----	------	--------

Overall Diversion Rate Overall Diversion Volume

Recommended Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume
LCR	3/15	11/15	0.668	

Overall Diversion Rate Overall Diversion Volume

Document how the Period of Use and/or Diversion Rate were verified:

Reviewer:



Date: 11-11-05

67-12338 Ethel Pearson 2982 Cuthbert Rd 257-3541
Robin Thorsen 257-~~3541~~
35

POD Ripenour Ditch. #A0014016

Pou. See map w/R.
278

Flood of sprinklers.

PR/DATE 8-23-1883

CFS = .668 = 33" of water

Stock water.

Identification No. _____
Transfer No. 67-0247 #1765

APPROVED

STATE OF IDAHO
DEPARTMENT OF RECLAMATION Water Resource

APPLICATION FOR TRANSFER OF WATER RIGHT
To Change the Point of Diversion and/or the Place of Use


1. Name of Right Holder DONOVAN M. RIDENOUR
Post office address Box 114, Cambridge, Idaho
2. Right obtained by:
a. Decree to See Attachment in Case of John T. Muir, et al
(Name)
vs. Wm. Allison, et al Dated June 20, 1921 in 7th Judicial District
(Name of Court)
Court. County of Washington
b. License No. _____ issued by the State of Idaho, Department of ~~Reclamation~~ Water Resource
3. Source of water Rush Creek tributary to Weiser River
(Name of stream or source)
4. Date of Priority See Attachment
5. Amount of water a. .69 c.f.s or 34.52 ⁱⁿ c.f.s. and/or
b. _____ acre feet/annum
6. Water is used for Irrigation from April 1 to Oct. 31
(Month, Day) (Month, Day)
7. Original location of point of diversion is SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 34,
Township 15 N, Range 3 W, B.M., in Washington County;
Additional points of diversion, if any _____

8. Lands presently irrigated or place of use:

TWP.	RANGE	SEC.	NE $\frac{1}{4}$				NW $\frac{1}{4}$				SW $\frac{1}{4}$				SE $\frac{1}{4}$				TOTALS
			NE $\frac{1}{4}$	NW $\frac{1}{4}$	SW $\frac{1}{4}$	SE $\frac{1}{4}$	NE $\frac{1}{4}$	NW $\frac{1}{4}$	SW $\frac{1}{4}$	SE $\frac{1}{4}$	NE $\frac{1}{4}$	NW $\frac{1}{4}$	SW $\frac{1}{4}$	SE $\frac{1}{4}$	NE $\frac{1}{4}$	NW $\frac{1}{4}$	SW $\frac{1}{4}$	SE $\frac{1}{4}$	
14 N	3 W	2							27.5	10	40								77.5

Total number of acres presently irrigated 77.5

RECEIVED



SCALE: 2 Inches Equal 1 Mile. Draw Plan in Ink.

Decreed to: R. E. Wilson	4 in.	8-23-1883	67-0247
James Parke	4 in.	8-23-1883	67-0253
John Timsley	13.63 in.	8-23-1883	67-0267
Elizabeth Norton	2.38 in.	8-23-1883	67-0268
John Norton	4.75 in.	8-23-1883	67-0269
Louis Robson	2.38 in.	8-23-1883	67-0270
C. W. Tuttle	2.38 in.	8-23-1883	67-0271
Moses H. Hopper	1.0	4-30-1890	67-0260
	<u>34.52 in.</u> or .69 c.f.s.		

Applicant was not the original holder of this right explain how it was acquired: Purchase

10. CHANGE IN POINT OF DIVERSION

- a. Quantity to be diverted at new point: 0.69 or 34.52 inches c.f.s. and/or _____ acre feet
SE SW
- b. New point of diversion: NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, Section 34, Township 15 N
_____, Range 3 W, B.M.
- c. Description of method of diversion and conveyance from new points of diversion: Gravity diversion.
- d. Is water to be conveyed to the lands previously irrigated? Yes X No _____
If not, the place of use should be changed below.
- e. Describe the reasons for applying for a change in the point of diversion:
To save water being lost to creek. More beneficial use on land. Less ditch
maintenance. Better point of diversion from creek.
- f. Do you intend to make the transfer permanent? Yes
- g. Do you intend to abandon completely the old point of diversion? No
- h. Indicate on the above plat the new point of diversion and lands to be irrigated.

11. CHANGE IN PLACE OF USE No Change

- a. Describe the new lands on which you wish to use your right.

TWP.	RANGE	SEC.	NE $\frac{1}{4}$				NW $\frac{1}{4}$				SW $\frac{1}{4}$				SE $\frac{1}{4}$				TOTALS
			NE $\frac{1}{4}$	NW $\frac{1}{4}$	SW $\frac{1}{4}$	SE $\frac{1}{4}$	NE $\frac{1}{4}$	NW $\frac{1}{4}$	SW $\frac{1}{4}$	SE $\frac{1}{4}$	NE $\frac{1}{4}$	NW $\frac{1}{4}$	SW $\frac{1}{4}$	SE $\frac{1}{4}$	NE $\frac{1}{4}$	NW $\frac{1}{4}$	SW $\frac{1}{4}$	SE $\frac{1}{4}$	

Total number of acres to be irrigated _____

b. Do you intend to make the transfer permanent? _____

c. Are you completely abandoning the lands previously irrigated by this right? If not, explain your plans:

d. Describe any other water rights that you claim for the new ground upon which you wish to transfer this right: _____

e. Indicate on the above plat the new land to be irrigated and the point of diversion.

I hereby assert that no one will be injured by such transfer and that the change will be made at my own risk. The information contained in this application is true to the best of my knowledge.

Hereward M. Rudenow
(signature of applicant)

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 31st day of August, 1973

Deanna E. Feldt
(notary public)

My commission expires June 15, 1977.

**ACTION OF STATE RECLAMATION ENGINEER
AND CERTIFICATE OF TRANSFER**

This is to certify that I have examined Application for Transfer of Water Rights No. 67-0247,
and said application is hereby APPROVED.

1. Approval of said application is subject to the following limitations and conditions:

Pursuant to Memorandum Decision and Order

2. Denial of said application is for the following reasons: _____

Witness my hand this 11th day of June, 1975.

R. K. [Signature]
XXXXXX
Director

FOR DEPARTMENT USE ONLY

Received by P.W. H. Date 8-31-73

Protest filed by: _____ Date _____

Prelim. check by P.W. H. Date 8-31-73

Fee received by gco Date 8/31/73 Fee \$30.00 Rec'd #12028

Approved for publication by SW 10-1-73

Published in Upper Country Newspaper Dates 9-20, 9-27-73

aring held _____

aster Recommendation sent _____

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF APPLICATION FOR TRANSFER NO.'S

67-0247, 67-0253, 67-0260, 67-0267, 67-0268, 67-0269,
67-0270, and 67-0271 in the name of Donovan M. Ridenour.

MEMORANDUM DECISION
AND ORDER

On August 31, 1973, Donovan M. Ridenour filed with the Department of Water Administration and application for transfer of the point of diversion of water rights as set forth in the Case of John T. Muir, et al vs. William Allison et al date June 20, 1921 in the Seventh Judicial District Court, County of Washington. The water rights are more specifically described as:

<u>Permit No.</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Priority</u>
67-0247	R. E. Wilson	4 in.	8-23-1883
67-0253	James Parke	4 in.	8-23-1883
67-0267	John Timsley	13-63 in.	8-23-1883
67-0268	Elizabeth Norton	2.38 in.	8-23-1883
67-0269	John Norton	4.75 in.	8-23-1883
67-0270	Louis Robson	2.38 in.	8-23-1883
67-0271	C. W. Tuttle	2.38 in.	8-23-1883
67-0260	Moses H. Hopper	<u>1.00 in.</u>	4-30-1890
		34.52 in. or .69 c.f.s.	

with point of diversion in SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, Section 34, T. 15 N, R. 3 W, B.M., Washington County and these rights will be diverted from Rush Creek, tributary to Weiser River.

The application for transfer requests authority to change the point of diversion of 0.69 c.f.s. to NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ and SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 34, T. 15N, R. 3W, B.M.


The Department caused notice of the application for transfer to be published in the Upper County News Reporter on September 20, 1973 and September 27, 1973. On September 25, 1973, the Department received a protest against the granting of said transfer from Morris B. McCoy. On October 5, 1973 a second protest against the granting of said transfer was received from Lawrence J. Higgins, Attorney-at-Law, filed in behalf of Neil and Gladys Tucker.

As a result of these protests, a hearing was held on June 10, 1974 at Weiser, Idaho. At that hearing, the following issues were raised:

- (1) Injury to an existing right will be caused by moving the point of diversion of a water right with a later priority upstream from protestant's point of diversion.

3. The amount diverted at this point of diversion shall be in the same proportional to the decreed amount as the total of all the water rights of this same priority to the amount of water available to fill these rights.

Dated this 11 day of June, 1975.


R. KEITH HIGGINSON
Director

KHF/BDF:jfr

RECEIVED

Public Notices

NOTICE OF PROPOSED CHANGE OF WATER RIGHT
Notice is hereby given that DONOVAN M. RIDENOUR of Box 114, Cambridge, Idaho, has applied to the Department of

Name	Amount	Date	Number
R. E. Wilson	4.00 in.	8/23/1883	67-0247
James Parke	4.00 in.	8/23/1883	67-0253
John Tinsley	13.63 in.	8/23/1883	67-0267
Elizabeth Norton	2.38 in.	8/23/1883	67-0268
John Norton	4.75 in.	8/23/1883	67-0269
Louis Robson	2.38 in.	8/23/1883	67-0270
C. W. Tuttle	2.38 in.	8/23/1883	67-0271
Moses H. Hopper	1.00 in.	4/30/1890	67-0260
	34.52 in. or .69 c.f.s.		

in case of John T. Muir, et al vs. Wm. Allison, et al dated June 20, 1921 in 7th Judicial District Court, County of Washington. Point of diversion is SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 34, T. 15 N., R. 3 W., B. M., Washington County. The water is used for irrigation purposes in the S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 2, T. 14 N., R. 3 W., B. M.

TO BE CHANGED AS FOLLOWS
Amount: 0.69 or 34.52 in. Point of Diversion: SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ & NW-

Public Notices

Water Administration for a change of point of diversion of the waters of Rush Creek, trib. to Weiser River. The right sought to be changed is evidenced by decree to:

SW $\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 34, T. 15 N., R. 3 W., B. M.

Any protests against approval of the proposed change of water right application must be filed with the Department of Water Administration, Statehouse, Annex No. 2, Boise, Idaho, on or before October 8, 1973.

R. KEITH HIGGINSON
Director

Published in the Upper Country News-Reporter, Cambridge, Idaho, on Sept. 20, 1973 and Sept. 27, 1973.

AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

State of Idaho }
County of Washington } ss.

Stuart Dopf, being first duly sworn, deposes and says that he is the publisher, foreman, principal clerk, of THE NEWS-REPORTER, a weekly newspaper published at Cambridge, Washington County, Idaho, and that said paper has been published continuously for more than 78 weeks immediately preceding the first publication of the attached notice; that said paper is in general circulation in the county aforesaid and in the vicinity of Cambridge, Idaho; that the notice, a copy of which is attached hereto, was published in said newspaper once a week for two consecutive weeks in the regular and entire issue of every number thereof during the period and time of publication, and was published in the newspaper proper and not in a supplement thereto; that the publication of said notice began with the issue of Sept. 20, 1973, and ended with the issue of Sept. 27, 1973.

Stuart Dopf

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 21st day of Sept. 1973.

May Allison

Notary Public for Idaho, residing at
Cambridge, Idaho

OK
sw
10-1-73

MICROFILMED

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM.

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39576

Ident. Number: **A67-12338**
Date Received: 7/ 8/1993
Receipt No: 65016480
Received By: [Signature]

**NOTICE OF CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW**

1. Name: ETHEL J PEARSON 208-257-3541
Address: PO BOX 205
CAMBRIDGE ID 83610

AND/OR

Name: ROBIN THORSEN 208-257-3541
Address: PO BOX 205
CAMBRIDGE ID 83610

2. Date of Priority: AUG 23, 1883

3. Source: RUSH CREEK Trib. to: WEISER RIVER

4. Point of Diversion:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	County
15N	03W	34		SE	SW		WASHINGTON

5. Description of diverting works:
CONCRETE DIV. DAM W/HEADGATE & DITCH FOR FLOOD & SPRINKLER IRRIG.

6. Water is used for the following purposes:

Purpose	From	To	C.F.S	(or)	A.F.A.
IRRIGATION	04/01	10/31	0.668		

7. Total Quantity Appropriated is:
0.668 C.F.S. (and/or) A.F.A.

8. Total consumptive use is Acre Feet Per Annum.

9. Non-irrigation uses:
INCIDENTAL STOCKWATER

APR 12 2004
SCANNED

MICROFILMED
AUG 22 1994

10. Place of Use:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	Use	Acres
14N	03W	2	SW	NE		IRR	25.0
			SE	NE		IRR	16.0
			SW	NW		IRR	33.0
			SE	NW		IRR	40.0
			NE	SW		IRR	25.0
			NW	SE		IRR	5.0

Section Acres 144.0

Total Acres 144.0

11. Place of use in counties: WASHINGTON

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? YES

13. Other Water Rights Used:

A67-00260A, A67-00278 & A67-04052D.

14. Remarks:

THIS CLAIM IS A COMBINATION OF A PORTION OF DECREED RIGHT NOS.
67-00247, 67-00253, 67-00267, 67-00268, 67-00269, 67-00270 AND
67-00271. ALL HAVE THE SAME PRIORITY DATE & SAME SOURCE.

15. Basis of Claim: DECREED

Case Number:

Court :

Decree date: / /

Decree Plaintiff

vs Decree Defendant

16. Signature(s)

(a.) By signing below, I/We acknowledge that I/We have received, read and understand the form entitled "How you will receive notice in the Snake River Basin Adjudication." (b.) I/We do _____ do not X wish to receive and pay a small annual fee for monthly copies of the docket sheet.

Number of attachments: 0

*MAP OF PROPERTY IRRIGATED
FILED IN A67-00260A*

MICROFILMED

AUG 22 1994

For Individuals:

I/We do solemnly swear or affirm that the statements contained in the foregoing document are true and correct.

Signature of Claimant(s): Edith J. Pearson Date: 7-8-93

Date: _____

State of Idaho)
County of ADA) SS.

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) before me this 8th day
of July 19 93 Jan Shurte
Notary Public

Seal

Residing at Meridian ID
My Commission Expires 9-14-94

MICROFILMED
AUG 22 1994