

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM.

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39576

Ident. Number: A36-00076A
Date Received: 7/25/1988
Receipt No: 5001072
Received By: Adm

NOTICE OF CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

1. Name: PHILLIP C. GOSSI 208-837-4827
Address: P.O. BOX 1290
BLISS, ID 83314

AND/OR

Name: MARILYN L. GOSSI 208-837-4827
Address: P.O. BOX 1290
BLISS, ID 83314

2. Date of Priority: JUN 26, 1881

3. Source: BILLINGSLEY CREEK Trib. to: SNAKE RIVER

4. Point of Diversion:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	County
07S	13E	11	NE	SE	NE		GOODING

5. Description of diverting works:
VIA JOHN BELL DITCH

6. Water is used for the following purposes:

Purpose	From	To	C.F.S	(or)	A.F.A.
IRRIGATION	03/15	11/15	0.480		

7. Total Quantity Appropriated is:
0.480 C.F.S. (and/or) A.F.A.

8. Total consumptive use is 30.0 Acre Feet Per Annum.

9. Non-irrigation uses:

10. Place of Use:

Township	Range	Section	1/4	of	1/4	Lot	Use	Acres
07S	13E	2	SW		NE	2	IRR	6.0
			SE		NE	1	IRR	3.0
			NE		SE		IRR	1.0
							Section Acres	10.0
							Total Acres	10.0

11. Place of use in counties: GOODING

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? YES

13. Other Water Rights Used:
NONE

14. Remarks:
THIS CLAIM IS BASED ON A PORTION OF THE ORIGINAL DECREEED RT.
36-0076 WHICH WAS FOR 0.6 CFS

15. Basis of Claim: DECREEED
Case Number:
Court :
Decree date:

NEW INTERNATIONAL MORTGAGE BAN
Decree Plaintiff

vs

IDAHO POWER COMPANY
Decree Defendant

16. Signature(s)

(a.) By signing below, I/We acknowledge that I/We have received, read and understand the form entitled "How you will receive notice in the Snake River Basin Adjudication." (b.) I/We do _____ do not X wish to receive and pay a small annual fee for monthly copies of the docket sheet.

Number of attachments: 2

For Individuals:

I/We do solemnly swear or affirm that the statements contained in the foregoing document are true and correct.

Signature of Claimant(s): Phillip C. Gosu Date: 7-25-88

Date: _____

State of Idaho)
County of Twin Falls) SS.

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) before me this 25th day
of July 19 88 Alfred M. Merritt
Notary Public

Seal

Residing at Kimberly, Id
My Commission Expires 6/30/94

WARRANTY DEED

For Value Received

Virgil A. Krueger and Neva F. Krueger (Husband and Wife)
Star Route, Bliss, Idaho 83314
Hereinafter called the Grantor, hereby grants, bargains, sells and conveys unto

Phillip C. Gossi and Marilyn L. Gossi (Husband and Wife)
Star Route, Bliss, Idaho 83314

Hereinafter called the Grantee, the following described premises situated in Gooding County, Idaho, to-wit:

Township 7 South, Range 13 East of the Boise Meridian.

Section 2: That part of Lots 1 and 2 described as follows:

Beginning at the Southeast corner of Lot 2,
Thence West along the south boundary of said Lot 2,
7.8 chains to the east boundary of the Hagerman-
Bliss Highway;

Thence North along said East boundary 6.63 chains;

Thence North 86° 37' East 3.71 chains;

Thence North 70° 37' East 3.34 chains;

Thence North 84° 28' East 1.21 chains;

Thence South 67° 22' East 1.23 chains;

Thence South 8° 00' East 1.7 chains;

Thence South 36° 07' East 7.6 chains to the South
boundary of Lot 1 in said Section;

Thence West along said South boundary 5.86 chains,
more or less to the place of beginning,

and also

That part of the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of the SE $\frac{1}{4}$ described as follows:

Beginning at the Northeast corner of the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ said Section 2;

Thence South along the East boundary thereof 107.25 feet;

Thence West to the West boundary of said NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;

Thence North 107.25 feet to the Northwest corner of said
NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;

Thence East along the North boundary thereof, the True
Point of Beginning.

Together with 24 inches of John Bell Ditch water.

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD, the said premises, with their appurtenances unto the said Grantee and to the Grantee's heirs and assigns forever. And the Grantor does hereby covenant to and with the said Grantee, that the Grantor is the owner in fee simple of said premises; that they are free from all incumbrances except as above described and that Grantor will warrant and defend the same from all lawful claims whatsoever.

Dated: November 12, 1981

Virgil A. Krueger
Neva F. Krueger

MICROFILMED

JAN 21 1993

Instrument No.

STATE OF IDAHO, COUNTY OF GOODING


On this 12th day of November 1981
before me, a notary public in and for said State, personally appeared

STATE OF IDAHO, COUNTY OF GOODING

I hereby certify that this instrument was filed for record
at the request of *Gossi*


36-0076 Part 29"

HAGERMAN CO
110




IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

36-00076A



660 0 660 Feet

TNS 7S, RNG 13E
SEC 2, SWNE, SENE, NESE



⊙ Recommended Point of Diversion

□ Recommended Place of use

— Section lines

□ Quarter/Quarter Lines

GIS PLACE OF USE PRESENTATION
1987 and/or 1988 NAPP PHOTOGRAPHY
DATA ENTRY OCTOBER 31, 1997
BY STEVE CLELLAND

CLAIM NUMBERS 36-00076 ADATE 10/30/97
AGENT See

TRANSFER NUMBER _____

OBJECTION FILED NoTOTAL ACRES FROM RECOMMENDATION 10

GEOGRAPHIC INFO SYSTEM _____

RIGHT NO				NE				NW				SW				SE			
	TNS	RNG	SEC	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE
RECOM	<u>7s</u>	<u>13E</u>	<u>2</u>			<u>6</u>	<u>3</u>									<u>1</u>			<u>10</u>
GIS						<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>									<u>1</u>			<u>8</u>
RECOM																			
GIS																			
RECOM																			
GIS																			
RECOM																			
GIS																			

UPDATED

POLYGON #

UPDATED

B36-DBPOU.DBF see 10/31/97

B36-DBPOD.DBF _____

POLYGON CREATED ON 604 N/A (✓) see 10/30/97ATTRIBUTES OF B36-POU.SHP 716 see 10/31/97ATTRIBUTES OF B36-POD.SHP 458 John Bell ditchShape file is already on 603.

P/U CONFLICT yes () no (X)

P/D CONFLICT yes () no (X)

READY FOR DECREE yes (X) no ()

SENT FOR DECREE yes () no ()

KKC: 8/13/97

R PROOF



CVR



MAPS



PHOTOS



MISC



MICROFILMED

JAN 21 199

VERIFIED BY HHS

Water Right Number - R36-00076A

Prefix Translation: RESEARCH

Old (D) Number -

+ - - - - Last Name - - - - + + - First Name - - + + - - - - - Address - - - - - +

*** C - CURRENT OWNER ***
GOSSI

MARILYN L

1 - PO BOX 1290

2 -

3 -

City- BLISS

State- ID Zip- 83314

Phone - 208-837-4827

GOSSI

PHILLIP C

1 - PO BOX 1290

2 -

3 -

City- BLISS

State- ID Zip- 83314

Phone - 208-837-4827

+ - - Priority Date - - + + - - - Region - - - + + - - - Stage - - - + + - - - Status - - - - +
06/26/1881 ERROR IN REGION D - DECREED+ - - - - Source - - - - - + + - - - - Tributary - - - - - +
BILLINGSLEY CREEK SNAKE RIVER+ - - - - Point of Diversion - - - - - +
TwN Rng Sec Tract Lot RFlg County

07S 13E 11 NE SE NE (47) GOODING

+ - - - - Water Use - - - - - +
Code Description Period From Period To Diversion Rate Diversion Volume
01 - IRRIGATION 04/01 10/31 0.690+ - Total Div. Rate - + + - Total Div. Volume - + + - Total Consumptive Use - + + - KW Usage - +
0.690 30.0+ - - - - Place of Use - - - - - +
TwN Rng Sec Tract Lot Acres Code Description County
07S 13E 2 SW NE 2 6.0 01 IRRIGATION (47) GOODING
07S 13E 2 SE NE 1 3.0 01 IRRIGATION (47) GOODING
07S 13E 2 NE SE 1.0 01 IRRIGATION (47) GOODING

Total Acres: 10.0

+ - - Diversion Means - VIA JOHN BELL DITCH

+ - - Non-Irrigation Use -

+ - - Other Rights for Same Use - NONE

+ - - - - Remarks - - - - - +
WATER IS DELIVERED THROUGH THE JOHN BELL DITCH.
A DIVERSION RATE OF 0.48 CFS AT THE FIELD HEADGATE IS

MICROFILMED

JAN 21 1993

Water right number - R36-00076A

Prefix Translation: RESEARCH

+ - - - - - Remarks - - - - - +
RECOMMENDED FOR THE PLACE OF USE DESCRIBED ABOVE.
0.21 CFS OF THIS RIGHT IS LIMITED TO USE FOR CONVEYANCE
LOSSES IN DELIVERY OF THIS RIGHT.

+ - - - - - Old Water Right Numbers - - - - - +
3600076

+ - - - - - Conditions of Approval - - - - - +
No Conditions of Approval found.

+ - - - - Decree Plaintiff - - - + + - - - - Decree Defendant - - - + + - Decree Date - +
NEW INTERNATIONAL MORTGAGE BANK IDAHO POWER COMPANY 00/00/0000

+ - - - - - Comments - - - - - +
No Comments Found

+ - - Audit Fields - - +
08/11/92 THORNTON

+ - - - - - Adjudication Rights Dates and Flags Area - - - - - +

Court Report Filing	- 00/00/0000	Field Inspection Made	- 00/00/0000	Civil Case Number	- 39576
Objection Filed Date	- 00/00/0000	Field Inspection Flag	-	Old Case Number	-
Response Filed Date	- 00/00/0000	Verified Date	- 08/11/1992	Old Judicial District	-
Claim Received Date	- 07/25/1988	Last Amended Date	- 00/00/0000	Temporary Right	- P
		Receipt Number	- S001072	Water District	- 36A
		POU Ownership Flag	- Y	Federal/State Indicator	- S
		Name Connector	- AND/OR	Presumption Flag	-
				Notice of Error Flag	-

MICROFILMED

JAN 21 1993

STATE OF IDAHO
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
ADJUDICATION CLAIM VERIFICATION REPORT

14:51:10
06/29/90

PAGE: 14
QUICKLOOK INFORMATION

CLAIM NUMBER: A36-00076A

WR ELEMENT	FIELD EXAM Y/N	CORRESPONDENCE SENT/RECEIVED BY WHOM/DATE	FIELD EXAM BY WHOM/DATE	CHANGE CLAIM Y/N	VERIFIED BY CODE	COMPLETED REVIEW WHOM/DATE	COMPLETED DATA ENTRY WHOM/DATE	VERIFIED DATE WHOM/DATE
PRIORITY DATE								
POINT OF DIV	Y			N	1,5	HHT 7/30/92	DB	
SOURCE				N				
PLACE OF USE	Y		7-24-90 SCC/HHT	N	1,4,5			
DIV. AMOUNT CFS/VOLUME	Y			Ⓟ Vol.	6. DECREASE			
CONSUMPTIVE USE	-			N				
PURPOSES				N				
PERIOD OF USE	Y			N				
BASIS OF CLAIM	Y			N				
REMARKS				N				

"VERIFIED BY" CODES

TOPO MAP/ORTHO-PQ = 1
ASCS MAP = 2
WATERMASTER RECORDS = 3
NAPP PHOTOS = 4
FIELD EXAM = 5
OTHER (SEE REMARKS) = 6

THESE CODES ARE USED TO INDICATE HOW THE FIELD EXAMINER VERIFIED THE INFORMATION
USED FOR THIS FIELD EXAMINATION. INDICATED ARE ALL CODES WHICH APPLY.

MICROFILMED
JAN 21 1993

STATE OF IDAHO
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
ADJUDICATION CLAIM VERIFICATION REPORT

14:51:10
06/29/90

PAGE: 1
GENERAL INFORMATION

CLAIM NUMBER: A36-00076A

LAST: GOSSI
ADDRESS: P.O. BOX 1290
CITY: BLISS
PHONE NUMBER: 208-837-4827

FIRST: MARILYN L.

STATE: ID ZIP: 83314

OWNER CODE: C

LAST: GOSSI
ADDRESS: P.O. BOX 1290
CITY: BLISS
PHONE NUMBER: 208-837-4827

FIRST: PHILLIP C.

STATE: ID ZIP: 83314

OWNER CODE: C

FIELD EXAM REQUIRED?

VERIFICATION REQUIRED DUE TO REMARKS?

NO REMARKS IN CLAIM

DATABASE
N

FIELD
EXAMINER

MICROFILMED

JAN 21 1993

CONTACT PERSON: _____

PHONE NUMBER: (____) ____-____

DATE OF FIELD EXAM: 7/24/90

APPOINTMENT MADE: Y(____) N(____)

TIME: ____:____ A(____) P(____)

ACCOMPANIED BY: _____

PHONE NUMBER: (____) ____-____

RELATIONSHIP TO CLAIMANT: _____

DIRECTIONS TO PROPERTY / REMARKS:

MICROFILMED

JAN 21 1993

A. AJ CLAIM: # A36-00076A PRIORITY DATE: 06-26-1881 STAGE: D
B. WR RECORD: # 36-00076 PRIORITY DATE: 06-26-1881 STAGE: D

C. FIELD EXAM REQUIRED?
DUE TO PRIORITY DATE DISCREPANCY? (Y/N) DATABASE N FIELD EXAMINER N

ARE THERE OBVIOUS REASONS TO QUESTION THE PRIORITY DATE CLAIMED? Y() N(X)
IF YES EXPLAIN.

REMARKS:

RECOMMENDED PRIORITY DATE:
06-26-1881

REVIEWED BY

DATE

Ja 11-23-90

MICROFILMED
JAN 21 1993

A. AJ CLAIM POINT OF DIVERSION AND SOURCE:

RIGHT	TWP.	RGE.	SEC.	1/4	1/4	1/4	LOT	CNTY
A36-00076A	07S	13E	11	NE	SE	NE		GOODING

OTHER AJ CLAIMS WITH SAME AJ POD

A PREFIX R PREFIX
A36-00051D NONE

WR RECORDS IN SAME 40 ACRE TRACT

A36-10119	36-00003
A36-10120	36-00005
A36-10751	36-00019
A36-10752	36-00021
A36-10755	36-00024
A36-10061	36-00025
A36-00103B	36-00026
A36-00108A	36-00027
A36-00003G	36-00034
A36-00027	36-00035A
A36-00039C	36-00035B
A36-00090E	36-00039A
A36-00051B	36-00039B
A36-00089B	36-00040
A36-00089D	36-00051
A36-10283	36-00052
A36-10312	36-00064
A36-00090A	36-00065
A36-00003D	36-00067
A36-00090B	36-00071
A36-00090C	36-00077
A36-00090D	36-00082
A36-00090F	36-00083
A36-00108F	36-00085
A36-00125A	36-00087
A36-00026	36-00088
A36-00051C	36-00089
A36-00071A	36-00090
A36-00089A	36-00091
A36-00108C	36-00094
A36-00108D	36-00095
A36-00108E	36-00096
A36-00108H	36-00103
A36-04008	36-00108
	36-00112A
	36-00112B
	36-00113B
	36-00113C
	36-00113D
	36-00125
	36-04008
	36-04115
	36-04261
	36-07271
	36-07658
	36-07739

MICROFILMED
JAN 21 1993

36-07834
36-07840
36-07853
36-07875
36-07957
36-08058
36-08319
36-08335

SOURCE: BILLINGSLEY CREEK

TRIB: SNAKE RIVER

B. WR POINT OF DIVERSION AND SOURCE:

RIGHT	TWP.	RGE.	SEC.	1/4	1/4	1/4	LOT	CNTY
36-00076	07S	13E	11		SE	NE		GOODING

OTHER WR RECORDS WITH SAME WR POD

AJ CLAIMS IN SAME 40 ACRE TRACT
A PREFIX R PREFIX

36-00003	A36-00025	NONE
36-00005	A36-00087	
36-00019	A36-07658	
36-00021	A36-10409	
36-00024	A36-00051D	
36-00025	A36-10119	
36-00026	A36-10120	
36-00027	A36-10751	
36-00034	A36-10752	
36-00035A	A36-10755	
36-00035B	A36-10061	
36-00039A	A36-00103B	
36-00039B	A36-00108A	
36-00040	A36-00003G	
36-00051	A36-00027	
36-00052	A36-00039C	
36-00064	A36-00090E	
36-00065	A36-00051B	
36-00067	A36-00089B	
36-00071	A36-00089D	
36-00077	A36-10283	
36-00082	A36-10312	
36-00083	A36-00090A	
36-00085	A36-00003D	
36-00087	A36-00090B	
36-00088	A36-00090C	
36-00089	A36-00090D	
36-00090	A36-00090F	
36-00091	A36-00108F	
36-00094	A36-00125A	
36-00095	A36-00026	
36-00096	A36-00051C	
36-00103	A36-00071A	
36-00108	A36-00089A	
36-00112A	A36-00108C	
36-00112B	A36-00108D	
36-00113B	A36-00108E	
36-00113C	A36-00108H	

MICROFILMED

JAN 21 1993

36-00113D
36-00125
36-04008
36-04115
36-04261
36-07271
36-07658
36-07739
36-07834
36-07840
36-07853
36-07875
36-07957
36-08058
36-08319
36-08335

A36-04008
A36-04115
A36-04261
A36-00113B
A36-10316
A36-10588
A36-00039J
A36-00096A
A36-00113F
A36-00113G
A36-10559
A36-00048B
A36-00048C
A36-10223
A36-00103A
A36-00003B
A36-00003C
A36-00003E
A36-00003F
A36-00003J
A36-00039G

MICROFILMED

JAN 21 1993

SOURCE: BILLINGSLEY CREEK

TRIB: SNAKE RIVER

C. FIELD EXAM REQUIRED?

	DUE TO POD DISCREPANCY? (Y/N)	DUE TO SOURCE DISCREPANCY? (Y/N)	DATABASE	FIELD EXAMINER
			Y	<u>N</u>
			N	<u>N</u>

REMARKS:

RECOMMENDED POINT(S) OF DIVERSION AND SOURCE:

TWP.	RGE.	SEC.	1/4	1/4	1/4	LOT	COUNTY
		<u>same</u>					

NO Δ

SOURCE: BILLINGSLEY CREEK

TRIB: SNAKE RIVER

REVIEWED BY:

Sc

DATE:

11-23-90

JAN 21 1993

TWP	RNG	SEC	1/4	1/4	LOT	NAME	AJ	WR	LANDSAT DATA	FIELD EXAM.	RECOMM. ACRES
							#/ACRES	#/ACRES			
07S	13E	2	SE	NE	1	GOSSI , MARILYN L.	A36-00076A / 3.0	- /14.0			
						GOSSI , PHILLIP C.	A36-04033 /	- /			
						GOSSI , PHILLIP C.	A36-10260 /	- /			
						LEWELLEN , ROY		36-00076 /			
						THOMPSON , FRED L.		37-03155 /35.0			
07S	13E	2	SW	NE	2	GOSSI , MARILYN L.	A36-00076A / 6.0	- /14.0			
						YA-TA-HAY INVESTMENTS, INC.	A36-10283 / 6.0	- /			
						GOSSI , PHILLIP C.	A36-04033 /	- /			
						GOSSI , PHILLIP C.	A36-10260 /	- /			
						ELGAN , DOUGLAS L.	A37-04366 / 7.0	37-04366 / 7.0			
						GLAUNER , JOHN		36-00052 /			
						GLAUNER , JOHN		36-00053 /			
						LEWELLEN , ROY		36-00076 /			
						SANBORN , JOHN C.		36-00094 /			
						SANBORN , JOHN C.		36-00095 /			
						SANBORN , JOHN C.		36-00096 /			
07S	13E	2	NE	SE		GOSSI , MARILYN L.	A36-00076A / 1.0	- /14.0	23.0		
						LAUGHLIN , CRAIG J.	A36-00051D /12.0	- /			
						GOSSI , PHILLIP C.	A36-04033 /	36-04033 /			
						DAHLGREN , KATHARYN	A36-10199 /	- /			
						GOSSI , PHILLIP C.	A36-10260 /	- /			
						DAHLGREN , KATHARYN	A36-00051B /	- /			
						DAHLGREN , KATHARYN	A36-00054B / 4.0	- /			
						LAUGHLIN , CRAIG J.	A36-07089 /12.0	- /			
						MILDREN , MARJORIE J.	A36-00051 / 4.0	36-00051 /			
						MILDREN , MARJORIE J.	A36-00054 / 4.0	36-00054 /			
						MILDREN , WALTE E.	A36-07323 / 1.0	36-07323 / 1.0			
						LEWELLEN , ROY		36-00076 /			
TOTAL ACRES						A36-00076A	10.00	42.00	23.00		

FIELD
EXAMINER

Y

PRESUMPTION FLAG:

REMARKS:

Per field exam, flood irrigation

RECOMMENDATIONS:

TOTAL ACRES: 10.0

REVIEWED BY: See

DATE: 11-23-90

MICROFILMED
JAN 21 1993

A. AJ CLAIMED USES:

PURPOSES	MONTH/DAY	DIVERSION QUANTITY
A36-00076A IRRIGATION	FROM 03-15 TO 11-15	.480 CFS
TOTAL DIVERSION RATE/VOLUME CLAIMED		.480 CFS

B. WR RECORDED USES:

PURPOSES	MONTH/DAY	DIVERSION QUANTITY
36-00076 IRRIGATION	FROM TO	.600 CFS
TOTAL DIVERSION RATE/VOLUME CLAIMED		.600 CFS

MICROFILMED
JAN 21 1993

PRESUMPTION FLAG:

C. FIELD EXAM REQUIRED?

	DATABASE	FIELD EXAMINER
DUE TO WATER-USE DISCREPANCY? (Y/N)	N	<u>N</u>
DUE TO DIVERSION RATE DISCREPANCY? (Y/N)	Y	<u>N</u>
DUE TO PERIOD FROM/TO DISCREPANCY? (Y/N)	Y	<u>N</u>

D. PERIOD OF USE:

RECOMMEND AS CLAIMED?

Y(X) N()

RECOMMEND TO WR STANDARDS OR OTHER?

Y() N(X) Explain in remarks, below.

REMARKS:

A. DOES DIVERSION RATE CLAIMED AGREE WITH EXISTING RECORDS?

Y() N(☒)

AJ TOTAL CLAIMED DIVERSION RATE: 0.480 CFS
WR ROOT RIGHT TOTAL DIVERSION RATE: 0.600 CFS

*** IF NO or IF STATUTORY CLAIM or BENEFICIAL USE then flow measurement required. ***

** If an amount smaller than the original diversion rate is **

** claimed and an exam is NOT required, explain in remarks. **

FLOW MEASUREMENT REQUIRED?

FIELD

DATABASE EXAMINER

DUE TO STAGE OR DIVERSION RATE DISCREPANCY? (Y/N) Y

MICROFILMED
JAN 21 1993

B.

FLOW MEASUREMENT

MEASUREMENT METHOD _____, SEE ATTACHED CALCULATIONS.

C. ARE OTHER WATER RIGHTS USED? Y() N(☒) IF YES COMPLETE OVERLAP REVIEW.

OTHER RIGHTS LISTED IN CLAIM: NONE

LIST ALL RIGHTS WITH A SAME POU AND IRRIGATION USAGE:

CLAIM	SOURCE	NAME	DIV. RATE	DIV. RATE/ACRE	DIV. VOL.	DIV. VOL./ACRE
A36-00076A	BILLINGSLEY CREEK	GOSSI , MARILYN L.	0.480	0.048		
A36-10283	BILLINGSLEY CREEK	YA-TA-HAY INVESTMENTS, INC.	7.500	0.051		
A37-04366	UNNAMED STREAM	ELGAN , DOUGLAS L.	0.500	0.071		
A36-00051D	BILLINGSLEY CREEK	LAUGHLIN , CRAIG J.	0.600	0.040		
A36-00054B	SPRINGS	DAHLGREN , KATHARYN	0.050	0.012		
A36-07089	SPRINGS	LAUGHLIN , CRAIG J.	0.360	0.024		
A36-00051	BILLINGSLEY CREEK	MILDREN , MARJORIE J.	1.200	0.300		
A36-00054	SPRINGS	MILDREN , MARJORIE J.	0.400	0.100		
A36-00051B	BILLINGSLEY CREEK	DAHLGREN , KATHARYN	0.150	0.037	16.10	4.02
A36-07323	SPRING	MILDREN , WALTEN E.	0.060	0.060	4.60	4.60

LIST ALL RIGHTS WITH SAME POU BUT NOT USED FOR IRRIGATION.

CLAIM NO.	PURPOSES	NAME	MONTH/DAY	RATE	VOLUME
A36-04033	STOCKWATER	GOSSI , PHILLIP C.	FROM 01-01 TO 12-31	.020 CFS	
A36-04033	DOMESTIC	GOSSI , PHILLIP C.	FROM 01-01 TO 12-31	.040 CFS	
A36-10260	DOMESTIC	GOSSI , PHILLIP C.	FROM 01-01 TO 12-31	.040 CFS	
A36-10260	STOCKWATER	GOSSI , PHILLIP C.	FROM 01-01 TO 12-31	.020 CFS	
A36-10283	STOCKWATER	YA-TA-HAY INVESTMENTS, INC.	FROM 01-01 TO 12-31	.120 CFS	
A36-10199	DOMESTIC	DAHLGREN , KATHARYN	FROM 01-01 TO 12-31	.040 CFS	
A36-00051B	STOCKWATER	DAHLGREN , KATHARYN	FROM 04-01 TO 08-31	.020 CFS	

D.

RATE/VOLUME CALCULATIONS: (IRRIGATION)

1a. IRRIGATION RATE

ACRES 10 x 0.02 CFS/ACRE = 0.20 CFS
(Taken from p/u review)DOES AMOUNT CLAIMED EXCEED 0.02 CFS/ACRE? Y(X) N()*** IF YES ADDITIONAL WATER USE FORM FILED AND ATTACHED? Y() N(X) ***

2. IRRIGATION CONSUMPTIVE USE

CLAIMED CONSUMPTIVE USE = 30.0 AF/A

ACRES 10 x CONSUMPTIVE VOL. AF/A FOR AREA 3.0 =
TOTAL CONSUMPTIVE USE 30.0 AF/A

3. IRRIGATION DIVERSION VOLUME

CLAIMED DIVERSION VOLUME = AF/A

ACRES 10 x DIVERSION VOL. AF/A FOR AREA 4.0 =
TOTAL DIVERSION VOLUME 40.0 AF/A

4. CALCULATIONS FOR USES OTHER THAN IRRIGATION:

SEE ATTACHED SHEET? Y() N()

REMARKS: (Include limitations on CONSUMPTIVE USE for combined rights.)

1b. CALCULATIONS

See attached Irrigation
analysis sheet —
Analysis exceeds claimed
Rate — Therefore Recommend
claimed rate and identify
no ditch loss.
— Standard Hagerman Remark

MICROFILMED

JAN 21 1993

RECOMMENDATIONS:

REC. PURPOSES	REC. PERIOD FROM TO	REC. DIV RATE CFS	REC. DIV VOL. AF/A	REC. CONS. USE
IRRIGATION	03-15 11-15	DATABASE 0.480 EXAMINER <u>0.48</u>	DATABASE ~~40.0~~ EXAMINER <u>30.0</u>	DATABASE 30.0 EXAMINER <u>30.0</u>
TOTAL FOR THIS RIGHT		0.480 <u>0.48</u>		30.0 <u>30.0</u>

REVIEWED BY: SC DATE: 11-23-90

per Remarks of WR

$$\text{WR proportional Rate} = \frac{0.60 \text{ cfs}}{11.75 \text{ Ac.}} = 0.05 \text{ cfs/Ac.}$$

See attached irrigation analysis sheet for basis of recommendation

MICROFILMED

JAN 21 1993

A. CHECK ONLY THE FOLLOWING THAT APPLY:

OWNERSHIP _____

FORFEIT/ABANDON _____

ADDITIONAL DIV. AMOUNT _____

ACCEPTED
AS CLAIMED()
()
()NEEDS
REVIEW()
()
()

B. SPLIT WATER RIGHT REVIEW

ROOT WATER RIGHT NUMBER: 36-00076

TOTAL DIVERSION RATE OF WR SPLITS

DATABASE
0.600EXAMINER

CFS

SPLITS:

OLD RIGHT
NUMBERSCURRENT
CLAIM NUMBERSDIVERSION
RATECURRENT "R"
CLAIM NUM."R" DIV.
RATEWR RIGHT
NUMBERSDIVERSION
RATE

A36-00076

A36-00076A

0.480

-

36-00076

0.600

TOTAL CFS

0.480

0.600

DIVERSION RATE REVIEW

DO THE SUM CFS RATE OF THE SPLITS EQUAL THE ROOT RIGHT CFS RATE? (Y/N)
IF NO, is the written review sheet attached? (Y/N)DATABASE
NFIELD
EXAMINEROK

REMARKS:

AUTHENTICATION:

SIGNATURE: Steve [Signature]DATE: 11-23-90

NAME OF FIELD EXAMINER: _____

TITLE: _____

MICROFILMED
JAN 21 1993

R36 00076A

INITIALS

##

DATE _____

$$\underline{7 \mid 30 \mid 92}$$

**PRESUMPTION
CODE**

FOI

John Bell Litch

SHR

0.48

DOZ

0.124 cfs

RVN.

0-20

Revised Conv. Loss Table
of 8/4/92

Add Water District 36A
Delete Diversion volume.

MICROFILMED

JAN 21 1993

CONVEYANCE LOSS - WORSTELL

REACH	LENGTH (FT)	AVE WIDTH (FT)	SEEPAGE (FT/DAY)	WORSTELL LOSS (CFS)	DELIVERY (CFS)	DELIVERY LEFT (CFS)	LOSS FACTOR	DELIVERY LOSS (CFS)	FLOW (CFS)	
1	300	4.0	2.00	0.03	0.12	1.81	0.0168	0.00	2.22	-89 A, B, C, D
2	200	4.0	2.00	0.02	0.22	1.69	0.0120	0.01	2.07	-151 B4
3	1500	4.0	2.00	0.15	0.24	1.47	0.1031	0.03	1.83	-10283 A
4	600	3.0	2.00	0.05	0.38	1.23	0.0370	0.06	1.43	-71 A
5	600	3.0	2.00	0.05	0.21	0.85	0.0535	0.05	1.01	-51 A+B
6	500	3.0	2.00	0.04	0.16	0.64	0.0592	0.05	0.75	-51 E+C
7	1000	3.0	2.00	0.08	0.48	0.48	0.1579	0.21	0.56	-76 A
8				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00	
9				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00	
10				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00	
11				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00	
12				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00	
13				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00	
14				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00	
15				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00	
16				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00	
17				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00	
18				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00	
19				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00	
20				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00	
TOTALS	4700			0.41	1.81			0.41		

REACH = SECTION OF CHANNEL
 LENGTH = LENGTH OF REACH, THE CHANNEL CAN BE DIVIDED INTO EQUAL LENGTH REACHES
 OR ACTUAL LENGTHS BETWEEN DELIVERY POINTS
 AVE WIDTH = AVERAGE TOP WIDTH OF REACH
 SEEPAGE = SOIL SEEPAGE RATE OF REACH
 WORSTELL LOSS = CONVEYANCE LOSS IN REACH BASED ON WORSTELL METHOD
 DELIVERY = TOTAL DELIVERIES IN REACH
 DELIVERY LEFT = SUM(DELIVERIES OF CURRENT AND REMAINING REACHES)
 LOSS FACTOR = WORSTELL LOSS/DELIVERY LEFT
 DELIVERY LOSS = SUM(LOSS FACTORS OF CURRENT AND PREVIOUS REACHES) X DELIVERY,
 REPRESENTS THE LOSSES ASSIGNED TO THE DELIVERIES IN THE REACH
 FLOW = TOTAL FLOW AT BEGINNING OF EACH REACH

JOHN BELL

DITCH

8-4-92

See

MICROFILMED

JAN 21 1993

Conveya:

11-2-65
0.006 65
9

Curran
12, 1900


19, 250
12, 200
18

Sandy 1
13,500

13011 111
23,000

MICROFILMED

JAN 21 1993



P. Goss,
Field Exam
7-24-70
101 acres/total

7 ACRES

P/D

RECORDED
1972 JUN 11

DECREED RIGHT SPLIT ANALYSIS

PARENT RIGHT NO. 36-00076 TOTAL DIVERSION RATE 0.60 PREPARATION DATE 7/30/92 HHT

CLAIM PORTION	CLAIMED RATE	RECOMMENDED RATE	IS CLAIMED RATE EXCEEDED BY ANALYSIS	CONVEYANCE LOSS	DOES DIV RATE + CALC. C.L. EXCEED CLAIMED RATE	TOTAL RECOMMENDED DIV. RATE
<u>A</u>	<u>0.48</u>	<u>0.48</u>	<u>Y</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0.48</u>
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

A portion only.

No conveyance loss - analysis exceeds claim.

MICROFILMED

JAN 21 1993

IRIGATION ANALYSIS WORKSHEET

CLAIM NO. A36-00076A
 DATE 7-27-92
 AGENT KKC

CLAIMANT/SYSTEM DESCRIPTION GOSI
 IRRIGATION SYSTEM ----- Flood
 ANALYSIS METHOD ----- BORDER / FURROW
 TYPE OF CROP ----- Pasture
 MAXIMUM PROFILE DEPTH ----- 36" INCHES
 INTAKE FAMILY ----- 2.0

SOIL TYPE	<u>KEC</u>	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	SYSTEM TOTAL
ACRES PER TYPE	<u>10</u>	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	<u>10</u> ACRES
SOIL PROFILE WHC	<u>3.68</u>	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	
DESIGN IRR " WHC	<u>1.84</u>	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	

CROP REQUIREMENT:

REPORT AREA & FIGURE NO. ----- Bliss 10-8

DAILY CROP REQUIREMENT:

6.144 (MM/DAY) / 25.4 (FACTOR IN MM/IN) ----- .25 IN/DAY

THEORETICAL ROTATION:

1.84 DESIGN IRR / .25 CROP REQ = 7.36 $\approx$ 8 DAYS

ACTUAL ROTATION PRACTICED:

DRY DAYS 0 + IRR DAYS 4 = 4 DAYS

DESCRIPTION OF FIELD:

AVERAGE LENGTH RUN ----- 335
 TOTAL WIDTH OF FIELD ----- 1300
 CHECK _____ L * 1300 W / 43,560 = 10 ACRES
 % SLOPE ----- .04

SET TIME:

24 HOUR/DAY / 6 SET HOURS/DAY ----- TIME FACTOR 4

BORDER WIDTH: 14 = 81.2 $\approx$ 81'

1300 WIDTH / 8 ROTATION DAYS / 4 TIME FACTOR 40.6 $\approx$ 41'

FURROW / CORRUGATION WIDTH ----- N/A

MICROFILMED

JAN 21 1993

GRADED BORDER EVALUATION

Job name: GOSSI
By: KKC

Location: A36-00076A
Date: Jul 27, 1992

Roughness coefficient (n)= 0.250 Intake family (If)=2.00
Slope (S)= 0.0400 ft/ft Border width (W)= 81 ft
Net application (Fn)= 1.84 inches Border length (L)= 335 ft
Inflow time (Ti)= 6 hours, 0 minutes

Flow per Border (cfs)	Unit Flow (cfs/ft)	Gross Application (in)	Deep Percolation (in)	Runoff (in)	Application Efficiency (percent)	Notes
0.20	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.22	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.24	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.26	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.28	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.30	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.32	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.34	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.36	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.38	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.40	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.42	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.44	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.46	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.48	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.50	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.52	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.54	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.56	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.58	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				

Evaluation based upon the supplied data of a 4 day rotation.

Analysis indicates a diversion rate requirement greater than requested. Claimant also indicated that they sometimes receive more water than their right. A second analysis based upon a theoretical rotation requirement of 8 days (attached) also indicates the need for diverting more than the claimed diversion rate. Therefore I recommend the claimed diversion rate.

KKC.

MICROFILMED

JAN 21 1993

GRADED BORDER EVALUATION

Job name: GOSSI
By: KKC

Location: A36-00076A
Date: Jul 27, 1992

Roughness coefficient (n)= 0.250 Intake family (If)=2.00
Slope (S)= 0.0400 ft/ft Border width (W)= 41 ft
Net application (Fn)= 1.84 inches Border length (L)= 335 ft
Inflow time (Ti)= 6 hours, 0 minutes

Flow per Border (cfs)	Unit Flow (cfs/ft)	Gross Application (in)	Deep Percolation (in)	Runoff (in)	Application Efficiency (percent)	Notes
0.20	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.22	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.24	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.26	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.28	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.30	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.32	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.34	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.36	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.38	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.40	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.42	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.44	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.46	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.48	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.50	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.52	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.54	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.56	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.58	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				

MICROFILMED

JAN 21 1993

CONVERSATION MEMO

FILE: A36-00076AAGENT: KKCDATE: 72

RE:

irr practicesNAME OF PARTY: Phillip Gossie 837-4827info from Marilyn Gossie 7-27-92 007rotation 4 days/irr. change water 6 hrsRuno = $\frac{1}{8}$ mi to $\frac{1}{4}$ mi runThe main ditch enters prop on South east corner.Feeds ditch then run west then North then NW across prop. another main feeds ditch branches off the above ditch & run generally west.The property is approx 800' wide east to west (irr Ph). The second ditch is estimated to run 500' giving a total of 1300 ft for main ditch field width giving a 335' average length of run for 10 acres of irr.The Ph is at the end of the ditch & she runs on a first/come situation for irrigation water. Occasionally she gets "flooded out." The 4 days to irr normally include extra water.

MICROFILMED

JAN 21 1993