

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM.

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39576

Ident. Number: A36-00034B
Date Received: 8/05/1988
Receipt No: S001303
Received By: lw

COPY

FOR YOUR FILES
DO NOT RETURN

NOTICE OF CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

1. Name: ROGER SHAFFER 208-837-4724
Address: BOX 123
HAGERMAN, ID 83332

2. Date of Priority: MAR 30, 1884

3. Source: BILLINGSLEY CREEK Trib. to: SNAKE RIVER

4. Point of Diversion:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	County
07S	13E	11	SE	NE			GOODING

5. Description of diverting works:
VIA BELL DITCH, 3 HP PUMP, SPRINKLER

6. Water is used for the following purposes:

Purpose	From	To	C.F.S.	(or)	A.F.A.
IRRIGATION	03/15	11/15	0.020		

7. Total Quantity Appropriated is:
0.020 C.F.S. (and/or) A.F.A.

8. Total consumptive use is 3.0 Acre Feet Per Annum.

9. Non-irrigation uses:

10. Place of Use:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	Use	Acres
07S	13E	27	NW	NE		IRR	1.0

Section Acres 1.0

Total Acres 1.0

A36-00034B

Page 1

Date: 08/05/88

MICROFILMED
OCT 11 1994

11. Place of use in counties: GOODING

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? YES

13. Other Water Rights Used:

SEE REMARKS

14. Remarks:

PORTION OF 36-0034 DECREE.

15. Basis of Claim: DECREED

Case Number:

Court :

Decree date:

Decree Plaintiff

vs

Decree Defendant

MICROFILMED

JAN 11 1994
OCT 11 1994

16. Signature(s)

(a.) By signing below, I/We acknowledge that I/We have received, read and understand the form entitled "How you will receive notice in the Snake River Basin Adjudication." (b.) I/We do _____ do not ☒ wish to receive and pay a small annual fee for monthly copies of the docket sheet.

Number of attachments: _____

For Individuals:

I/We do solemnly swear or affirm that the statements contained in the foregoing document are true and correct.

Signature of Claimant(s): Bruce Sheffer Date: Aug. 5, 1988

Date: _____


State of Idaho)
County of T. F.) SS.

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) before me this 5th day
of August 19 88

Lon White
Notary Public

Seal

Residing at T. F.
My Commission Expires 5/99



Bell Rapids
(Submerged)

Buckeye Ranch

Millet
Island

Dolman
Rapids

Dolman
Island

Power Plant

Power Plant

MICROFILMED
OCT 11 1994

A36-00003B	A36-00003C	A36-00003E	A36-00003F	A36-00003G	A36-00003J	A36-00006	A36-00007	A36-00008	A36-00021B
A36-00022	A36-00023	A36-00033F	A36-00034B	A36-00035J	A36-00039C	A36-00039D	A36-00039E	A36-00039J	A36-00039M
A36-00039N	A36-00041A	A36-00041C	A36-00042A	A36-00048A	A36-00049	A36-00050D	A36-00051D	A36-00055AF	A36-00055BC
A36-00060	A36-00061	A36-00062	A36-00063	A36-00064B	A36-00068	A36-00069	A36-00070	A36-00071A	A36-00075
A36-00077C	A36-00079	A36-00086C	A36-00089A	A36-00090A	A36-00090F	A36-00092	A36-00094A	A36-00096A	A36-00097
A36-00098	A36-00099	A36-00100	A36-00101	A36-00103C	A36-00103F	A36-00106	A36-00108A	A36-00108B	A36-00110A
A36-00110B	A36-00111A	A36-00111B	A36-00112A	A36-00112B	A36-00113H	A36-00113J	A36-00119	A36-00120	A36-00121
A36-00123A	A36-00123E	A36-00133	A36-00134A	A36-00134C	A36-00135B	A36-00135C	A36-00141	A36-00192	A36-02002
A36-02038	A36-02169	A36-04091	A36-04142	A36-04260	A36-07071	A36-07081	A36-07089	A36-07092A	A36-07142
A36-07142A	A36-07172	A36-07229	A36-07233	A36-07346	A36-07658	A36-07875	A36-08099	A36-08317	A36-10055
A36-10119	A36-10120	A36-10140	A36-10141	A36-10168	A36-10231	A36-10249	A36-10283	A36-10283B	A36-10405
A36-10520	A36-10906	A36-15166	A36-15168	A36-15240	A36-15463				

TOTAL RECORDS IN SELECTION FILE: 116

MICROFILMED
OCT 11 1994

RECEIVED
FEB 10 1993
Department of Water Resources

1 HEPWORTH, NUNGESTER & LEZAMIZ,
2 CHARTERED
3 133 Shoshone St. North
4 P.O. Box 389
5 Twin Falls, ID 83303-0389
6 Telephone: (208)734-7510
7 Attorneys for Claimant
8 (PPK\HAGERMAN.NOA\lmr)
9

10 IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
11 STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS
12

13 * * * * *

14 In Re the General Adjudication)
15 of Rights to the Use of Water)
16 from the Snake River Basin)
17 Water System)

Case No. 39576

18 _____)
19 The State of Idaho, ex rel.)
20 R. Keith Higginson in his)
21 official capacity as director)
22 of the Idaho Department of)
23 Water Resources,)

NOTICE OF APPEARANCE

Petitioner,)

vs.)

24 United States; State of Idaho;)
25 and all claimants to the use)
26 of water from the Snake River)
27 Basin Water System,)

Respondents.)
_____)

28 * * * * *

29 PLEASE BE NOTIFIED that the firm of Hepworth, Nungester
30 & Lezamiz, Chartered, hereby appears on behalf of Hagerman Water
31

32 NOTICE OF APPEARANCE - 1
33



Hepworth,
Nungester,
& Lezamiz

MICROFILMED
OCT 11 1994

1 Users, Inc. and its individual members:

2	Anderson, Anita G.	A36-00050D
	Babington Lynn J. & Kathy	A36-00003C
3	Banbury Pipe Co., Inc.	A36-10906
	Banbury Pipe Co., Inc.	A36-02038
	Big Bend Irrigation & Mining Co.	A36-00192
4	Big Bend Irrigation & Mining Co.	A36-00133
	Big Spring Water User's Assoc.	A36-00022
5	Big Springs Water User's Assoc.	A36-00023
	Big Springs Water User's Assoc.	A36-15166
6	Blastock, Alma E.	A36-00108B
	Bolduc, Mark E.	A36-00103C
7	Boyer, Dale E. & Elaine M.	A36-00079
	Brailsford, Robert H.	A36-04260
	Brooks, Allen L. & Andree	A35-00060
8	Brooks, Allen L. & Andree	A36-07346
	Bybee, Gertrude B. Owsley	A36-00042A
9	Bybee, Gertrude B. Owsley	A36-00041C
	Candy, Walter W. & Margaret	A36-00135B
10	Candy, Walter W. & Margaret	A36-00134A
	Clouser, Doris H. & John F.	A36-00090A
11	Clouser, Doris H. & John F.	A36-00089A
	Crandlemire, Roger C. & George C.	A36-00113H
12	Crandlemire, Roger C. & George C.	A36-00112B
	Crandlemire, Roger C. & George C.	A36-00111B
	Crandlemire, Roger C. & George C.	A36-00113J
13	Crandlemire, Roger C. & George C.	A36-00110B
	Crandlemire, Roger C.	A36-00134C
14	Crandlemire, Roger C.	A36-00135C
	Crandlemire, Roger C.	A36-10140
15	Crandlemire, Roger C.	A36-10141
	DeVries, Abraham & Hermina	A36-00055AF
16	Dice, Philip F. & Judith A.	A36-00041A
	Dice, Philip F. & Judith A.	A36-10405
	Erwin, Frank C. & Peggy	A36-00092
17	Fleming, Michael J. & Paul F.	A36-00064B
	Flint, William E. and Vera C.	A36-00110A
18	Flint, William E. and Vera C.	A36-00111A
	Flint, William E. and Vera C.	A36-00112A
19	Flint, William E. and Vera C.	A36-10168
	Fuell, Keith L. & Jolene	A36-00086C
20	Gardner, Barbara & Robert B.	A36-00003B
	Gardner, Barbara	A36-00003J
21	Gisler, Harry R.	A36-00021B
	Gisler, Bradley	A36-00077C
	Glauner, Frank L. & Joan	A36-00039E
22	Grigg, Mattie P. & Golden T.	A36-10283B
	Gustafson Family Trust/Gustafson	A36-00033F
23	Ivan J. & Lucille co-trustees	
	Hagerman Gun Club	A36-00100



Hepworth,
Nungester,
& Lezamiz

MICROFILMED
OCT 11 1994

1	Hagerman Gun Club	A36-00099
	Hagerman Gun Club	A36-07233
2	Hagerman Gun Club	A36-07172
	Hagerman Gun Club	A36-10249
3	Hagerman Water Users Assoc.	A36-15168
	Jensen, Alice	A36-00055BC
	Johnson, Ira L. & Huguette M.	A36-07142
4	Joint Hagerman School Dist 233	A36-15463
	Jones, John W., Jr.	A36-00068
5	Jones, John W., Jr.	A36-00069
	Jones, John W., Jr.	A36-07071
6	Jones, John W., Jr.	A36-00070
	Kipp, Rudy B. & Elberta M.	A36-10119
7	Kipp, Rudy B. & Elberta M.	A36-10120
	Kipp, Rudy B. & Elberta M.	A36-07658
	Kiser, John & Karl Woodall & Sons, Inc	A36-00071A
8	Kiser, John & Karl Woodall & Sons, Inc	A36-00075
	Korbis, George J. & Nellie W.	A36-00039N
9	Laughlin, Craig J. & Rita D.	A36-7089
	Laughlin, Craig J. & Rita D.	A36-00051D
10	Littlefair, Larry & Tara	A36-0121
	Littlefair, Larry & Tara	A36-10055
11	Littlefair, Larry & Tara	A36-00119
	Littlefair, Larry & Tara	A36-00120
12	Lloyd, Cleave C.	A36-04142
	Lloyd, Cleave C.	A36-00003G
	McFaddan, Dan H. & Irma R.	A36-2169
13	McFaddan, Dan H. & Irma R.	A36-00048A
	McFaddan, Dan H. & Irma R.	A36-00049
14	Morris, Howard & Rhonda	A36-00063
	Morris, Howard & Rhonda	A36-00061
15	Morris, Howard & Rhonda	A36-00062
	Mueller, Manfred	A36-00035J
16	Norwood Subdivision/ Ruby Springs Water Company	A36-15240
	Potter, Mark B. & Susan H.	A36-07092A
17	Rawson, Frank S. & Carol A.	A36-00039J
	Reese, Bruce W. & LaRose A.	A36-00123A
18	Reese, Bruce W. & LaRose A.	A36-04091
	Rue, James O. & Beverly Jane	A36-10231
19	Shaffer, Roger	A36-07142A
	Simcoe, Doyt H. & Roxie J.	A36-00034B
20	Simpson, Michael, on behalf of Josephine Simpson Estate	A36-00003F
	Simpson, Michael, on behalf of Josephine Simpson Estate	A36-0123E
21	Strange, Wm. (Penelope Turner-remainder man)	A36-0096A
22	Strange, Wm. (Penelope Turner-remainder man)	A36-00006
	Strange, Wm. (Penelope Turner-remainder man)	A36-00007
	Strange, Wm. (Penelope Turner-remainder man)	A36-00008
23	Veenendaal, Dick J.	A36-00108A
	Veenendaal, Dick J.	A36-00039C



Hepworth,
Nungester,
& Lezamiz

NOTICE OF APPEARANCE - 3

MICROFILMED
OCT 11 1994

1	Vernon, Edith L.	A36-00003E
	Walmsley, Fred J. & Susan K.	A36-00039D
2	Ward, John P. & Rush, Ruth E.	A36-00094-A
	Ward, John P. & Rush, Ruth E.	A36-00090F
3	Watkins, Paul E. & Inez S.	A36-00106
	Watkins, Paul E. & Inez S.	A36-08099
4	Wiens, M.J., Jr.	A36-07229
	Wiens, M.J., Jr.	A36-07081
	Wiens, M.J., Jr.	A36-80317
5	Wiens, M.J., Jr.	A36-00098
	Wiens, M.J., Jr.	A36-00097
6	Wiens, M.J., Jr.	A36-02002
	Wiens, M.J., Jr.	A36-00101
7	Wiens, M.J., Jr.	A36-00141
	Wiens, M.J., Jr.	A36-10520
8	Woolley, Max J. & Blanche	A36-00039M
	Ya-Ta-Hay Investments, Inc.	A36-10283A
	Ya-Ta-Hay Investments, Inc.	A36-07875
9	Zink, Basil Lester & Myrle Hyde	A36-00103F

10 the respondents in the above-entitled action, as counsel of record
 11 for said Hagerman Water Users, Inc. and said individuals.

12 DATED This 9 day of February, 1993.

13 HEPWORTH, NUNGESTER & LEZAMIZ

14
 15 By 
 16 PATRICK D. BROWN
 Attorneys for Respondents

17
 18 CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

19 The undersigned, a resident attorney of the State of
 20 Idaho, with offices at 133 Shoshone St. North, P.O. Box 389, Twin
 21 Falls, Idaho 83303, and one of the attorneys for the respondents
 22 in this matter, certifies that she caused to be mailed a copy of
 23 the above and foregoing-NOTICE OF APPEARANCE on the 9 day of



Hepworth,
 Nungester,
 & Lezamiz

MICROFILMED
OCT 11 1994

1 February, 1993, to:

2 Snake River Adjudication
3 District Court
4 P.O. Box 27070
5 Twin Falls, ID 83303-0126

6 Clive Strong
7 Chief Natural Resources Division
8 Office of Attorney General
9 Statehouse
10 Room 210
11 Boise, Idaho 83720

12 David Shaw
13 Adjudications Bureau Chief
14 Department of Water Resources
15 1301 North Orchard
16 Boise, Idaho 83706

17 United States Department of Justice
18 Environment and Natural Resources Division
19 550 West Fort Street, Box 33
20 Boise, ID 83734

21 
22 Patrick D. Brown



Hepworth,
Nungester,
& Lezamiz

NOTICE OF APPEARANCE - 5

MICROFILMED
OCT 11 1994



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

1301 North Orchard Street, Statehouse Mail, Boise, Idaho 83720-9000

Phone: (208) 327-7900 FAX: (208) 327-7866

CECIL D. ANDRUS
GOVERNOR

R. KEITH HIGGINSON
DIRECTOR

March 1, 1993

Patrick D. Brown
Hepworth, Nungester and Lezamiz
133 Shoshone St. N.
P.O. Box 389
Twin Falls, ID 83303-0389

Re: Notice of Appearance

Dear Mr. Brown:

We received your Notice of Appearance on behalf of Hagerman Water Owners, Inc. and its individual members on February 10, 1993. The records listed on the notice were updated with some exceptions.

Claim numbers with "A" prefixes identify claims filed in the SRBA. Claim numbers without prefixes identify recommendations in the Director's Report. The following table identifies claimants for which you filed a notice of appearance, but the number you referred to is actually the identification of the recommendation. They have been updated with the notice information.

Claimant's Name	Recommendation No. (no "A" prefix)
Bybee, Gertrude B. Owsley	36-00042A 36-00041C
Candy, Walter W. & Margaret	36-00135B
Crandlemire, Roger C.	36-00134C 36-00135C
Gustafson Family Trust/Gustafson, Ivan J. & Lucille co-trustees	36-00033F
McFaddan, Dan H. & Irma R.	36-00048A
Muller, Manfred	36-00035J
Zink, Basil Lester & Myrle Hyde	36-00103F

MICROFILMED
OCT 11 1994

The following is a list of claims that have been updated with the notice information, however, there is a discrepancy between IDWR records and the notice list (see third column).

Claimant's Name as listed on Notice of Appearance	As Claimed/ As Recommended	Claim No. or Claimant's Name in IDWR's Records
Anderson, Anita G.	A36-00050D/36-00050D	Andersen (name spelling is different)
Brailsford, Robert H.	A36-04260/36-04260	A36-04260B/36-04260B (claim was split--"B" suffix)
Brooks, Allen L. & Andree	A35-00060	A36-00060/36-00060 (Basin 36 instead of Basin 35)
Crandlemire, Roger C. & George C.	A36-00113J/36-00113J	Crandlemire, Roger C. (George C. not listed as claimant)
Gisler, Harry R.	A36-00021B/36-00021B	A36-00021/36-00021 (claim was renumbered)
Glauner, Frank L. & Joan	A36-00039E/36-00039E	Jean instead of Joan
Gustafson Family. Trust/Gustafson, Ivan J. & Lucille co-trustees	A36-00011C/36-00033F	Gustafson, Ivan J. (not listed as a trust)
Johnson, Ira L. & Huguette M.	A36-07142/36-07142	Johnson, Ira L. (Huguette M. not listed as claimant)
Joint Hagerman School Dist. 233	A36-15463/36-15463	Original claim & IDWR records show school dist. as #3.
Jones, John W., Jr.	A36-00068/36-00068 A36-00069/36-00069 A36-07071/36-07071 A36-00070/36-00070	Jones, John W. Jr. & Deloris D. (Deloris D. is included as a claimant)

MICROFILMED
OCT 11 1994

Claimant's Name as listed on Notice of Appearance	As Claimed/ As Recommended	Claim No. or Claimant's Name in IDWR's Records
Mueller, Manfred	A36-00034D/36-00035J	Muller (name spelling is different in IDWR records)
Strange, Wm. (Penelope Turner- remainder man)	A36-00006/36-00006 A36-00007/36-00007 A36-00008/36-00008	Strange, Wm. & Louise (Louise is included as a claimant, Penelope Turner is not in records)
Veenendaal, Dick J.	A36-00108A/36-00108A A36-00039C/36-00039C	Veenendaal, Dick J. & Sigrid E. (Sigrid E. is included as a claimant)
Wiens, M. J., Jr.	A36-80317	A36-08317/36-08317 (no. was transposed on notice)
Wiens, M. J., Jr.	A36-00098/36-15384	36-15384 (claim was combined with no. 36-00141 into no. 36- 15384)
Wiens, M. J., Jr.	A36-00141/36-15384	36-15384 (claim was combined with no. 36-00098 into no. 36- 15384)

The following claims have not been updated with the notice information because of the discrepancies listed in the third column.

Claimant's Name	Claim No. as listed on Notice of Appearance	Discrepancy Between Notice of Appearance and IDWR Records
Hagerman Gun Club	A36-00100/36-00100 A36-00099/36-00099 A36-07233/36-07233 A36-07172/36-07172 A36-10249/36-10249	Owners are listed as Bob Porter and Fred Surbaugh

MICROFILMED
OCT 11 1994


Mr. Patrick D. Brown
March 1, 1993
Page 4

Claimant's Name	Claim No. as listed on Notice of Appearance	Discrepancy Between Notice of Appearance and IDWR Records
Ya-Ta-Hay Investments, Inc.	A36-07875/36-07875	No record of this claim no., nor any other claim no. for claimant other than A36-10283A/36-10283A (as listed)

Please review the claimants and claim numbers and let me know if you have any questions or problems with the claims as listed in IDWR's records. Also, please review the claims in the last table. We need to solve these discrepancies before IDWR's records are updated with the notice information. My phone number is 327-5444.

Please note we have received your supplemental and second supplemental notices of appearance and are currently working to update those records. We will inform you if there are any discrepancies.

Sincerely,


JODI I. SCHULTZ
Legal Assistant
Idaho Department of Water Resources

cc: IDWR Southern Region

MICROFILMED
OCT 11 1994

RECEIVED

DEC 08 1998

Department of Water Resources
Southern Region

RECEIVED

DEC 07 1998

Department of Water Resources
Adjudication Bureau

1998 DEC -2 PM 1:57

DISTRICT COURT-SRBA
TWIN FALLS CO., IDAHO
FILED

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

In Re SRBA

Case No. 39576

) Subcase 36-00034B

) Amended

) SPECIAL MASTER'S REPORT

FINDINGS OF FACT

A notice of claim was filed for this water right pursuant to I.C. § 42-1409 (1990). The director of the State of Idaho Department of Water Resources examined the water system for areas 1, 2, and 3 and investigated and abstracted the notices of claims for those Reporting Areas. The Director of the Idaho Department of Water Resources then filed a *Director's Report* which asserted how the elements of this water right should be decreed by the court.

An objection to the *Director's Report* for water right 36-00034B was filed. Subsequently, a *Standard Form 5* was filed as to how the elements of this water right should be decreed. The *Standard Form 5* was accepted by the court.

After the *Standard Form 5* was filed, the court issued a *Special Master's Report and Recommendation for Partial Decree*. Subsequently, a *Motion to Alter or Amend* was filed by the North Snake Ground Water District (NSGWD) after which the court issued an *Order Granting, in Part, and Denying, in Part, Motions to Alter or Amend* (Mar. 17, 1998), adopted herein by reference. In that order, the court determined that the *Standard Form 5* would be treated as a Supplemental Director's Report to which all parties to the SRBA could object. No parties filed objections.

Furthermore, NSGWD was required to file objections to those portions of the Supplemental Director's Report to which it took exception, or, in the alternative, it was required to file a voluntary dismissal of the action under I.R.C.P. 41(a)(1). NSGWD did not file either an objection or voluntary dismissal. All time for filing objections has expired.

MAIL

AMENDED SPECIAL MASTER'S REPORT



CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

IT IS THEREFORE RECOMMENDED that water right 36-00034B be decreed as recommended in the attached *Partial Decree Pursuant to I.R.C.P. 54(b)*.

DATED December _____, 1998.



FRITZ X. HAEMMERLE
Special Master, Snake River Basin Adjudication

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

In Re SRBA)
)
Case No. 39576)
_____)

PARTIAL DECREE PURSUANT TO
I.R.C.P. 54(b) FOR

Water Right 36-00034B

1998 DEC -2 PM 1:57
DISTRICT COURT-SRBA
TWIN FALLS CO., IDAHO
FILED

NAME AND ADDRESS: ROGER SHAFFER
BOX 123
HAGERMAN, ID 83332

SOURCE: BILLINGSLEY CREEK TRIBUTARY: SNAKE RIVER

QUANTITY: 0.03 CFS

PRIORITY DATE: 03/30/1884

POINT OF DIVERSION: T07S R13E S11 NWSENE Within Gooding County

PURPOSE AND PERIOD OF USE:	PURPOSE OF USE	PERIOD OF USE	QUANTITY
	Irrigation	03-15 TO 11-15	0.03 CFS

PLACE OF USE: Irrigation Within Gooding County
T07S R13E S27 NWNE 1.0
1.0 Acres Total

RULE 54(b) CERTIFICATE

With respect to the issues determined by the above judgment or order, it is hereby CERTIFIED, in accordance with Rule 54(b), I.R.C.P., that the court has determined that there is no just reason for delay of the entry of a final judgment and that the court has and does hereby direct that the above judgment or order shall be a final judgment upon which execution may issue and an appeal may be taken as provided by the Idaho Appellate Rules.

Daniel C. Hurlbutt, JR.
Presiding Judge
Snake River Basin Adjudication



1998 DEC -2 PM 1: 57
DISTRICT COURT-SRBA
TWIN FALLS CO., IDAHO
FILED

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

In Re SRBA)

Case No. 39576)
_____)

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

Water Right(s): 36-00034B

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I certify that a true and correct copy of the SPECIAL MASTER'S REPORT, SPECIAL MASTER'S RECOMMENDATION FOR PARTIAL DECREE and NOTICE OF ISSUANCE OF SPECIAL MASTER'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION were mailed on December 02, 1998, with sufficient first-class postage prepaid to the following:

DIRECTOR OF IDWR
PO BOX 83720
BOISE, ID 83720-0098

STATE OF IDAHO
Represented by:
CLIVE STRONG
NATURAL RESOURCES
DEP AG OFFICE OF ATTY GEN
STATEHOUSE MAIL
BOISE, ID 83720-0010
Phone: 208-334-2400

NORTH SNAKE GROUNDWATER DIST
Represented by:
JOSEPHINE P. BEEMAN
PO BOX 1427
BOISE, ID 83701-1427
Phone: 208-388-8900

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

PAGE 1
12/02/98

1998 DEC -2 PM 1: 57

DISTRICT COURT-SRBA
TWIN FALLS CO., IDAHO

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

In Re SRBA)
)
Case No. 39576)
)
_____)

NOTICE OF ISSUANCE OF
SPECIAL MASTER'S
RECOMMENDATION

Water Right(s): 36-00034B

On December 02, 1998, Special Master FRITZ X HAEMMERLE issued a SPECIAL MASTER'S RECOMMENDATION for the above subcase(s) pursuant to SRBA Administrative Order 1 (AO1), Section 13a.

Pursuant to SRBA Administrative Order 1, Section 13a, any party to the adjudication including parties to the subcase, may file a Motion to Alter or Amend on or before the 28th day of the next month.

Failure of any party in the adjudication to pursue or participate in a Motion to Alter or Amend the SPECIAL MASTER'S RECOMMENDATION shall constitute a waiver of the right to challenge it before the Presiding Judge.

DATED December 02, 1998.



TERI HRANAC
Deputy Clerk

RECEIVED

MAR 02 1998

Department of Water Resources
Southern Region

NOTICE OF DIRECTOR'S REPORT FOR AMENDED CLAIM NO. 36-00034B

Attached to this notice is the Idaho Department of Water Resources' (IDWR) Director's Report in the Snake River Basin Adjudication (SRBA) for the amended claim listed above. IDWR provides individual reports like this one to claimants amending their claims or asserting late claims in your area. In addition this notice is reported on the Court's monthly docket sheet. The originals of both this notice and the director's recommendation of this amended claim are available at the SRBA Courthouse in Twin Falls for review by any water right claimant, other party to the SRBA, or other member of the public. Copies are also available for review at the locations listed at the end of this notice. Copies of the notice and recommendation can be made, but you may be charged for copying and mailing.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR REVIEWING THIS WATER RIGHT CLAIM

The water right description that is attached to this notice is only IDWR's recommendation to the SRBA Court as to how this claim should be decreed. The claimant of this water right had previously objected to IDWR's recommendation of it. At the initial hearing on the claimant's objection, the court granted the claimant leave to file an amended claim. IDWR and the claimant have already agreed that the amended claim should be decreed as described in the attached recommendation. You are free to agree or disagree with IDWR's recommendation. If you disagree with the recommendation, you need to file an objection to it, as described below. If someone else files an objection to this recommendation, and you disagree with the objection, you may file a response to the objection, as described below.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR TAKING THESE WATER RIGHT CLAIMS TO COURT

What do I do if I disagree with IDWR's recommendation of this amended claim?

If you disagree with any element of the recommendation of this water right claim and want to be heard in court, file an objection with the SRBA Court. Objections must be made on the standard objection form available from any IDWR office or from the SRBA Court. You must use a separate objection form for each water right you object to. According to the court order entered by the SRBA Special Master who granted the claimant leave to file this amended claim, your objection must be received by the SRBA Court by no later than 60 days after this notice appears on the monthly court docket sheet.

What do I do if someone else objects to this water right recommendation?

If you are the claimant of this water right and somebody else objects to it, you will receive a copy of the objection. If you are not the claimant, but wish to know if somebody else has objected, you must contact the SRBA Court after the objection deadline to find out if objections have been filed. If somebody has filed an objection, you may file a response to the objection. Responses to objections must be made on the standard response form available from any IDWR office or from the SRBA Court. According to the court order entered by the SRBA Special Master who granted the claimant leave to file this amended claim, your response must be received by the SRBA Court by **no later than 90 days after this notice appears on the monthly court docket sheet.** (This is 30 days after the due date for filing objections.)

What happens if there are no objections to this water right recommendation?

After the deadline for filing objections, if no objections have been filed to this water right recommendation, the Special Master will issue his recommendation to the SRBA Presiding Judge as to how this amended claim should be decreed. A partial decree will be issued after the Special Master has made his recommendation to the Presiding Judge.

How will I know about the proceedings on water right recommendations to which objections were filed?

If you file either an objection or a response to an objection to this water right recommendation you will mailed a notice of upcoming court dates. If you are the claimant of this water right claim, and somebody else has filed either an objection or a response, you also will be mailed a notice of upcoming court dates.

NOTE: The SRBA Court publishes a monthly Docket Sheet listing all objections and responses filed. It does not list court dates for individual water right cases, but provides general information helpful to all participants in the SRBA.

The Docket Sheet is available at your county courthouse and all IDWR offices, or you may subscribe by contacting the SRBA Court or IDWR. The annual subscription fee is \$7.50.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

If you have questions about the SRBA, public information brochures are available at any IDWR office. Maps and aerial photography of your reporting area, as well as assistance in using the maps and the photography, are available at the IDWR office in your area. You are also welcome to call IDWR at any of its offices or the SRBA Court. You may also want to consider contacting an attorney to assist you. Copies of this notice and IDWR's attached recommendation are available for inspection and copying at any of the locations listed on the following page.

Snake River Basin Adjudication

District Court

253 Third Avenue North

P.O. Box 2707

Twin Falls, Idaho 83303-2707

Telephone (208) 736-3011

Idaho Department of Water Resources

Southern Region

1341 Fillmore Street Suite 200

Twin Falls, Idaho 83301-3380

Telephone (208) 736-3033

Idaho Department of Water Resources

Western Region

2735 Airport Way

Boise, Idaho 83705-5082

Telephone (208) 334-2190

Idaho Department of Water Resources

Eastern Region

900 North Skyline Suite A

Idaho Falls, Idaho 83402-3653

Roger Shaffer

Box 123

Hagerman, ID 83332

Office of Attorney General

State of Idaho

P.O. Box 44449

Boise, ID 83711-4449

**Clive Strong, Chief Natural
Resources Div.**

Minidoka County Courthouse

Minidoka County Clerk's Office

715 G Street

Rupert, ID 83350

Telephone (208) 436-9511

Idaho Department of Water Resources

State Office

1301 North Orchard Street

P.O. Box 83720

Boise, Idaho 83720-0098

Telephone (208) 327-7900

(800) 451-4129

Idaho Department of Water Resources

Northern Region

1910 Northwest Blvd. Suite 210

Coeur d'Alene, Idaho 83814-2615

Telephone (208) 765-1450

U.S. Dept. of Justice

Environment & Natural Resources Div.

550 West Fort Street, MSC 033

Boise, ID 83724

Josephine Beeman

608 West Franklin Street

P.O. Box 1427

Boise, ID 83701-1427

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
RECOMMENDED WATER RIGHTS ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAWDATE: FEB-23-1998
PAGE: A-1

RIGHTS FROM: SOURCE: BILLINGSLEY CREEK TRIBUTARY: SNAKE RIVER

RIGHT NUMBER: 36-000348

NAME AND ADDRESS: ROGER SHAFFER
PO BOX 123
HAGERMAN ID 83332

SOURCE: BILLINGSLEY CREEK TRIBUTARY: SNAKE RIVER

QUANTITY: 0.03 CFS
~~0.02~~ ~~0.02~~

PRIORITY DATE: 03/30/1884

POINT OF DIVERSION: T07S R13E S11 NWSENE Within GOODING County

PURPOSE AND

PERIOD OF USE:	PURPOSE OF USE	PERIOD OF USE	QUANTITY
	IRRIGATION	<u>Irrigation Season</u>	<u>0.03</u> CFS
		~~03/13~~ ~~11/13~~	~~0.02~~ ~~0.02~~

PLACE OF USE: IRRIGATION Within GOODING County
T07S R13E S27 NWE 1
1 ACRES TOTALCONSUMPTIVE USE: ~~3.0~~ AFY

OTHER PROVISIONS NECESSARY FOR DEFINITION OR ADMINISTRATION OF THIS WATER RIGHT:

~~WATER IS DELIVERED THROUGH BELL DITCH.~~EXPLANATORY MATERIAL: BASIS OF CLAIM - DECREE
WATER IS DELIVERED THROUGH BELL DITCH.

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
RECOMMENDED WATER RIGHTS ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

DATE: NOV-14-1997

PAGE: A-1

RIGHTS FROM: SOURCE: BILLINGSLEY CREEK TRIBUTARY: SNAKE RIVER

RIGHT NUMBER: 36-00034B

NAME AND ADDRESS: ROGER SHAFFER
PO BOX 123
HAGERMAN ID 83332

SOURCE: BILLINGSLEY CREEK TRIBUTARY: SNAKE RIVER

QUANTITY: 0.03 CFS
0.02 CFS

PRIORITY DATE: 03/30/1884

POINT OF DIVERSION: T07S R13E S11 NWSENE Within GOODING County

PURPOSE AND PERIOD OF USE:	PURPOSE OF USE	PERIOD OF USE	QUANTITY
	IRRIGATION	<u>Irrigation Season</u>	<u>0.03</u> CFS
		03/13 11/13	0.02 CFS

PLACE OF USE: IRRIGATION Within GOODING County
T07S R13E S27 NWNE 1
1 ACRES TOTAL

CONSUMPTIVE USE: 3.0 AFY

OTHER PROVISIONS NECESSARY FOR DEFINITION OR ADMINISTRATION OF THIS WATER RIGHT:

WATER IS DELIVERED THROUGH BELL DITCH.

EXPLANATORY MATERIAL: BASIS OF CLAIM - DECREE
WATER IS DELIVERED THROUGH BELL DITCH.

JAN 02 1998

Department of Water Resources
Southern Region

OCT 22 1997

DISTRICT COURT-SRBA
TWIN FALLS CO., IDAHO
FILED

In Re SRBA

SUBCASE(S) 36-00034 B

Case No. 39576

SPECIAL MASTER'S REPORT

DATED

October 24, 1997

Special Master

Snake River Basin Adjudication

OCT 22 1997

DISTRICT COURT-SRBA
TWIN FALLS CO., IDAHO

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

In Re SRBA

Subcase(s) 36-00034B

STANDARD FORM 5

Case 39576

This form is used to report the stipulated elements of a water right acquired under state law and/or a federal reserved water right. Submission of this form will not automatically result in the issuance of a partial decree. The Presiding Judge or Special Master will conduct any hearing necessary to determine whether the facts, data, expert opinions and law support the issuance of a partial decree for the water right.

The parties agree that the Snake River Basin Adjudication Court has jurisdiction of the parties and subject matter to enter a partial decree for this water right; that they have been served with sufficient process, according the law; and that they have appeared, prosecuted, and defended their positions with regard to this water right dispute.

The parties and IDWR agree and stipulate that the element of this water right should be described per the attached. The parties and IDWR have further indicated their concurrence by initialing each of the attached pages.

Date: 10/22/97

Charles W. Honsinger Ryanne Brown

IDWR Concurrence

Roger Shaffer

Claimant

Roger Shaffer

Objector

Claimant/Attorney

Objector/Attorney

Claimant/Attorney

Objector/Attorney

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
RECOMMENDED WATER RIGHTS ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAWDATE: OCT-16-1997
PAGE: A-1

RIGHTS FROM: SOURCE: BILLINGSLEY CREEK TRIBUTARY: SNAKE RIVER

RIGHT NUMBER: 36-000348

NAME AND ADDRESS: ROGER SHAFFER
PO BOX 123
HAGERMAN ID 83332

SOURCE: BILLINGSLEY CREEK

TRIBUTARY: SNAKE RIVER

QUANTITY: 0.02 CFS
0.03

PRIORITY DATE: 03/30/1884

POINT OF DIVERSION: T07S R13E S11 NWSENE Within GOODING County

PURPOSE AND
PERIOD OF USE: PURPOSE OF USE
IRRIGATIONPERIOD OF USE
Irrigation Season
03/19 11/19QUANTITY
0.02 CFS
0.03PLACE OF USE: IRRIGATION Within GOODING County
T07S R13E S27 NWNE 1
1 ACRES TOTAL

CONSUMPTIVE USE: 3/0 AFY

OTHER PROVISIONS NECESSARY FOR DEFINITION OR ADMINISTRATION OF THIS WATER RIGHT:

WATER IS DELIVERED THROUGH BELL DITCH.

EXPLANATORY MATERIAL: BASIS OF CLAIM - DECREE

WATER IS DELIVERED THROUGH BELL DITCH.

RECOMMENDATION

OCT 22 1997

SPECIAL MASTER

RB
C.2.H.
B.S.

RECEIVED

JAN 02 1998

Department of Water Resources
Southern Region

OCT 22 1997

DISTRICT COURT-SRBA
TWIN FALLS CO., IDAHO
FILED

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

In Re SRBA

Subcase 36-00034B

(Insert water right number)

Case 39576

STANDARD FORM 4

MOTION TO FILE:

☐ LATE NOTICE OF CLAIM

☒ AMENDED NOTICE OF CLAIM

INSTRUCTIONS

This form is used to file a late Notice of Claim or an amendment to a Notice of Claim in a reporting area where the Director's Report has been filed. Forms may be obtained from the SRBA Court, the Idaho Department of Water Resources (IDWR), or you may copy or reproduce this blank form. If you copy or reproduce this form, please copy on both sides with the even-number pages upside down.

The water right number for your late or amended claim must be indicated above in the blank space following "Subcase." You must use a separate form for each late or amended Notice of Claim.

This form has been adopted by the court in *SRBA Administrative Order 1, Rules of Procedure* (amended Sept. 30, 1996), Section 4, which may be consulted for further information.

By filing a *Motion to File a Late Notice of Claim* or a *Motion to File an Amended Notice of Claim*, you certify that it is well-grounded in fact; is warranted by existing law or a good-faith argument for the extension, modification or reversal of existing law; and is not filed for any improper purpose, such as to harass, cause unnecessary delay, or needlessly increase the cost of litigation.

☒ I have read *SRBA Administrative Order 1, Rules of Procedure* (amended Sept. 30, 1996).

My name is Roger Shaffer

My address is P.O. Box 123

Hagerman, Idaho 83332-0123

My phone number is: Work 837-4777 Home 837-4724

I am an attorney representing _____

My name is _____

My address is _____

My phone number is _____

MOTION TO FILE A LATE NOTICE OF CLAIM

A Motion to File a Late Notice of Claim will require a hearing before the Presiding Judge.

☐ I did not file a Notice of claim to the use of water in the SRBA because:

☐ I filed a Notice of Claim and elected to defer judicial proceedings on my domestic or stock watering use. I desire to reinstate my Notice of Claim for domestic or stock watering use.

☐ I am providing legal and factual documentation for my claimed use of water. You must describe these documents and attach a readable copy. (You do not need to fill this out if you are reinstating a Notice of Claim for domestic or stock watering use, but you must attach a copy of your Notice of Claim.)

I have attached:

- ☐ A fully completed Notice of Claim (available from IDWR).
- ☐ A claim filing fee for a domestic and stock watering use. IDWR may charge this fee pursuant to I.C. § 42-1414. To determine this amount, contact IDWR at (800) 451-4129. I have enclosed a check payable to: State of Idaho Department of Water Resources in the amount of \$ _____.
- ☐ A claim filing fee and a late claim fee for those claims other than a domestic or stock watering use for which a notice of claim was not filed. To determine this amount, contact IDWR at (800) 451-4129. I have enclosed a check payable to: State of Idaho Department of Water Resources in the amount of \$ _____.

Follow these instructions regarding the hearing on this motion.

1. Contact the Clerk of the SRBA Court (208-736-3011) to request a hearing date and time.
2. Fill in the following information:

This motion will be heard on _____
(Insert Date and Time)

at the SRBA courthouse, 253 Third Avenue North, Twin Falls, Idaho.

MOTION TO FILE AN AMENDED NOTICE OF CLAIM

A Motion to File an Amended Notice of Claim may require a hearing before the Presiding Judge or Special Master or may be granted by leave of the court or by written consent of the parties and the Idaho Department of Water Resources. Leave to amend a claim shall be freely given when justice so requires.



I must amend my Notice of Claim to the use of water in the SRBA because:

I claimed .02 CFS in error and wish to
amend my claim as .03 CFS.

VERIFICATION (Must be completed)

State of Idaho

County of Lewin Falls

Roger Shaffer, being first duly sworn, upon oath, deposes and says:
(Insert name of person filing form)

That I am the party/claimant filing this motion for late or amended claim (as listed on Page 2) as defined by I.C. §§ 42-1401A(1) and (7) or that I am the attorney for the party/claimant filing this motion for late or amended claim and that I have read this motion, know its contents, and believe that the statements are true to the best of my knowledge.

Roger Shaffer
Signature of person filing motion

Attorney signing in representative capacity

Subscribed and sworn to before me on Oct 22, 1997
(Insert Date)

Debbie Lash
Notary Public for State of Idaho
Residing at: Jerome
My Commission expires: 2-26-2002

INSTRUCTIONS FOR MAILING

You must mail this form, including all attachments, to the Clerk of the SRBA Court. FAX filings will not be accepted by the SRBA Clerk of the Court. You must also send a copy to all the parties listed below in the Certificate of Mailing.

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
RECOMMENDED WATER RIGHTS ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAWDATE: OCT-16-1997
PAGE: A-1

RIGHTS FROM: SOURCE: BILLINGSLEY CREEK TRIBUTARY: SNAKE RIVER

RIGHT NUMBER: 36-000348

NAME AND ADDRESS: ROGER SHAFFER
PO BOX 123
HAGERMAN ID 83332

SOURCE: BILLINGSLEY CREEK TRIBUTARY: SNAKE RIVER

QUANTITY: 0.02 CFS
0.03

PRIORITY DATE: 03/30/1884

POINT OF DIVERSION: T07S R13E S11 NWSNE Within GOODING County

PURPOSE AND PERIOD OF USE:	PURPOSE OF USE	PERIOD OF USE	QUANTITY
	IRRIGATION	<u>Irrigation Season</u>	0.02 CFS
		03/18 11/18	0.03

PLACE OF USE: IRRIGATION Within GOODING County
T07S R13E S27 NWNE 1
1 ACRES TOTAL

CONSUMPTIVE USE: 310 ACRY

OTHER PROVISIONS NECESSARY FOR DEFINITION OR ADMINISTRATION OF THIS WATER RIGHT:

WATER IS DELIVERED THROUGH BELL DITCH.EXPLANATORY MATERIAL: BASIS OF CLAIM - DECREE
WATER IS DELIVERED THROUGH BELL DITCH.RB
C.2.H.
B.S.

OCT 22 1997
DISTRICT COURT-SRBA
TWIN FALLS CO., IDAHO
FILED

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

In Re SRBA

Case No. 39576

Subcase(s) 36-00034 B

ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO
FILE AN AMENDED NOTICE OF
CLAIM

A Motion to File an Amended Notice of Claim was filed on October 22, 1997, a hearing was held before the Special Master. Leave to amend a claim shall be freely given when justice so requires. For good cause appearing, the Motion to File an Amended Notice of Claim is granted.

DATED October 22, 1997

Fritz X. Haemmerle
FRITZ X. HAEMMERLE

Special Master

Snake River Basin Adjudication

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a true and correct copy of the ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO FILE AN AMENDED NOTICE OF CLAIM was hand delivered on October 22, 1997, to the following:

Roger Shaffer

Charles Honsinger

Mr. Albers

Deputy Clerk


IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

36-00034B



660 0 660 Feet

TNS 7S, RNG 13E
SEC 27, NWNE



⊙ Recommended Point of Diversion

□ Recommended Place of use

— Section lines

□ Quarter/Quarter Lines

GIS PLACE OF USE PRESENTATION
1987 and/or 1988 NAPP PHOTOGRAPHY
DATA ENTRY OCTOBER 1997
BY STEVE CLELLAND
** Revised 10-29-97 **

HAGERMAN

Surface Irrigation Diversion Rate Analysis Sheet

WR#'s 36-00034B

SOIL	SOIL AC.	% ROW	ROW AC.	FIR ROW	CFS ROW	% FOR.	FOR. AC.	FIR FOR.	CFS FOR.
KOB	1.10					100	1.10	0.027	0.030
TOTALS									0.030

REC.
FIR

0.03 *

CFS

=

SUM

0.030

CFS

X

1

REC. AC.

GIS AC.

1.10

DATE 10/22/97

INIT. JA

*ADD CONVEYANCE LOSS (IF APPLICABLE) FOR
TOTAL IRRIGATION DIVERSION REQUIREMENT

DIVERSION CAPACITY OR LIC./DEC. AMOUNT MAY LIMIT

**IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
SURFACE IRRIGATION ANALYSIS WORKSHEET**

WATER RIGHT NOS. 36-00034B

The following information is submitted to the Idaho Department of Water Resources to allow it to form an opinion regarding the amount of water needed to irrigate my fields using a surface water irrigation system.

My fields were historically irrigated using a surface water system: ☒ Yes ___ No

My typical cropping pattern, based upon the percentage of my total acreage, is as follows:

FORAGE/GRAIN/OTHER (hay, pasture, grain, mint)

100 %

ROW CROPS (beans, potatoes, sugar beets, corn)

 %

My total acreage is 1 acres.

Boque Shepper
Signature of Claimant

10/22/97
Date

Signature of Claimant

Date

Brianne Brown
Signature of IDWR agent


10/22/97
Date

SUBCASE NO. 36-00034B

	CLAIM (8/5/88)	AMENDED CLAIM	RECOMMENDATION	OBJECTION
NAME	Roger Shaffer	N/A	Roger Shaffer	Roger Shaffer
BASIS OF CLAIM	Decree		Decree	
SOURCE	Billingsley Creek		Billingsley Creek	
QUANTITY	0.020 cfs		0.02 cfs	XX - objection requests maximum quantity as decreed and/or beneficially used
PRIORITY DATE	3/30/1884		3/30/1884	
PURPOSE OF USE	Irrigation		Irrigation	
PERIOD OF USE	3/15 - 11/15		3/15 - 11/15 Re-formatted on 6/27/92 to: Irrigation Season	XX - requests year round. MSJ requests Irrigation season
POINT OF DIVERSION	T07S R13E S11		T07S R13E S11	
PLACE OF USE	T07S R13E S27 1.0 acres		T07S R13E S27 1.0 acres	
remarks	"Portion of 36-00034 decree."		"Water is delivered through Bell Ditch." Re-formatted on 6/27/96 moving remarks to explanatory material.	MSJ requests that all remarks be deleted.

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

**36-00034B
SHAFFER**



660 0 660 Feet

TNS 7S, RNG 13E, SEC 27 NWNE



⊙ RECOMMENDED POINT OF DIVERSION



RECOMMENDED PLACE OF USE



SECTION LINES &/OR TRACT LINES



QUARTER/QUARTER LINES

GIS PLACE OF USE PRESENTATION
1987 and/or 1988 NAPP PHOTOGRAPHY
DATA ENTRY OCTOBER 1997
BY DOUGLAS JONES

CLAIM NUMBERS 36-00034BDATE 10/7

TRANSFER NUMBER _____

OBJECTION FILED _____

AGENT DFTOTAL ACRES FROM RECOMMENDATION 1GEOGRAPHIC INFO SYSTEM 1

RIGHT NO				NE				NW				SW				SE			
	TNS	RNG	SEC	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE
RECOM	75	13E	27		1														1
GIS					1.1														1
RECOM																			
GIS																			
RECOM																			
GIS																			
RECOM																			
GIS																			

UPDATED

POLYGON # _____ UPDATED

B36-DBPOU.DBF ✓

POLYGON CREATED ON 604 (✓)

ATTRIBUTES OF B36-POU.SHP

577 10/15/97B36-DBPOD.DBF ✓

ATTRIBUTES OF B36-POD.SHP

455Bell Ditch

P/U CONFLICT yes () no (X)

P/D CONFLICT yes () no (X)

READY FOR DECREE yes () no ()

SENT FOR DECREE yes () no ()

MEMORANDUM

TO: Claim Files, see below
FROM: Douglas Jones, Water Rights Supervisor, Adjudication Bureau
DATE: July 12, 1996
RE: "706" reports submitted to court

In response to an order from the court, resulting from the Motions for Summary Judgement filed on the subcases, IDWR has prepared the following documents:

- 1) DIRECTOR'S SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT
- 2) REPORT REGARDING QUANTIFICATION OF SMALL DOMESTIC AND STOCKWATER USES
- 3) REPORT REGARDING CONSUMPTIVE USE IN THE HAGERMAN AREA
- 4) REPORT REGARDING EVALUATION OF IRRIGATION DIVERSION RATES
- 5) HAZEN, W; GIBSON, G; NEIBLING, H; CROP WATER REQUIREMENTS IN THE HAGERMAN VALLEY; IN A REPORT TO HAGERMAN WATER RIGHT OWNERS ASSOCIATION
- 6) GUIDELINES FOR THE EVALUATION OF IRRIGATION DIVERSION RATES ("HUBBLE REPORT") IN A REPORT TO IDWR
- 7) EVALUATION WORKBOOK FOR IRRIGATION DIVERSION RATES
- 8) AFFIDAVITS FROM:
 - a. David B. Shaw
 - b. Steve Clelland: As to investigation of claims in the Hagerman area
 - c. Helen Harrington-Thornton: Regarding investigation of claims in the Hagerman area
 - d. Marci Sterling
 - e. Jeff Peppersack
 - f. David R. Tuthill, Jr
 - g. Carter Fritschle: Regarding planimeter measurements of claims 36-00064B and 36-00079
- 9) REPORTS REGARDING ... (each specific claim, 24 in all)

Submittals 1-8 can be referenced in the file cabinet section titled "SPECIAL REPORTS" which follows the irrigation claims within Basin 36. The reports regarding specific rights can found in the file jacket for that right.

CLAIMS

36-00003A	36-00003C	36-00003E	36-00003F	36-100034B
36-00035J	36-00039C	36-00039E	36-00039J	36-00039N
36-00047	36-00061	36-00062	36-00063	36-00064B
36-00079	36-00085A	36-00086C	36-00092	36-00103F
36-00106	36-00108B	36-10283B	36-10409	

MICROFILMED

DEC 04 1996

1996 JUL -1 PM 3:16

DISTRICT COURT-SRBA
TWIN FALLS CO., IDAHO
FILED _____

REPORT REGARDING CLAIM TO WATER RIGHT NO. 36-00034B

In Re SRBA

Twin Falls County Civil Case No. 39576

Sub-Case No. 36-00034B

Report to the SRBA District Court

Prepared by the Idaho Department of Water Resources

Karl J. Dreher, Director

David B. Shaw, Adjudication Bureau Chief

David R. Tuthill Jr., Acting Adjudication Bureau Chief

July 1, 1996

COPY

MICROFILMED
DEC 04 1996

REPORT REGARDING CLAIM TO WATER RIGHT NO. 36-00034B

The Director of the Idaho Department of Water Resources (IDWR) submits this report regarding claim to water right no. 36-00034B in response to the request of Special Master Haemmerle, pursuant to Idaho Code §42-1412(4) and the court's Order Re: Contested Claims for Amounts Claimed in Excess of .02 CFS. This report was prepared under the supervision of Karl J. Dreher, Director, David B. Shaw, Adjudication Bureau Chief, and David R. Tuthill Jr., Acting Adjudication Bureau Chief for IDWR. The following persons assisted in the preparation of the following portions of this report:

David B. Shaw, Adjudication Bureau Chief, reviewed and verified this Report Regarding Claim to Water Right No. 36-00034B. The Affidavit of David B. Shaw describes Mr. Shaw's education and work experience, and is incorporated by reference herein. Mr. Shaw also reviewed IDWR's Report Regarding Evaluation of Irrigation Diversion Rates, described below.

Jeff Peppersack, Adjudication Engineer, prepared and verified IDWR's Report Regarding Evaluation of Irrigation Diversion Rates, which is incorporated by reference herein. The Affidavit of Jeff Peppersack describes Mr. Peppersack's education and work experience, and is incorporated by reference herein. Mr. Peppersack also reviewed IDWR's Report Regarding Consumptive Use for Irrigation in the Hagerman Area, described below.

David R. Tuthill Jr., Acting Adjudication Bureau Chief, prepared IDWR's Report Regarding Consumptive Use for Irrigation in the Hagerman Area, which is incorporated by reference herein. Mr. Tuthill also reviewed this Report Regarding Claim To Water Right No. 36-00034B. The Affidavit of David R. Tuthill Jr. describes Mr. Tuthill's education and work experience, and is incorporated by reference herein.

Steven C. Clelland, Senior Water Resource Agent, investigated and prepared the preliminary recommendation for claim no. 36-00034B. The Affidavit of Steven C. Clelland describes Mr. Clelland's education and work experience, and is incorporated by reference herein. The affidavit also includes a general description of his investigation of claims in the Hagerman area.

I. INVESTIGATION AND RECOMMENDATION OF CLAIMS GENERALLY

IDWR's general methodology for the investigation and recommendation of claims is described in Appendix A, which is incorporated herein by reference.

II. WATER RIGHT NO. 36-00034B

A. Basis of Claim

Claim no. 36-00034B was filed with IDWR by Roger Shaffer on August 5, 1988.¹ The claim is to a portion of one of five rights decreed to Edna N. Clifford and others in the *New International Mortgage* decree, entered in 1932.² The water rights decreed to the Cliffords are numbered in IDWR's files as water right nos. 36-00033, 36-00034, and 36-00035, 36-00036 and 36-00037. Water right no. 36-000033 is to water from Weatherby Springs; the other four are to water from Billingsley Creek, each diverted through a different ditch; water right no. 36-00034 is decreed for delivery through the Bell ditch. All were decreed for use on the same lands, and all were decreed "for irrigation, domestic, power and other purposes." These rights were claimed by and recommended to various claimants; all except 36-00036 involve numerous splits. The remainder of right no. 36-00034 was recommended as right nos. 36-00034E and 36-00034F, neither of which are contested.

B. Name and Address of Claimant

The name and address of the claimant set forth in the claimant's motion for summary judgment is the same as recommended in the director's report.

C. Source of Water

The source of water set forth in the claimant's motion for summary judgment is Billingsley Creek. The source of water is described in the director's report as Billingsley Creek tributary to Snake River.

The director's report includes the body of water to which the source of the right is tributary for each water right in the director's report, except for rights to ground water. IDWR adopted this standard for the description of the source of water

¹ The original notice of claim is currently located in the files of the SRBA District Court, attached to IDWR's response to the claimant's objection.

² *New International Mortgage Bank v. Idaho Power Co., et al.*, Equity No. 1602 (D.S.Id. 1932), at pp. 3-4.

rights because there are so many instances, sometimes in the same administrative basin, where different surface water sources bear the same name.³

D. Date of Priority

The priority date set forth in the claimant's motion for summary judgment is the same as recommended in the director's report.

E. Point of Diversion

The legal description of the point of diversion set forth in the claimant's motion for summary judgment describes the point of diversion by 40-acre tract (SENE). The legal description of the point of diversion recommended in the director's report describes the point of diversion by 10-acre tract (NWSENE). This right is delivered through the Bell ditch.

Generally, it has been IDWR's practice to describe the point of diversion of a water right by the legal description of the 40-acre tract within which it is located, both in its recommendations in director's report in water right adjudications, and in permits, licenses, and transfers issued by IDWR. In recent years, however, IDWR has begun describing points of diversion by ten-acre tract, particularly for rights to ground water and springs, and in other instances as well. This additional specificity helps to distinguish multiple points of diversion located in the same 40-acre tract. In the present case, the description by 10-acre tract distinguishes water rights delivered through the Bell ditch (in the NWSENE) from water rights delivered through the Buckeye ditch, which is located in a different 10-acre tract within the same 40-acre tract (SESENE).⁴

F. Purpose of Use

The purpose of use stated in the claimant's motion for summary judgment is the same as recommended in the director's report (irrigation).

G. Quantity of Water Used

³ IDWR relied upon the following in reaching its conclusion: Idaho Code §42-1411(2) (which provides that the director shall determine the source of the right to the extent the director deems appropriate and proper to define and administer water rights acquired under state law).

⁴ IDWR relied upon the following in reaching its conclusion: Idaho Code §42-1411(2) (which provides that the director shall determine the point of diversion of the right to the extent the director deems appropriate and proper to define and administer water rights acquired under state law).

The quantity claimed in the notice of claim and recommended in the director's report is 0.02 cfs. The objection contests the quantity element, but does not state the quantity claimed. The claimant's motion for summary judgment states a quantity of 0.02 cfs, the same as recommended. Any further information as to the basis for IDWR's recommendation as to quantity therefore appears to be unnecessary.

H. Period of Year Water is Used for Each Purpose of Use

Both the notice of claim and director's report state a period of use of 3/15 to 11/15 for irrigation. The claimant's objection states a period of use of 1/1 to 12/31. The claimant's motion for summary judgment state the period of use as "the irrigation season." The *New International Mortgage* decree does not state a period of use for irrigation.

The recommended season of use is consistent with season of use standards for irrigation developed by IDWR for various climatic regions throughout the state, which are based on a 75% chance of a 24 degree Fahrenheit frost. In addition, the director's report included general provision 12b, which allowed use of water for irrigation outside the season of use stated in the description of the individual right subject to certain conditions. In the Memorandum Decision and Order Re: Basin-Wide Issue 5, the Presiding Judge concluded that general provision 12 is not necessary and would not be decreed. This decision further provided that "all decrees for irrigation in the SRBA shall set the period of use as the "irrigation season." Order, pp. 15-16. The district court denied a subsequent motion for permission to appeal the decision. Pending any further proceedings on the decision, any further statement of the basis for IDWR's recommendation as to the season of use for irrigation appears to be unnecessary.

I. Legal Description of the Place of Use

The legal description under item 8 in the claimant's motion for summary judgment is the same as the legal description of the place of use for irrigation recommended in the director's report. The number of acres following the legal description is the same as the number of irrigated acres per 40-acre subdivision recommended in the director's report.

J. Annual Volume of Consumptive Use

The notice of claim states an annual volume of consumptive use of 3 AFY (for one acre). The annual volume of consumptive use recommended in the director's report is the same. The claimant's objection contests the recommended consumptive

use, but does not state an amount. The claimant's motion for summary judgment states an amount of 4.5 AFY (for one acre). The Affidavit of Charles E. Brockway, submitted by the claimant in support of the motion for summary judgment, states "that 3.75 acre feet per acre per annum per acre is the amount of consumptive use that is reasonable [in the Hagerman area] based upon a yield of ten tons [of alfalfa] per acre.

IDWR developed standards for annual volume of consumptive use for the various climatic areas throughout the state. The standard for the area in which the claimant's place of use is located is 3.0 AFY. IDWR's recommendation is based on this standard. The development of IDWR's standards for annual volume of consumptive use is described in IDWR's Report Regarding Evaluation of Irrigation Diversion Rate. IDWR has conducted further analysis of the annual volume of consumptive use based on further information IDWR received subsequent to its original analysis. The further analysis is described in IDWR's Report Regarding Consumptive Use for Irrigation in the Hagerman Area. Based on this further analysis, IDWR recommends an annual volume of consumptive use of 3.5 AFY per acre, for a total of 3.5 AFY for this right.

K. Conditions on the Exercise of the Right and Other Necessary Matters

The recommendation of this right in the director's report includes the following remark:

WATER IS DELIVERED THROUGH THE BELL DITCH.

The claimant's objection did not contest the inclusion of this remark. The claimant's motion for summary judgment now seeks to delete this remark.

In response to the request of the Presiding Judge, IDWR submitted IDWR's Report for September 19, 1995 Status Conference. In this report, IDWR indicated that it had reviewed its use of remarks in the description of the individual water right, both to address concerns identified by the court, and to separate explanatory material from material the Director recommends be included in the decree of water rights pursuant to the 1994 amendments to the adjudication statute.⁵ The remark identifying the common name of the ditch through which the water right is delivered is explanatory material.


⁵ See Idaho Code §42-1411 (which addresses the form and content of director's reports).

REPORT REGARDING CLAIM TO WATER RIGHT NO. 36-00034B

State of Idaho)
) ss.
County of Ada)

David B. Shaw, being first duly sworn, upon oath, deposes and says:

That I am the Adjudication Bureau Chief for IDWR, that I have read the foregoing report, know its contents, and that the statements contained therein are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.



David B. Shaw

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 15th day of July, 1996.




Notary Public for Idaho
Residing at: Boise ID
My commission expires: 11-14-1998

Certificate of Service

I certify that on the 15th day of July, 1996, I hand-delivered copies of the foregoing document, including all attachments, to the following:

Patrick D. Brown
133 Shoshone St North
Twin Falls, ID 83303



Person mailing document(s)

APPENDIX A

A. INVESTIGATION AND RECOMMENDATION OF CLAIMS GENERALLY

To promote the accuracy and consistency of water right decrees, and to minimize the burden on the court and the claimants in a water right adjudication, the state has made the specialized expertise of IDWR available through IDWR's investigation and reporting function.¹

The resources the state has made available for this function, however, are limited. IDWR has the duty to conduct a reasonable investigation within the spending authority granted by legislative appropriation. Those resources are not sufficient for IDWR to exhaustively investigate each and every potentially relevant fact regarding each and every claim and to make a conclusive determination of the water right. Rather, IDWR reviews the claim and the information already available to IDWR, and conducts such further investigation as is reasonable to determine whether there is sufficient information to support recommendation of the water right to be decreed.

To make the most efficient use of the available resources, IDWR must make determinations as to what is a reasonable level of investigation for various classes of claims prior to making a recommendation to the court regarding any particular claim. IDWR has employed two primary mechanisms to identify a reasonable level of investigation. First, the statutes set criteria and IDWR has developed standards for various types of uses, requiring less information to support a claim to water within those standards and more information to support a claim outside those standards. Second, IDWR has adopted a "tier" approach, identifying classes of claims which for various reasons merit further investigation.

Generally, with claims based on beneficial use², IDWR conducts a "licensing type" field exam, which includes confirmation of the source, point(s) of diversion, purpose(s) of use, place(s) of use, and an examination of the water delivery system and the quantity of water beneficially used. IDWR also contacts the claimant to obtain information supporting the priority date claimed. This level of examination is conducted because there has been no prior administrative or judicial

¹ Idaho Code §§ 42-1410 and 42-1411.

² Water rights based on beneficial use are sometimes referred to as constitutional rights or grandfather rights.

confirmation of the existence and extent of a water right based on beneficial use.³

Generally, for water rights that have been previously confirmed by license or decree, IDWR begins its examination by comparing the claim to information already available to IDWR. Other information includes, for example, IDWR's decree files; license, permit and transfer files; remotely sensed data, including Landsat imagery of irrigated acres and aerial photography; watermaster reports; applicable laws and IDWR's interpretation of those laws in light of the water resource policies of the state; etc. If there are discrepancies between the claim and information already available to IDWR, IDWR conducts further investigation to resolve the discrepancy, which generally includes a field exam and/or contacting the claimant for further information. Thus, where there has been a prior judicial or administrative confirmation of the existence and extent of a water right, IDWR uses information already available to IDWR to limit its review to issues identified by its discrepancy analysis.

Finally, IDWR uses both the court-ordered notice of error process⁴ and the statutory objection process to identify claims which merit further review. If this further review yields new information that supports a different description of the water right, then IDWR will revise its recommendation (in the case of a notice of error) or submit a supplemental director's report or offer a standard form 5 (in the case of an objection), consistent with the new information.⁵

Further information as to IDWR's standards and procedures for the investigation and recommendation is set forth in IDWR's Claim Investigation Manual, Field Examiner's Handbook, Administrative Memorandums, and

³ IDWR conducts a more summary investigation of beneficial use claims limited to domestic and/or stockwater use up to 0.24 cfs.

⁴ The notice of error process, as originally conceived and implemented, was limited to disagreements between the claimant and IDWR as to fairly straight-forward "errors", such as clerical errors or other readily verifiable matters. Based on its experience in the test basins, IDWR has subsequently recommended that the notice of error process be expanded to address any type of perceived error, as well as to address disagreements between claimants.

⁵ This "tiered" approach to determining a reasonable level of investigation is embodied in the statutory framework for water right adjudications. See §42-1410 (which provides for the discretion of the director in determining the level of IDWR's investigation, and allows but does not require the agency to conduct hearings), and §42-1412 (which authorizes IDWR to submit supplemental reports, and authorizes the court or the parties to request further investigation by IDWR in contested subcases). The tiered approach embodied in the statutory framework promotes efficiency and minimizes the burden on the court and the claimants by providing a report/objection process to identify disputed matters, and reserving the highest level of investigation for disputed matters.

B. METHODOLOGY TO DETERMINE IRRIGATED ACREAGE

The area of irrigated acreage can be determined by information obtained from scaled and non-scaled photographs of the place of use supplied by one of several U.S. government agencies. The use of aerial photography by the U.S. government dates back to 1937, when the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service (ASCS, now known as the Farm Service Agency, or FSA) began photographing selected counties of the United States on a repetitive basis. This coverage continues today and aerial photos are used by the ASCS (FSA) to figure acreage planted on farms for purposes of managing farm programs. Photos used by IDWR typically include: ASCS photos and 35 mm color slides⁷; NAPP photos⁸; ortho-photoquads⁹; and U2

These documents set forth general standards and procedures and do not address all possible exceptions to the general standards and procedures. In addition, as a result of its experience in the test basins, statutory changes, judicial decisions, etc., IDWR has identified refinements and revisions to its standards and procedures for the investigation and recommendation of claims that have not yet been included in these documents.

Both the photos and slides are high oblique and vertical aerial photography. The ASCS photos are scaled and have selected features, such as roads, section lines, ditches, and field acreage superimposed on them by the ASCS. The color slides are simply color slides frequently taken with hand-held 35mm equipment. IDWR staff typically obtain copies (which are not scaled) of maps for lands claimed as the place of use during the investigation of the claims, either from the claimant or from the local ASCS office. Color slides may also be checked-out from the local ASCS office as needed; IDWR sometimes obtains the color slides as they are discarded by the local ASCS office.

NAPP (National Aerial Photography Program) photos are all color-infrared aerial photography. IDWR obtained coverage for most of the Snake River Basin, including all of the test basins; the photos are primarily from flights during 1987 and 1988, though some are from 1986 or 1989. The date of the flight is shown on the photo. NAPP photography is available from the U.S. Geological Survey Earth Resources Observation Systems (EROS) data center in Sioux Falls, South Dakota.

The full name for an ortho-photoquad is "orthographic photograph quadrangle." These are black and white photoimages prepared from aerial photographs that have been rectified to eliminate image displacement due to terrain relief that cover a systematically subdivided area of latitude and longitude. IDWR obtained coverage for most of the Snake River Basin, including all the test basins; the photos are primarily from flights during the 1970's. The date of the flight is shown on the quad sheet. Most of the orthophotos were obtained from the U.S. Geological Survey National Cartographic Information Center (NCIC) in Menlo Park, California. In some areas, maps were not available from U.S.G.S. but were available from other agencies, such as the United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service.

aerial photos¹⁰.

A photographic "scale," like a map scale, is an expression that states that one unit of distance on a photograph represents a specific number of units of actual ground distance.

$$S = \text{Photo scale} = \frac{\text{Photo distance}}{\text{Ground distance}} = \frac{d}{D}$$

The scale may be expressed as unit equivalents, representative fractions, or ratios. For example, if 1 mm on a photo represents 25 m on the ground, the scale of the photo can be expressed as 1 mm = 25 m (unit equivalents), or 1/25,000 (representative fraction), or 1:25,000 (ratio).

The photo(s) used by IDWR personnel have varying scales. The ASCS photographs have map features drawn in by the ASCS. These photos are stated to be "not to scale," but do include the field acreage as determined by ASCS written on the places of use. NAPP photography is at a nominal scale of 1:40,000; U2 aerial photography is at a nominal scale of 1:120,000; and ortho-photoquads have a scale of 1:24,000.

To determine the area used, IDWR staff employed various transparent overlays, known as grid templates. These templates are available at most blueprint supply stores. One type consists of lines forming rectangles or squares of known area in the same scale that is utilized in the scaled photograph. The grid is placed over a scaled photograph and the area of a ground unit is estimated by counting grid units that fall within the unit to be measured.

Another commonly used grid is the dot grid, which is composed of uniformly spaced dots on a transparent overlay. The grid is placed over the photograph and the dots falling within the region to be measured are counted. The value assigned to each dot is dependent on the scale of the photograph. First, the number of acres per centimeter (or other measuring unit) is computed. This figure is then divided by the number of dots per measuring unit on the grid to obtain the acreage represented by each dot. For instance, a square 3.4 cm on each side containing 640 dots will cover 160 acres on a photo with a nominal

¹⁰ These photos are color-infrared aerial photography obtained by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) Airborne Instrumentation Research Project, and available from EROS. IDWR obtained coverage of most of the southern portion of the Snake River Basin, most of which was flown in 1977. In addition, because the State of Idaho was part of an ongoing project with NASA to photograph selected areas, photography from various areas in various years is also available. The date of the flight is shown on the photo.

scale of 1:24000; 640 dots divided by 160 acres equals 4 dots to the acre, thus 1 dot equals 0.25 acres.

Because of its simplicity, the grid system is a practical method to determine the size of irrigated areas; it nonetheless requires some training and experience to be used effectively.

For non-scaled photos, such as the ASCS 35 mm photos, IDWR did not directly make acreage determinations. Instead, these photos were used to confirm whether the place of use and amount of irrigated acreage had changed for that year according to common landmarks visible in the photographs.

R PROOF

CVR

MAPS

PHOTOS

MISC

MICROFILMED

SEP 11 1994

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

In Re the General Adjudication)
of the Rights to the Use of Water)
from the Snake River Basin)
Water System)
_____)

Case No. 36-00034B -0001

The State of Idaho, ex rel.)
R. Keith Higginson in his)
official capacity as Director)
of the Idaho Department of)
Water Resources,)

RESPONSE TO OBJECTION

Petitioner,)

vs.)

The United States; the State)
of Idaho; and all Claimants to)
the use of water from the Snake)
River Basin Water System,)

Respondents.)
_____)

I. GENERAL INFORMATION

1. Person responding to objection:

Name: R. KEITH HIGGINSON, DIRECTOR

Address: IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
P.O. BOX 44449
BOISE, ID 83711-4449

Briefly describe your interest in this water right:

IDWR is required to file a response to an objection to part
I of the director's report pursuant to I.C. section 42-1412(2).

(Over)

2. Person who filed objection:

Name: ROGER SHAFFER
Address: BOX 123
HAGERMAN ID 83332

3. If this response is filed by an attorney:

Attorney Name(s): NICHOLAS B. SPENCER
Attorney Address: DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL(S)
IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
P.O. BOX 44449
BOISE, ID 83711-4449

Attorney Phone No.: (208) 327-7900

II. STATEMENT OF THE RESPONSE

3. |X| Quantity: I respond to the objection concerning:
- 3a. |X| The total quantity of water used in cubic feet per second.
8. |X| Period of Year: I respond to the objection concerning the period of year when water is used or is necessary for the purpose stated.
10. |X| Consumptive Use: I respond to the objection concerning the annual volume of consumptive use.
14. |X| Other: I respond to the objection concerning:

ALL MATTERS RAISED IN THE CLAIMANT'S ANSWER,
AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES, AND PRAYER FOR RELIEF.

REASONS SUPPORTING EACH BOX CHECKED

In response to Statement of the Objection items 3 and 3.a, and all allegations of the objection regarding those items, IDWR responds as follows: (1) it recommended the amount of water it determined was being beneficially used; (2) the claimant is not entitled to any amount greater than that which is beneficially used (Idaho Code Secs. 42-104 and 42-1402); (3) the recommendation of the director to the SRBA Court as to how the right should be decreed does not constitute a taking because it is simply a recommendation and not a final determination of the right; and (4) the eventual decree by the SRBA Court of the amount of water beneficially used, even if less than the amount previously decreed, will not constitute a taking because the right is limited to that amount beneficially used.

In further response to this objection item, IDWR responds that it recommended this element of the water right as claimed.

In response to Statement of the Objection item 8, and all allegations of the objection regarding this item, IDWR responds as follows: The decree asserted by the claimant does not contain a period of use decreed for this water right. Idaho Code 42-1411(2)(g) requires the director to determine the period of use of each water right acquired under state law that is recommended in the director's report. IDWR has developed irrigation season of use standards for various climatic areas throughout the state based on a 75% chance of a 24 degree fahrenheit frost. The irrigation season of use cannot exceed the period in which water may be beneficially used. The period of the year during which this water right may be used is consistent with the standard irrigation season of use for the area in which the place of use of this water right is located. Water may be used for irrigation outside the standard season of use, subject to the conditions set forth in general provision 12b of the director's report.

In further response to this objection item, IDWR responds that it recommended this element of the water right as claimed. By now seeking a year-round season of use for irrigation, the claimant improperly seeks to use the objection process to amend the claim. A claimant must obtain leave of the court to amend a claim after a director's report is filed, just as a party must obtain leave of the court to file a late claim after the director's report is filed. Once leave of the court is granted, IDWR can investigate the amended claim and make an appropriate recommendation to the court.

(Over)

MICROFILMED
OCT 11 1994

TOP
RECEIVED

In response to Statement of the Objection item 10 and all allegations of the objection regarding this item, IDWR responds as follows: (1) The decree asserted by the claimant did not set an annual volume of consumptive use for this water right. (2) Idaho Code Sec. 42-1411(2)(i) requires the director to determine the annual volume of consumptive use for each water right acquired under state law and recommended in the director's report. (3) Idaho Code Sec. 42-1401A(2) provides that the quantification of consumptive use "is included as a rebuttable presumption in a decree only for the purpose of transfers pursuant to section 42-222, Idaho Code"; therefore, the consumptive use recommended for this water right is included solely for use in future transfer proceedings. (4) The annual volume of consumptive use recommended for this right is 3 acre feet per acre. This is the maximum volume of water consumed by the most water consumptive crop (pasture) that can be grown in the area during the period of year when water is used for irrigation, as provided for by Idaho Code Sec. 42-1401A(2). (5) The recommendation of the director to the SRBA Court as to how the right should be decreed does not constitute a taking because it is simply a recommendation and not a final determination of the right. (6) The eventual decree by the SRBA Court of the amount of water beneficially used, even if less than the amount previously decreed, will not constitute a taking because the right is limited to that amount beneficially used.

In further response to this objection item, IDWR responds that it recommended this element of the water right as claimed.

In response to Statement of the Objection item 14, and all allegations of the objection regarding this item, see attached "IDWR's Response to Answer, Affirmative Defenses and Prayer for Relief of Claimant."

In response to the sentence listed in Changes to the Director's Report, whereby the claimant attempts to "reserve the right to claim all purposes of use and quantities of use as shown by the evidence at trial," IDWR responds as follows: Insofar as this purported reservation of rights may constitute an attempt to reserve the right to amend at trial the claimant's notice of claim, the claimant improperly seeks to use the objection process to amend the claim. A claimant must obtain leave of the court to amend a claim just as a party must obtain leave of the court to file a late claim after the director's report is filed.

CHANGES TO THE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

The director proposes no changes to the description of this claim in the Director's Report.

☒ Check here if you are submitting documents. Please list each document by the title and number of pages. You may use additional pages if necessary:

	No. of Pages
Notice of Claim No. A36-00034B	4
IDWR's Response to Answer, Affirmative Defenses and Prayer for Relief of Claimant	4

(Over)


VERIFICATION (Must be Completed)

State of IDAHO

County of ADA

David B. Shaw, being first duly sworn, upon oath, deposes and says:

That R. Keith Higginson, in his official capacity as director of the Idaho Department of Water Resources, is a party to this proceeding, as defined by I.C. 42-1401A(1) and (7); that I am the Adjudication Bureau Chief for IDWR and am authorized by R. Keith Higginson, in his official capacity as director of IDWR, to verify this response; and that I have read the foregoing response, know its contents and that the statements contained therein are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.



Signature of person filing response

By:



(Attorney signing in
representative capacity)

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 9th day of September, 1993.




Notary Public for Idaho
Residing at: Boise, Idaho
My Commission expires: July 31, 1997

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that on the 10th day of SEPTEMBER, 1993, I mailed the original and copies of the foregoing response, including all attachments, to the following persons, by mailing the original and/or true and correct copies, postage prepared and addressed as follows:

1. Original to:

Clerk of the District Court
Snake River Basin Adjudication
253 Third Avenue North
P.O. Box 2707
Twin Falls, Idaho 83303-2707

2. One copy to the owner of the water right, and you must fill in the following information:

See No. 3

3. One copy to the party who filed the objection, or if represented by an attorney, to their attorney; and you must fill in the following information:

Name: HEPWORTH NUNGESTER & LEZAMIZ
Address: PATRICK D BROWN
& PENELOPE PARKER
PO BOX 389
TWIN FALLS ID 83303

4. One copy to:

United States Department of Justice
Environment and Natural Resource Division
550 West Fort Street, Box 33
Boise, Idaho 83734



Signature of Respondent or attorney
mailing on Respondent's behalf

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM.

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39576

Ident. Number: A36-00034B
Date Received: 8/05/1988
Receipt No: S001303
Received By: lw

NOTICE OF CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

1. Name: ROGER SHAFFER 208-837-4724
Address: BOX 123
HAGERMAN, ID 83332

2. Date of Priority: MAR 30, 1884

3. Source: BILLINGSLEY CREEK Trib. to: SNAKE RIVER

4. Point of Diversion:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	County
07S	13E	11	SE	NE			GOODING

5. Description of diverting works:
VIA BELL DITCH, 3 HP PUMP, SPRINKLER

6. Water is used for the following purposes:

Purpose	From	To	C.F.S.	(or)	A.F.A.
IRRIGATION	03/15	11/15	0.020		

7. Total Quantity Appropriated is:
0.020 C.F.S. (and/or) A.F.A.

8. Total consumptive use is 3.0 Acre Feet Per Annum.

9. Non-irrigation uses:

10. Place of Use:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	Use	Acres
07S	13E	27	NW	NE		IRR	1.0

Section Acres 1.0

Total Acres 1.0

A36-00034B

Page 1

Date: 08/05/88

MICROFILMED
MICROFILMED
JAN 21 1993
OCT 11 1994

11. Place of use in counties: GOODING

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? YES

13. Other Water Rights Used:
SEE REMARKS

14. Remarks:
PORTION OF 36-0034 DECREE.

15. Basis of Claim: DECREED
Case Number:
Court :
Decree date:

Decree Plaintiff

vs

Decree Defendant

MICROFILMED
OCT 11 1994
JAN 21 1993

16. Signature(s)

(a.) By signing below, I/We acknowledge that I/We have received, read and understand the form entitled "How you will receive notice in the Snake River Basin Adjudication." (b.) I/We do _____ do not ☒ wish to receive and pay a small annual fee for monthly copies of the docket sheet.

Number of attachments: _____

For Individuals:

I/We do solemnly swear or affirm that the statements contained in the foregoing document are true and correct.

Signature of Claimant(s): *Roger Sheffer* Date: *Aug. 5, 1988*
_____ Date: _____


State of Idaho)
County of *T.F.*) SS.

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) before me this *5th* day
of *August* 19 *88*

Lon White
Notary Public

Seal

Residing at *T.F.*
My Commission Expires *5/95*



IDWR'S RESPONSE TO ANSWER, AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES AND PRAYER FOR
RELIEF OF CLAIMANT

This portion of the response addresses the multi-page attachment to the objection (hereinafter "the attachment"), wherein the claimant admits or denies all sections of Parts I, II, and III of the director's report, asserts 27 affirmative defenses, and alleges a prayer for relief. The attachment contains the following sections, corresponding to the various sections of the director's report:

- (1) I. GENERAL RESPONSE
- (2) II. DESCRIPTIVE SUMMARY, (first unnumbered page of Part I of the director's report)
- (3) III. TABLE OF CONTENTS (second and third unnumbered pages of Part I of the director's report)
- (4) IV. 1. INSTRUCTIONS FOR USING THIS REPORT PART I (page 1 of Part I of the director's report)
- (5) IV. 2. SCOPE OF THE ADJUDICATION (pages 1-3 of Part I of the director's report)
- (6) IV. 3. PURPOSE OF THIS REPORT PART I (pages 3-4 of Part I of the director's report)
- (7) V. 1. BOUNDARIES OF BASIN (pages 4-6 of Part I of the director's report)
- (8) V. 2. WATER SOURCES (page 6 of Part I of the director's report)
- (9) V. 3. DEVELOPMENT OF WATER USE (pages 6-9 of Part I of the director's report)
- (10) VI. GENERAL PROVISIONS 1-8 APPLY TO ALL WATER RIGHTS IN BASIN 36 (page 9 of Part I of the director's report)
- (11) VI. General Provision 1. EFFECT OF ADJUDICATION (page 9 of Part I of the director's report)
- (12) VI. General Provision 2. GENERAL ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS (pages 9-10 of Part I of the director's report)
- (13) VI. General Provision 3. CONJUNCTIVE ADMINISTRATION (page 10 of Part I of the director's report)
- (13) VI. General Provision 4. ADMINISTRATION OF GROUND WATER RIGHTS (page 10 of Part I of the director's report)
- (14) VI. General Provision 5. ADMINISTRATION OF BASIN 36 RELATIVE TO THE SNAKE RIVER (page 10 of Part I of the director's report)
- (15) VI. General Provision 6. ADMINISTRATION OF SMALL DOMESTIC AND STOCK WATER RIGHTS (page 11 of Part I of the director's report)
- (16) VI. General Provision 7. MEASURING DEVICES AND CONTROL

MICROFILMED
OCT 11 1994

- WORKS (page 11 of Part I of the director's report)
- (17) VI. General Provision 8. FIRE FIGHTING PURPOSES (page 11 of Part I of the director's report)
 - (18) VI. General Provision 9. CONSUMPTIVE USE (page 12 of Part I of the director's report)
 - (19) VI. GENERAL PROVISION 10 APPLIES TO ALL WATER RIGHTS IN BASIN 36 SHOWN WITH DOMESTIC USE AS A PURPOSE OF USE (page 12 of Part I of the director's report)
 - (20) VI. General Provision 10. DOMESTIC USE (page 12 of Part I of the director's report)
 - (21) VI. GENERAL PROVISION 11 APPLIES TO ALL WATER RIGHTS IN BASIN 36 SHOWN WITH STOCK WATER USE AS A PURPOSE OF USE (page 13 of Part I of the director's report)
 - (22) VI. General Provision 11. STOCK WATER USE (page 13 of Part I of the director's report)
 - (23) VI. GENERAL PROVISIONS 12-16 APPLY TO ALL WATER RIGHTS IN BASIN 36 SHOWN WITH IRRIGATION AS A PURPOSE OF USE (page 13 of Part I of the director's report)
 - (24) VI. General Provision 12. IRRIGATION USE (pages 13-14 of Part I of the director's report)
 - (25) VI. General Provision 13. DIVERSION VOLUME FOR IRRIGATION (page 14 of Part I of the director's report)
 - (26) VI. General Provision 14. CONSUMPTIVE USE FOR IRRIGATION (pages 14-15 of Part I of the director's report)
 - (27) VI. General Provision 15. AMOUNT OF COMBINED WATER RIGHTS FOR IRRIGATION (page 15 of Part I of the director's report)
 - (28) VI. General Provision 16. DIVERSION RATES IN EXCESS OF .02 CFS PER ACRE (pages 15-16 of Part I of the director's report)
 - (30) VI. 17. INSTRUCTIONS FOR USE OF LIST OF RECOMMENDED WATER RIGHTS (pages A-i through A-iii of Part I of the director's report)
 - (31) VI. 18. IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES RECOMMENDED WATER RIGHTS ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW (pages A-1 through B-25 of Part I of the director's report)
 - (32) DIRECTOR'S REPORT FOR REPORTING AREA 3 (BASIN 36), (DIRECTOR'S REPORT PART II)
 - (33) DIRECTOR'S REPORT FOR REPORTING AREA 3 (BASIN 36), (DIRECTOR'S REPORT PART III)

The attachment also contains part VIII, AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES (pp. 20 through 28) and a PRAYER FOR RELIEF (untitled, p. 28).

In response to each of the items enumerated above IDWR responds that pursuant to Section 4 a.(2)(c) of SRBA Administrative Order 1, Rules of Procedure, IDWR generally denies each and every allegation, if any, contained in each enumerated portion of the attachment. IDWR affirmatively responds that neither SRBA Administrative Order 1, Rules of Procedure, nor Title 42, Chapter 14, Idaho Code, make provision for IDWR to affirm, amplify, or otherwise respond to the claimant's admissions, or to deny the claimant's denials, and that IDWR therefore does not believe that it is required to do so. Title 42, Chapter 14, Idaho Code solely defines the content and scope of the director's report. It is to contain a description of the water system and is to provide a recommendation as to each water right claimed and as to those matters necessary for administration of those water rights. Therefore, the content and scope of the objection is limited to those same matters. The attachment to the present objection asserts or otherwise raises matters not directly addressing the elements of the water right as recommended, the description of the water system, or the general provisions. Rather, the attachment raises broad issues as to the constitutional, statutory and administrative efficacy of the entire adjudication process. All such issues should be raised by means of motions to designate basinwide issues and similar procedural vehicles specifically designed to provide for basinwide notice and participation. They should not be permitted to divert the focus of any individual subcase away from the issues directly connected to the elements of the water right and the administration thereof. Therefore, because the attachment to the present objection is not within the scope of the statutory purpose of an objection it should be stricken.

However, in the event that the court should determine that all or part of the attachment is properly within the scope of the objection, IDWR further responds as follows:

IDWR affirmatively responds that all statements within the director's report are properly included therein pursuant to Title 42, Chapter 14, Idaho Code, and in particular pursuant to Idaho Code §§ 42-1411 and 42-1412(8); and that the provisions of the Idaho Rules of Civil Procedure regarding pleadings are inapplicable to the extent that they are in conflict with the statutory requirements. Therefore IDWR denies all allegations directed at the contents of the director's report.

As to the enumerated portions of the attachment addressing the listings of recommended water rights, abstracts of federal reserved water right claims, or negotiated agreements, other than the individual recommendation that is the subject of this objection, IDWR responds that such claims or agreements are not brought before this court by this objection.

MICROFILMED
OCT 11 1994

Responding to the 27 affirmative defenses asserted in the attachment, IDWR generally denies each and every affirmative defense asserted. IDWR further responds that affirmative defense numbers 1, 2, 4, 5, 10, 11, 12, 18, and 22, asserting various violations of civil or constitutional rights, fail to comply with the requirements I.R.C.P. 9(b) providing that in all averments of violation of civil or constitutional rights the factual circumstances constituting such violation must be stated with particularity. Accordingly, IDWR asserts that those affirmative defenses should be stricken.

In response to that portion of the multi-page attachment to the objection wherein the claimant asserts a prayer for relief, IDWR responds as follows:

1. IDWR denies that the director's report asserts any claims against the claimant; rather, the director's report contains only the recommendation of the director as to how the claimant's water right should be decreed and administered.
2. IDWR denies that the claimant is entitled to have the water right decreed as claimed unless in the director's report the water right has been recommended as claimed.
3. IDWR denies that any acts upon its part constitute the taking of private property for which just compensation should be allowed and awarded.
4. IDWR denies that the claimant is entitled to recover court costs and reasonable attorney fees.
5. IDWR denies that the claimant by this objection has raised any claims against IDWR entitling such claimant to any relief other than the eventual judicial determination and decree of the various elements of the claimant's water right, if any, and of those related matters necessary to describe and administer that water right.

RECEIPT
For Monies Paid
to the
IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Receipt No: 8015322

R E C E I V E D

JAN 27 1993

Department of Water Resources
Southern Region Office

Date: 1/27/1993
Time: 11:21:36

**Received
From:**

SHAFFER ROGER

Type of Payment

Program Acct Class

Amount

FEE FOR COPY OF ADJUDICATION CLAIM

64100

229 21 1760

3.61

Total

CHECK

2714

\$3.61

Region: SOUTHERN

Signature: R. Foster

MICROFILMED
OCT 11 1994



ate of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Southern Region, 222 Shoshone St. East, Twin Falls, Idaho 83301 (208) 736-3033

CECIL D. ANDRUS
Governor

R. KEITH HIGGINSON
Director

25-Jan-1993

ROGER SHAFFER
BOX 123
HAGERMAN ID 83332

RE: Copy of SRBA File(s): 36-00034B

Dear Mr. Shaffer:

Please find enclosed the copies of documents you recently requested contained in the above referenced SRBA file(s) as of this date. Please note that copies of orthophoto quad maps or NAPP photography or aerial slides which may have been used in the review of your claim(s) have not been included since they are not easily reproduced. These items are however available for your review at our office. Please also note that in some situations some water rights may have other associated files which contain privileged information between IDWR staff and our legal council which has not been copied.

Please remit the following for the cost of the copies and postage:

\$3.61

Sincerely,


Jeanie Robertson
Records Clerk

Encl:

MICROFILMED
OCT 11 1994

RECEIVED

JAN 21 1993

Department of Water Resources
Southern Region Office

(Date) January 19, 1993

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
222 SHOSHONE ST. EAST
TWIN FALLS, IDAHO 83301

Dear Idaho Department of Water Resources:

Please provide me with a copy of all information included in my file as it relates to water right number 36-00034B.

Please advise me of any documents in my file which you are not releasing to me and why they are not being released.

Please bill me for the copy charge.


Sincerely,

Roger Shaffer
(Name)
Box 123
(Address)
Hagerman, Id. 83332
(City, State, Zip Code)

cc: David B. Shaw, Adjudication Bureau Chief
Idaho Department of Water Resources
1301 North Orchard Street
Statenhouse Mail
Boise, Idaho 83720-9000

MICROFILMED
OCT 11 1994

Roger Shaffer
Box 123
Hagerman, Id. 83332



Department of Water Resources

222 Shoshone St. E.

Twin Falls Id. 83301

RECEIVED

JAN 27 1993

Department of Water Resources
Southern Region Office

RECEIVED

APR 30 1993

Department of Water Resources
Adjudication Bureau

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

In Re the General Adjudication
of Rights to the Use of Water
from the Snake River Basin
Water System

Case No. A36-00034B
(You must insert the
water right number you
are objecting to)

The State of Idaho, ex rel.
R. Keith Higginson in his
official capacity as Director
of the Idaho Department of
Water Resources,

OBJECTION

Petitioner,

vs.

The United States; the State
of Idaho; and all Claimants to
the use of water from the Snake
River Basin Water System,

36-00034B -0001/
93-04-0033

Respondents.

INSTRUCTIONS

This form must be used to file an objection to any water right recommended in the Director's Report. You may object to one water right per objection form. The water right number you are objecting to must be indicated above in the blank space following "Case No." To object to more than one water right, you must file a separate objection form for each. Forms may be obtained from the Idaho Department of Water Resources (IDWR), or you may copy or reproduce this blank form, as long as it is identical to the court's form. You must file your objection by the deadline shown in the notice that IDWR mailed to you. The objection must be received by the court on or before the deadline specified. Instructions for mailing this objection are found at Page 6, and must be strictly followed.

Any party physically filing 25 (twenty-five) or more objections must make an appointment with the Clerk of the Adjudication Court and arrange for an appointment to file multiple objections.

(Over)

MICROFILMED

OCT 11 1994

By filing an objection form, you are certifying that the objection is well-grounded in fact; is warranted by existing law or a good-faith argument for the extension, modification or reversal of existing law; and that it is not filed for any improper purpose, such as to harass, cause unnecessary delay or needless increase in the cost of litigation.

I. GENERAL INFORMATION

Please fill in the following information:

1. Person objecting:

Name: Roger Shaffer

Address: Box 123

Hagerman, Idaho 83332

Briefly describe your interest in this water right:

Priority date March 30, 1884

Decreed water right pursuant to 3/22/32

decision in New International Bank Mortgage v.

Idaho Power Company

2. Owner of the water right:

Name: Roger Shaffer

Address: Box 123

Hagerman, Idaho 83332

3. If this objection is filed by an attorney:

Attorney Name: Patrick D. Brown & Penelope Parker

Attorney Address: Hepworth, Nungester & Lezamiz

P.O. Box 389, Twin Falls, ID 83303

Attorney Phone No.: (208) 734-7510

If filed by an attorney, notice of pending court proceedings will be sent to the attorney only.

II. STATEMENT OF THE OBJECTION

Please check each "box" which states your objection to the recommendation in the Director's Report.

1. ☐ Name and Address: I object to the name and/or address of the owner of the water right.
2. ☐ Source: I object to the source of water.
3. ☒ Quantity: I object to:
 - a. ☒ the total quantity of water used in cubic feet per second; or
 - b. ☐ the total quantity of water stored in acre-feet per year; or
 - c. ☐ the total quantity of water reserved for each and every purpose, including all present and future uses.
4. ☐ Priority Date: I object to the priority date.
5. ☐ Points of Diversion: I object to the legal description of the point(s) of diversion.
6. ☐ Instream Flow: I object to the legal description of the beginning and ending points of the instream flow.
7. ☐ Purpose(s) of Use: I object to the purpose(s) of use.
8. ☒ Period of Year: I object to the period of year when water is used or is necessary for the purpose stated.
9. ☐ Place of Use: I object to:
 - a. ☐ the legal description of the place of use; or
 - b. ☐ the number of irrigated acres within each forty (40) acre subdivision.
10. ☒ Consumptive Use: I object to the annual volume of consumptive use.
11. ☐ Remarks: I object to the "remarks" listed with the water right.

(Over)

MICROFILMED
OCT 11 1994

12. ☐ Water Right Recommendation: I object to:

a. ☐ this water right being recommended at all; or

b. ☐ this water right not being recommended.

13. ☐ Description of Reservation: I object to the legal description of the reservation.

14. ☒ Other: I object to: See attached

REASONS SUPPORTING EACH BOX CHECKED

In the space following, you must state the reason(s) by number for each box checked. You may use additional pages if necessary.

See Page 7

CHANGES TO THE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Specifically describe how you want the Director's Report to be changed. You may use additional pages if necessary.

Maximum quantity: as decreed &/or beneficially used; Period

of use: 01/01 - 12/31; Consumptive use: to be changed

accordingly

Please note: You should attach copies of documents which support your objection. Note on each document the "box number" it supports.



Check here if you are submitting documents. Please list each document by the title and number of pages. You may use additional pages if necessary.

See Hagerman Water Right Owners, Inc.'s

Compendium "A"

VERIFICATION (Must be Completed)

State of Idaho

County of ~~Twin Falls~~ Gooding

Roger Shaffer

(Name of person filing objection), being first duly sworn, upon oath, deposes and says:

That I am the person or entity filing this objection, that I am a water claimant or party in this proceeding, as defined by I.C. Sec 42-1401A(1) and (7), and that I have read the foregoing objection, know its contents and that the statements contained therein are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Roger Shaffer
Signature of person filing objection

By: [Signature]

(Attorney signing in representative capacity)

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 19th day of April, 1993.

[Signature]
Notary Public for Idaho
Residing at: Hagerman, Idaho
My Commission Expires: 5-10-97

MICROFILMED

OCT 11 1994

(Over)

INSTRUCTIONS FOR MAILING

You must mail the objection, including all attachments, to the Clerk of the Court. **FAX filings will not be accepted by the Clerk of the Court.** You must also send a copy to the owner of the water right, if other than yourself; to the Office of the Attorney General, State of Idaho; and to the United States Department of Justice, as set out below in the Certificate of Mailing. Finally, you must sign the Certificate of Mailing to show that you followed these steps.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that on the 28 day of April, 1993, I mailed the original and copies of the foregoing objection, including all attachments, to the following persons, by mailing the original and/or true and correct copies, postage prepaid and addressed as follows:

1. Original to:

Clerk of the District Court
Snake River Basin Adjudication
253 Third Avenue North
P.O. Box 2707
Twin Falls, Idaho 83303-2707

2. One copy to the owner of the water right, and you must fill in the following information:

Owner name: Roger Shaffer

Owner's address: Box 123

Hagerman, Idaho 83332

3. One copy to:

Chief, Natural Resources Division
Office of the Attorney General
State of Idaho
P.O. Box 44449
Boise, Idaho 83711-4449

4. One copy to:

United States Department of Justice
Environment and Natural Resource Division
550 West Fort Street, Box 33
Boise, ID 83724



Signature of Objector or attorney
mailing on Objector's behalf

REASONS SUPPORTING EACH BOX CHECKED, page 4

Box 3, 3a and 10 - IDWR recommends an amount less than decreed and/or beneficially used. A reduction in water right would constitute a taking.

Box 8 - IDWR recommends a period of use less than decreed and/or beneficially used. A reduction in water right would constitute a taking.

CHANGES TO DIRECTOR'S REPORT, page 4

Objectors reserve the right to claim all purposes of use and quantities of use as shown by the evidence at trial.

Question 14., page 4, Other

I.

GENERAL RESPONSE

Except as specifically admitted herein, objectors deny each and every allegation contained in the Director's Report, any related or subsidiary pleadings, and/or any attachments thereto.

II.

DESCRIPTIVE SUMMARY

(DIRECTOR'S REPORT PART I)

(hereinafter DRP-I)

(DRP-I at first unnumbered page)

The objectors admit that Part I of the Director's recommendation contains some claims to water rights appropriated under state law from Reporting Area 3. The objectors deny all other averments because the objectors lack sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the averments.

III.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(DRP-I at 2nd and 3rd unnumbered pages)

The objectors admit that the Table of Contents reflects the page numbers of the referenced sections and deny all other averments based upon the objectors' lack of sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the averments and because any averment, expressed or implied, is so

MICROFILMED
OCT 11 1994

indefinite that it prevents the objectors from knowing what would be admitted or denied.

IV.

INTRODUCTION

1. INSTRUCTIONS FOR USING THIS REPORT PART I. (DRP-I at page 1)

The objectors admit that Part I consists of seven sections; that the description of the water system includes a brief description of Reporting Area 3 and an illustration of the boundaries of the reporting area; that the water rights and the list of the recommended rights are grouped alphabetically by source and listed chronologically by priority date; that the rights or claims in the list of claims/rights not recommended are listed numerically by water right number; and that brief instructions are found at the beginning of the applicable sections. The objectors deny that any description or any implication that the description of the water system or the illustrations are true and accurate due to lack of knowledge or information regarding the truth of the averment; deny that the general provisions apply to all water rights or define or limit classes of water rights; and deny that the general provisions "will affect your water right," based upon insufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the averments.

2. SCOPE OF THE ADJUDICATION. (DRP-I at page 1 - 3)

The objectors deny all averments in paragraph a., due to lack of sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the averments.

Regarding paragraph b., the objectors lack sufficient information or knowledge upon which to form a belief as to whether water rights which are not claimed in the adjudication will or will not exist upon entry of the court's decree and, therefore, deny such averment. The objectors admit that the court entered an order dated January 17, 1989, but presently lack sufficient knowledge to know whether any of the other averments concerning the court's order are true or accurate and, therefore, deny the same. The objectors deny that a right must be adjudicated before water may be distributed by the director. The objectors deny all other averments in paragraph b.

3. PURPOSE OF THIS REPORT PART I. (DRP-I at page 3 - 4)

The objectors admit that portion of the first sentence which states "This report contains the Director's recommendations to the SRBA District Court as to the nature and extent of water rights," but deny that such recommendations "are necessary for administration of water rights in Reporting Area 3," and further deny the Director has authority to "administer" such rights.

Regarding the second paragraph, the objectors admit that all water rights remain subject to the applicable law generally, but deny the remainder of the second paragraph.

Regarding the third paragraph of this section, the objectors deny all averments therein based upon lack of knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truthfulness of the averments.

V.

DESCRIPTION OF THE WATER SYSTEM: BASIN 36

1. BOUNDARIES OF BASIN. (DRP-I at pages 4 - 6)

Regarding the first paragraph of this section, the objectors deny all averments due to a lack of knowledge or information upon which to admit or deny.

Regarding the second, third and fourth paragraphs of this section, the objectors admit that as broad statements, those paragraphs are generally accurate but the statements are so broad and attenuated from any matter relevant to the objectors' water right that the objectors are unable to know what would be implicitly admitted or denied and therefore, deny the same.

With respect to the fifth paragraph of this section, the objectors lack sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of any matter averred and, therefore, deny the averments.

2. WATER SOURCES. (DRP-I at page 6)

The objectors admit that the Snake River Plain Aquifer is the source of outflows of springs located along the Snake River Canyon Wall in the Hagerman Valley. The objectors presently lack sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form an opinion as to the truth of the other averments set out in this section and, therefore, deny the same.

3. DEVELOPMENT OF WATER USE. (DRP-I at pages 6 - 9)

The objectors admit that numerous factual and historical averments are set out in this section, which may be accurate, but

these objectors have no basis for knowing whether some or all of the averments are intended to relate to the objectors' claims and, thus, are prevented from knowing what would be admitted or denied. Therefore, the averments are denied.

VI.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

GENERAL PROVISIONS 1 - 8 APPLY TO ALL WATER RIGHTS IN BASIN 36.
(DRP-I at page 9)

The objectors deny that "General Provisions 1 - 8" apply to all water rights in Basin 36 as the statements are so broad and attenuated from any matter relevant to the objectors' water rights, that the objectors are unable to know what would be explicitly admitted or denied. The objectors also specifically deny that general provisions 1-8 apply to the objectors' right.

1. EFFECT OF ADJUDICATION. (DRP-I at page 9)

With respect to paragraph a., the objectors presently have no knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of whether a future decree would supersede prior decrees, or whether unclaimed rights would cease to exist and, therefore, deny the same.

Regarding paragraph b., the objectors deny that the Director has any powers to administer water rights other than those specifically delegated by the Legislature of the State of Idaho, and which do not violate the objectors' state and federal constitutional rights, and therefore the averments are denied.

MICROFILMED
OCT 11 1994

2. GENERAL ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS. (DRP-I at pages 9 - 10)

The objectors admit paragraphs a. and b.

3. CONJUNCTIVE ADMINISTRATION (DRP-I at page 10)

The objectors deny the conjunctive administration provisions based upon the objectors' lack of sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the averments and because any averment expressed or implied is so indefinite that it prevents the objectors from fairly understanding what would be admitted or denied. Additionally, the objectors deny that the Department of Water Resources has any statutory or regulatory authority for the "conjunctive administration" as therein described.

4. ADMINISTRATION OF GROUND WATER RIGHTS (DRP-I at page 10)

The objectors deny the averments within this section.

5. ADMINISTRATION OF BASIN 36 RELATIVE TO THE SNAKE RIVER (DRP-I at page 10)

The objectors admit that the springs are hydrologically interconnected with the Snake River Aquifer, but deny all other averments based upon the objectors' lack of sufficient information or knowledge upon which to form a belief as to the averments herein. The objectors additionally deny any said averments expressed or implied because the averments are so indefinite and generalized as to prevent the objectors from fairly understanding what would be admitted or denied.

6. ADMINISTRATION OF SMALL DOMESTIC AND STOCK WATER RIGHTS (DRP-I at page 11)

The objectors admit that part of the first sentence of subparagraph a. to the effect that "small domestic and stock water rights from surface water are not subject to administration," but deny the balance of said sentence and said paragraph.

The objectors admit the first sentence of paragraph b., and deny the balance of that paragraph because the criteria set forth therein ("if the director determines that administration is necessary") is so ambiguous, indefinite and uncertain that it prevents the objectors from knowing what would be admitted or denied, and by further reason of the fact that no statutory or regulatory authority exists conferring such sweeping and unlimited authority upon the Director or the Department.

The objectors deny the averments in paragraph c. as the averments are inaccurate and because the objectors lack sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the matters averred.

7. MEASURING DEVICES AND CONTROL WORKS (DRP-I at page 11)

The objectors deny all averments contained herein as such averments are based upon an indeterminate subjective standards which are so ambiguous and indefinite as to prevent the objectors from fairly knowing what would be admitted or denied. Additionally, no statutory or regulatory authority exists conferring such sweeping and unlimited authority upon the Director or the Department as is alleged in this paragraph.

MICROFILMED
OCT 11 1994

MICHOI FIELD

8. FIRE FIGHTING PURPOSES (DRP-I at page 11)

The objectors admit that subparagraph a. and subparagraph b. are generally accurate statements of present law, but deny the averments are in any way relevant to defining the objectors' right.

9. CONSUMPTIVE USE (DRP-I at page 12)

The objectors lack sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of any matter averred and, therefore, deny the same.

GENERAL PROVISION 10 APPLIES TO ALL WATER RIGHTS IN BASIN 36 SHOWN WITH DOMESTIC USE AS A PURPOSE OF USE (DRP-I at page 12)

The objectors deny that "General Provision 10" applies to all water rights in Basin 36 shown with domestic use as a purpose of use as the statements are so broad and attenuated from any matter relevant to the objectors' water rights, that the objectors are unable to fairly understand what would be explicitly admitted or denied and, therefore, deny the same.

10. DOMESTIC USE (DRP-I at page 12)

The objectors deny that the definition of "domestic use" contained in paragraphs a., b., and c. is consistent or in conformity with the definition of such term for purposes of the Idaho Constitution, or statutory definition contained in I.C. Section 42-111 as now, or as heretofore in effect at relevant times. The objectors further deny that the limitations set forth therein exist, are in force, or apply or serve in any way to limit objectors' rights.

GENERAL PROVISION 11 APPLIES TO ALL WATER RIGHTS IN BASIN 36 SHOWN WITH STOCK WATER USE AS A PURPOSE OF USE. (DRP-I at page 13)

The objectors deny that "General Provision 11" applies to all water rights in Basin 36 shown with stock water use as a purpose of use as the statements are so broad and attenuated from any matter relevant to the objectors' water right, that the objectors are unable to fairly understand what would be admitted or denied and, therefore, deny the same.

11. STOCK WATER USE (DRP-I at page 13)

The objectors deny the averments herein as beyond the scope and applicability of the definition as provided by Idaho law as now, or as heretofore in effect at relevant times. The objectors further deny that the limitations set forth therein limit the objectors' right or are relevant to the right.

GENERAL PROVISIONS 12 - 16 APPLY TO ALL WATER RIGHTS IN BASIN 36 SHOWN WITH IRRIGATION AS A PURPOSE OF USE (DRP-I at page 13)

The objectors deny that "General Provisions 12 - 16" apply to all water rights in Basin 36 shown with irrigation as a purpose of use as the statements are so broad and attenuated from any matter relevant to the objectors' water right that the objectors are unable to fairly understand what would be admitted or denied.

12. IRRIGATION USE. (DRP-I at pages 13 - 14)

The objectors deny all averments based upon the objectors' lack of sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the averments and because

prevent the objectors from fairly knowing what would be admitted or denied; because the standard utilized ("as determined by the director") is ambiguous, subjective and indefinite so as to prevent objectors from knowing what would be admitted or denied; and because it is both expressly and implicitly in excess of the power and authority conferred upon the State of Idaho, the Director or the Department by the Constitutions of the United States and State of Idaho, pertinent provisions of the Idaho Code or valid applicable regulations, if any, adopted by the Department in conformity with law. Therefore, the objectors deny the same.

13. DIVERSION VOLUME FOR IRRIGATION. (DRP-I at page 14)

The objectors admit that Figure 1 generally depicts certain lands in Basin 36; however, the objectors deny all other averments including that the amounts set forth are the maximum annual volume of water reasonably required at the field headgate for irrigation, either within Basin 36 in general, or upon objectors' real property in particular. The objectors additionally deny that the maximum annual volumes per acre set forth is that which is "reasonably required for irrigation unless a higher annual diversion volume is reasonably required due to conveyance losses, method of irrigation or field conditions;" because the standard utilized ("as determined by the director") is ambiguous, subjective and indefinite so as to prevent objectors from knowing what would be admitted or denied; and because it is both expressly and implicitly in excess of the power and authority conferred upon the State of Idaho, the Director or the Department

by the Constitutions of the United States and State of Idaho, pertinent provisions of the Idaho Code or valid applicable regulations, if any, adopted by the Department in conformity with law. Therefore, the objectors deny the same.

14. CONSUMPTIVE USE FOR IRRIGATION. (DRP-I at page 14 and 15)

The objectors admit that the recommended water rights contained within the Director's Report show only the irrigation use listed unless otherwise indicated in "Remarks." The objectors deny all other averments contained within this section, including the amount of maximum annual volume of consumptive use per acre in the northern and southern portions of the basin, all of which is beyond the scope of any power or authority vested in the Director or the Department, and contrary to fact; historical use; established, vested, decreed, licensed or permitted rights.

15. AMOUNT OF COMBINED WATER RIGHTS FOR IRRIGATION. (DRP-I at page 15)

The specific averments have no relationship to or basis in fact relating to the individual needs or rights of the objectors, and accordingly, objectors deny this section in its entirety. In addition, no statutory or regulatory authority exists conferring upon the Director, or the Department, the power to limit use and ownership of objectors' private property rights as alleged.

MICROFILMED
OCT 11 1994

16. DIVERSION RATES IN EXCESS OF .02 CFS PER ACRE. (DRP-I at page 15 - 16)

The objectors deny the averments contained in this section in its entirety.

17. INSTRUCTIONS FOR USE OF LIST OF RECOMMENDED WATER RIGHTS. (DRP-I at page A-i, A-ii and A-iii)

The objectors admit that each water right in the list of recommended water rights includes a description including right number, name and address, source, maximum quantity, consumptive use, priority date, point of diversion, purpose of use, period of use, place of use, basis of right and others. The objectors lack sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of any matter averred in said descriptions other than that the descriptions allegedly include the Director's or Department's version of the facts as they relate to the matters identified. Objectors deny the accuracy of the substantive allegations or information set forth with regard to the objectors' right.

18. IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES RECOMMENDED WATER RIGHTS ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW. (DRP-I, pages A-1 - B-25)

The objectors lack sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of any matter averred in said recommended water rights other than those specific admissions contained in Questions 1 - 12 of the attached individual objection, and therefore deny the same.

DIRECTOR'S REPORT FOR REPORTING AREA 3 (BASIN 36)

(DIRECTOR'S REPORT PART II)

I.

Objectors do not understand the allegations, averments or contents of Part II of the Director's Report for Reporting Area 3 (Basin 36) to pertain or relate to objectors or their claims, and do not believe any response is required. To the extent a response is required, objectors deny the same in its entirety.

DIRECTOR'S REPORT FOR REPORTING AREA 3 (BASIN 36)

(DIRECTOR'S REPORT PART III)

I.

No report denominated as Part III of the Director's Report for Reporting Area 3 (Basin 36) has been filed, and accordingly objectors are unable to admit, deny or otherwise response to the same. Objectors do not understand that the contemplated subject matter of such Part III will relate or pertain to objectors or their claims, and no response is required in any case. To the extent any response is required, objectors deny the same in its entirety.

VIII.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

Pursuant to I.R.C.P. 8(c), objectors herewith state the following matters of affirmative defense or avoidance:

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 1

The objectors' water right is an incorporeal form of real property (as defined by Idaho Code Sections 7-703, 73-114, 55-101,

and like statutes) protected by Section 14, Article 1, of the Idaho Constitution, and the Fifth Amendment to the United States Constitution, and allege that the actions of the Director in recommending, limiting and/or regulating said rights is an unconstitutional infringement upon and a taking of private property, without just compensation and violation thereof.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 2

The adjudication authorization legislation, I.C. Section 42-1401, et seq., on its face and as applied by the Director and the Department constitutes an improper delegation of constitutional power and authority conferred upon the executive, legislative and/or judicial branches of government and as such violate the provisions governing separation of powers set forth in Article 2, Section 1, Idaho Constitution.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 3

The procedure set forth in the adjudication authorization legislation, statutes, I.C. Section 42-1401, et seq., and any rules, regulations, procedures, policies or standards promulgated by the Director and/or the Department, if any, are vague and so lacking in reasonable specificity so as to render them impossible of reasonable comprehension, or consistent and meaningful application.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 4

The procedures, rules, regulations, policies or standards, if any, adopted, implemented and applied by the Department and/or the Director violate the equal protection and due

process clauses of the Fourteenth Amendment, United States Constitution, and Article 1, Sections 2 and 13, Idaho Constitution.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 5

The adjudication authorization legislation, Idaho Code Section 42-1401, et seq., and the actions of the Director and Department in recommending, limiting and/or regulating the objectors' water right constitutes a violation of 42 U.S.C. Section 1983.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 6

The water right owned by the objectors is the subject of valid existing decrees issued by the Director and Department, which decrees are binding upon the State of Idaho, the Department and the Director. As a result, the State of Idaho, the Department and the Director are precluded by the doctrines of res judicata, collateral estoppel, judicial estoppel and the like from relitigating or questioning the validity, existence or priority of such right, and/or from taking any position in the Director's Report, or otherwise, which is inconsistent with such decrees.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 7

The Director's recommendations are contrary to decreed rights, historical use and extent licenses and permits issued by the Department. There has been no forfeiture nor abandonment of the objectors' water rights, nor have the objectors' water rights been prescriptively or adversely possessed, nor have such decrees been vacated or such licenses and permits revoked.

MICROFILMED
OCT 11 1994

7-11-1961

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 8

The Directors' Report fails to state a claim to the extent the same asserts a forfeiture, abandonment, prescriptive or adverse acquisition or loss, or loss resulting from estoppel, of the objectors' water right in the absence of any fact upon which forfeiture, abandonment, prescriptive or adverse acquisition for loss, or loss resulting from estoppel, may be based or asserted.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 9

The objectors' water right is an incorporeal form of real property, as defined by Idaho Code Sections 7-703, 73-114, 55-101, and like statutes, and, as such, pursuant to Idaho Code Section 7-904, et. seq., the actions of the Director in attempting to limit, reduce and/or regulate such rights, and the assertion of some inconsistent proprietary ownership or right to use or control by the State of Idaho, constitutes an unauthorized and invalid taking of private property without the payment of just compensation, or compliance with statutory requirements, all in violation of Title 7, Chapter 7, Idaho Code.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 10

The actions of the Director, the Department and their agents, servants and employees in recommending, limiting, reducing and/or regulating objector's water rights, either as alleged in the Director's Report or as in fact applied, violates objectors' rights as secured by Art. 1, Section 1; Art. 15, Sections 3, 4 and/or 5; and/or as otherwise provided in the Constitution of the State of Idaho.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 11

The various allegations, statements and assertions contained in the Director's Report concerning the Department and/or the Director's position or intentions with regard to the adjudication, as well as the implementation of statutes and/or regulations, as well as the administration of water or management of water rights or claims to the beneficial uses of water, if implemented, constitute a violation of various provisions the United States and Idaho constitutions, and/or a violation of the letter, spirit and/or intent of various statutes enacted by the legislature, and/or constitute an exercise of purported authority or powers either in excess of those conferred by law, or in a manner inconsistent with those conferred by law, or both.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 12

The individual recommendations relating to the specific water right of the objectors as set forth in the Director's Report are (1) not based upon substantial evidence and/or are not, in fact, the product of any reasonable, complete or accurate investigation as required by law; (2) are the product of arbitrary and capricious action on the part of the Department or the Director; (3) have been made in excess of the constitutional and statutory authority of the Department and the Director; (4) have been made upon an unlawful and invalid procedure; (5) are affected by various and sundry errors of law; and/or (6) are clearly erroneous in view of the reliable, probative and substantial evidence.

MICROFILMED

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 13

The Director's Report is a pleading subject to the provisions of the Idaho Rules of Civil Procedure, including, but not limited to the requirement of I.R.C.P. 8(a)(1) that such pleadings contain a clear and plain statement of the facts, demonstrating an entitlement to relief. The Director's Report violates such provision.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 14

The Director's Report fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 15

The Director's Report is subject to, and must comply with, the requirements of Idaho Code Section 42-1411. The Director's Report does not comply with the requirements of the statute.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 16

In conducting the investigation and compiling the Director's Report, the Department and the Director have not complied with the requirements of Idaho Code Section 42-1410.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 17

In conducting the investigation and compiling the Director's Report, the Department and the Director have not complied with the requirements of Idaho Code Section 42-1416.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 18

The procedures specified in the authorizing legislation and procedural rules promulgated in accordance therewith serve to

deny objectors due process of law in violation of Amendment Fourteen to the Constitution of the United States and Art. 1, Section 13, Idaho Constitution.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 19

The "General Provisions" and "Other Statements" contained in the Director's Report, along with the Claims Investigation Manual, Adjudication Memoranda and other materials of a similar nature used or employed by the Department, the Director and/or their agents, servants and/or employees constitute rules and regulations which were required to be proposed, promulgated, adopted and approved in conformity with the Administrative Procedures Act and other applicable statutory provisions. The Department, Director and their agents, servants and employees have failed to comply with such requirements, and accordingly, the "General Provisions," "Other Statements", Claims Investigation Manual and the like are invalid, void and of no force or effect.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 20

Neither the "General Conditions," the Claims Adjudication Manual, Adjudication Memoranda or other promulgations, adoptions, policies, or the like have been submitted to or approved by the Legislature as required by Idaho Code Section 67-5217.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 21

The relief requested by the Department and the Director in the Director's Report are beyond and in excess of the subject matter jurisdiction of the Court.

MICROFILMED
OCT 11 1994

NOTED

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 22

The actions of the Legislature, or the Department and the Director to enact, adopt, implement or enforce statutes, regulations, rules or policies subsequent to November 19, 1987, and to apply or enforce the same for the purpose of, or with the effect of recommending, diminishing or altering objectors' water rights, constitute a violation of Amendment Fourteen, United States Constitution; Art. 1, Section 13, Idaho Constitution; the SRBA authorization legislation; and Idaho Code Sections 73-101 and 73-106.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 23

These proceedings are subject to the requirements and provisions of Idaho Code Sections 12-117, 12-121, 12-123 and I.R.C.P. 11, and the provisions of the private attorney general doctrine, and other related doctrines, and the Department, Director and State of Idaho are obligated to objectors for the payment and reimbursement of any and all reasonable costs or attorney fees incurred herein.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 24

The Director's Report asserts what amounts to be a taking of private property by power of eminent domain as governed by Idaho Code Section 7-701 et seq., and as such, venue is therefore improper.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 25

The Department and the Director are equitably estopped from seeking any reduction in the quantity, quality or priority of

objectors' water rights by virtue of prior statements, representations and actions of the Department, Director or their agents, servants and employees.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 26

The Department and the Director are barred by laches and the applicable statutes of limitation, including, but not limited to, Idaho Code Sections 5-202, 5-203, 5-204, 5-206, 5-207, 5-208, 5-215, 5-218, 5-219(2), 5-224 and/or 5-241.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 27

Objectors hereby reserve the right to assert any further affirmative defenses not expressly stated herein, but which subsequently become apparent.

WHEREFORE, the objectors pray for judgment as follows:

1. That the State of Idaho take nothing by reason of its Directors' Report, and that this action, and any claims asserted against objectors, be dismissed.

2. That a decree be entered adjudicating the existence, validity, amount and priority of objectors' water rights in accordance with objectors' claims.

3. That to the extent the acts of the Department or Director constitute a taking of objectors' property for public use, that objectors be allowed and awarded just compensation therefor.

4. That the objectors be allowed all costs of suit and reasonable attorney fees incurred herein.

5. For such further relief to which the Court finds objectors justly entitled.


MICROFILMED
OCT 11 1994

(WATER\21530ANS)

NOTICE OF ERROR

RESPONSE

CORRECTED R PROOF



MICROFILMED

JAN 21 1993

Roger Shaffer
Box 123
Hagerman, Id. 83332



Idaho Department of Water Resources
222 Shoshone St. East
Twin Falls, Id. 83301

RECEIVED

JAN 21 1993

Department of Water Resources
Southern Region Office

AUG-24-1992

NOTICE OF ERROR FORM

RECEIVED

SEP 24 1992

Department of Water Resources

Please type or print clearly, fill out completely, and return to:

Idaho Department of Water Resources

Statehouse Mail

Boise, Idaho 83720-9000

The notice of error must be received at IDWR by the **deadline date** printed below with your proposed recommendation.

YOUR DEADLINE FOR FILING A NOTICE OF ERROR IS: SEP-24-1992

1. Water right number: 36-00034B

2. Name: ROGER SHAFFER

Address: Box 123
HAGERMAN, IDAHO 83332

Weekday Telephone Number: 837-4777

RECEIVED

SEP 24 1992

MICROFILMED

TEAR AT PERFORATIONS AND COMPLETE FORM ON OTHER SIDE

(Instead of filling in items 3 and 4 below you may include a copy of your proposed recommendation with the changes marked on the copy.)

3. Describe the portion of proposed recommendation with which you disagree:

① PERIOD OF USE 4/01 - 10/31
② TOTAL QUANTITY .02 CFS PER ACRE

4. Describe the changes you wish to have made in the proposed recommendation:

① PERIOD OF USE 3/01 - 10/31
② TOTAL QUANTITY .08 CFS PER ACRE 4-SHARES

5. Describe why you disagree with the proposed recommendation:

① PERIOD OF USE - SHOULD BE MOVED BACK TO MARCH. HAGERMAN VALLEY IS VERY DRY BY MARCH 1ST.

② TOTAL QUANTITY - I FEEL "SHOULD BE AROUND ^{.08} 4 SHARES PER ACRE. MOST OF HAGERMAN VALLEY IS SAND, GRAVEL, ROCKS, AND VOLCANIC SAND. ONE SHARE GOES NOWHERE. IN MY CASE I COULD WATER MY TWO SETS AT 4:00 HRS. EACH AND

6. Date: SEPTEMBER 22, 1992

NOT FEEL GUILTY. EVERYBODY COULD BENEFIT FROM THIS AND STILL SURVIVE. I HAVEN'T GOT THE TIME TO RUN AFTER ONE SPRINKLER EVERY 4 HOURS 7 DAYS A WEEK! NO ONE DOES.

SIGNATURE

Roger Sheffer

THANKS FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION.



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Southern Region, 222 Shoshone St. East, Twin Falls, Idaho 83301 (208) 736-3033

CECIL D. ANDRUS
Governor

OCTOBER 15, 1992

R. KEITH HIGGINSON
Director

- ROGER SHAFFER
- PO BOX 123
- HAGERMAN, ID 83332

RE: NOTICE OF ERROR FOR SEASON OF USE AND DIVERSION AMOUNTS
WATER RIGHT NO(S) : 36-00034 B

DEAR MR SHAFFER:

THIS LETTER IS IN RESPONSE TO THE NOTICE OF ERROR (NOE) YOU RECENTLY FILED WITH IDWR REGARDING THE DIRECTOR'S PROPOSED RECOMMENDATION OF THE CLAIM LISTED ABOVE. YOUR NOE QUESTIONS TWO POINTS; 1) YOUR NOE INDICATES THAT YOU WOULD LIKE THE RECOMMENDATION TO SHOW A LONGER SEASON OF USE FOR IRRIGATION. AND 2) THE BASIS FOR RECOMMENDATION OF AN AMOUNT THAT IS LESS THAN THE AMOUNT SHOWN IN A PREVIOUS DECREE.

- 1) THE DIRECTOR HAS APPROVED EXTENDING THE PERIOD OF USE FOR THE HAGERMAN VALLEY TO 3/15 TO 11/15.

THE PROPOSED RECOMMENDATION IS BASED ON IRRIGATION SEASON OF USE STANDARDS ADOPTED BY THE DIRECTOR FOR THE VARIOUS REGIONS THROUGH THE STATE. THESE STANDARDS ARE BASED ON THE PERIOD OF THE YEAR IN WHICH THERE IS LESS THAN A 75% CHANCE OF A 24 DEGREE F. FROST.

IN ADDITION, SINCE THERE ARE SOME YEARS IN WHICH WATER CAN BE BENEFICIALLY USED FOR IRRIGATION OUTSIDE THE IRRIGATION SEASON, THE PROPOSED DIRECTOR'S REPORT INCLUDES A GENERAL PROVISION WHICH PROVIDES FOR IRRIGATION OUTSIDE THE IRRIGATION SEASON UNDER CERTAIN CONDITIONS. THE CONDITIONS ARE THAT THE WATER IS BENEFICIALLY USED, AS DETERMINED BY THE DIRECTOR; THAT ALL WATER RIGHTS WITH A PERIOD OF USE OUTSIDE THE IRRIGATION SEASON REGARDLESS OF PRIORITY, ARE SATISFIED; AND THAT THE USE DOES NOT CONFLICT WITH THE PUBLIC INTEREST AS DETERMINED BY THE DIRECTOR. THIS PROPOSED GENERAL PROVISION WILL BE INCLUDED IN THE DIRECTOR'S REPORT.

- 2) UNDER IDAHO LAW, A WATER RIGHT IS LIMITED TO THE AMOUNT OF WATER BENEFICIALLY USED FOR THE PURPOSES FOR WHICH THE WATER RIGHT WAS ESTABLISHED. THE AMOUNT OF WATER BENEFICIALLY USED IS LIMITED TO THE AMOUNT THAT IS ACTUALLY USED AND IS REASONABLY REQUIRED. FOR IRRIGATION PURPOSES, A REASONABLE AMOUNT IS THE

MICROFILMED

JAN 21 1993

PAGE 2

AMOUNT REQUIRED USING IRRIGATION METHODS THAT ARE REASONABLY EFFICIENT UNDER CURRENT CIRCUMSTANCES. IF THE AMOUNT OF A WATER RIGHT SHOWN IN A DECREE IS GREATER THAN THE AMOUNT THAT IS BENEFICIALLY USED, THEN THE PORTION THAT IS NOT BENEFICIALLY USED IS FORFEITED.

THE AMOUNT PROPOSED TO BE RECOMMENDED FOR YOUR CLAIM IS BASED ON THE AMOUNT OF WATER DETERMINED TO BE BENEFICIALLY USED FOR THE RECOMMENDED USES, BASED ON AVAILABLE DATA AND CURRENT METHODS OF ANALYSIS.

IF YOU STILL DISAGREE WITH IDWR'S RECOMMENDATIONS YOU WILL HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO FILE AN OBJECTION TO THE DIRECTOR'S REPORT WITH THE COURT. WHEN THE DIRECTOR'S REPORT IS FILED WITH THE COURT, YOU WILL BE MAILED A NOTICE THAT WILL CONTAIN MORE INFORMATION ABOUT THE OBJECTION PROCESS.

SINCERELY,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Robert V. Worstell".

SENIOR WATER AGENT

MICROFILMED
JAN 21 1993

Water Right Number - R36-00034B Prefix Translation: RESEARCH Old (D) Number -

+ - - - - Last Name - - - - + + - First Name - - + + - - - - - Address - - - - - +

*** C - CURRENT OWNER ***
SHAFFER ROGER 1 - PO BOX 123
2 -
3 -
City- HAGERMAN State- ID Zip- 83332
Phone - 208-837-4724

+ - - Priority Date - - + + - - - Region - - - + + - - - Stage - - - + + - - - Status - - - +
03/30/1884 ERROR IN REGION D - DECREED

+ - - - - Source - - - - - + + - - - - Tributary - - - - - +
BILLINGSLEY CREEK SNAKE RIVER

+ - - - - Point of Diversion - - - - - +
Twn Rng Sec Tract Lot RFlg County
07S 13E 11 NW SE NE (47) GOODING

+ - - - - Water Use - - - - - +
Code Description Period From Period To Diversion Rate Diversion Volume
01 - IRRIGATION 03/15 11/15 0.020

+ - Total Div. Rate - + + - Total Div. Volume - + + - Total Consumptive Use - + + - KW Usage - +
0.020 3.0

+ - - - - Place of Use - - - - - +
Twn Rng Sec Tract Lot Acres Code Description County
07S 13E 27 NW NE 1.0 01 IRRIGATION (47) GOODING

Total Acres: 1.0

+ - - Diversion Means - VIA BELL DITCH, 3 HP PUMP, SPRINKLER

+ - - Non-Irrigation Use -

+ - - Other Rights for Same Use - NONE

+ - - - - Remarks - - - - - +
WATER IS DELIVERED THROUGH BELL DITCH.

+ - - - - Old Water Right Numbers - - - - - +
3600034

+ - - - - Conditions of Approval - - - - - +
No Conditions of Approval found.

MICROFILMED

JAN 21 1993

Prefix Translation: RESEARCH

+ - - - - Decree Plaintiff - - - +

+ - - - - Decree Defendant - - - +

+ - Decree Date - +
00/00/0000

+-----Comments-----+

Date: 09/25/1992 User Id: SCHULTZ Subject: NOTICE OF ERROR (NOE)
NOE RECEIVED 9/24/92. CHANGED NOE FLAG FROM BLANK TO A. CLAIM VERIFIED 8/6/92. DID NOT REVERIFY ANY PART OF CLAIM.
Date: 10/15/1992 User Id: WORSTELL Subject: NOTICE OF ERROR RECORD
PERIOD OF USE CHANGED TO 3/15 TO 11/15

+ - - Audit Fields - - +

10/15/92 WORSTELL

+ - - - - - Adjudication Rights Dates and Flags Area - - - - - +

Court Report Filing	- 00/00/0000	Field Inspection Made	- 09/13/1990	Civil Case Number	- 39576
Objection Filed Date	- 00/00/0000	Field Inspection Flag	-	Old Case Number	-
Response Filed Date	- 00/00/0000	Verified Date	- 10/15/1992	Old Judicial District	-
Claim Received Date	- 08/05/1988	Last Amended Date	- 00/00/0000	Temporary Right	- P
		Receipt Number	- S001303	Water District	- 36A
		POU Ownership Flag	- Y	Federal/State Indicator	- S
		Name Connector	-	Presumption Flag	-
				Notice of Error Flag	- C


MICROFILMED

JAN 21 1993

R PROOF



CVR




MAPS



PHOTOS



MISC



MICROF

JAN 2 1993

✓ by Sec

Water Right Number - R36-000348 Prefix Translation: RESEARCH Old (D) Number -

+ - - - - Last Name - - - - + + - First Name - - + + - - - - - Address - - - - - +

*** C - CURRENT OWNER ***
SHAFFER ROGER 1 - PO BOX 123
2 -
3 -
City- HAGERMAN State- ID Zip- 83332
Phone - 208-837-4724

+ - - Priority Date - - + + - - Region - - - + + - - Stage - - - + + - - Status - - - - +
03/30/1884 ERROR IN REGION D - DECREED

+ - - - - Source - - - - - + + - - - - Tributary - - - - - +
BILLINGSLEY CREEK SNAKE RIVER

+ - - - - Point of Diversion - - - - - +
Twn Rng Sec Tract Lot RFlg County
07S 13E 11 NW SE NE (47) GOODING

+ - - - - Water Use - - - - - +
Code Description Period From Period To Diversion Rate Diversion Volume
01 - IRRIGATION 04/01 10/31 0.020

+ - Total Div. Rate - + + - Total Div. Volume - + + - Total Consumptive Use - + + - KW Usage - +
0.020 3.0

+ - - - - Place of Use - - - - - +
Twn Rng Sec Tract Lot Acres Code Description County
07S 13E 27 NW NE 1.0 01 IRRIGATION (47) GOODING

Total Acres: 1.0

+ - - Diversion Means - VIA BELL DITCH, 3 HP PUMP, SPRINKLER

+ - - Non-Irrigation Use -

+ - - Other Rights for Same Use - NONE

+ - - - - Remarks - - - - - +
WATER IS DELIVERED THROUGH BELL DITCH.

+ - - - - Old Water Right Numbers - - - - - +
3600034

+ - - - - Conditions of Approval - - - - - +
No Conditions of Approval found.

MICROFILMED

JAN 21 1993

Court Report Filing	- 00/00/0000	Field Inspection Made	- 09/13/1990	Civil Case Number	- 39576
Objection Filed Date	- 00/00/0000	Field Inspection Flag	-	Old Case Number	-
Response Filed Date	- 00/00/0000	Verified Date	- 08/06/1992	Old Judicial District	-
Claim Received Date	- 08/05/1988	Last Amended Date	- 00/00/0000	Temporary Right	- P
		Receipt Number	- S001303	Water District	- 36A
		POU Ownership Flag	- Y	Federal/State Indicator	- S
		Name Connector	-	Presumption Flag	-
				Notice of Error Flag	-

JAN 21 1993

STATE OF IDAHO
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
ADJUDICATION CLAIM VERIFICATION REPORT

17:07:54
06/28/90

PAGE: 14
QUICKLOOK INFORMATION

CLAIM NUMBER: A36-00034B

WR ELEMENT	FIELD EXAM Y/N	CORRESPONDENCE SENT/RECEIVED BY WHOM/DATE	FIELD EXAM BY WHOM/DATE	CHANGE CLAIM Y/N	VERIFIED BY CODE	COMPLETED REVIEW WHOM/DATE	COMPLETED DATA ENTRY WHOM/DATE	VERIFIED DATE WHOM/DATE
PRIORITY DATE			SCY/HHT 9/13/90	N		SCY 2-5-92	OB	SCY 8-6-92
POINT OF DIV	Y			(Y)	1,5	HHT 8/4/92		
SOURCE				N				
PLACE OF USE	Y		8-6-92 SCY	N	154			
DIV. AMOUNT CFS/VOLUME	Y			N				
CONSUMPTIVE USE	-			N				
PURPOSES				N				
PERIOD OF USE	Y			N				
BASIS OF CLAIM	Y			N				
REMARKS				(N)	6.			

"VERIFIED BY" CODES

TOPO MAP/ORTHO-PQ = 1
ASCS MAP = 2
WATERMASTER RECORDS = 3
NAPP PHOTOS = 4
FIELD EXAM = 5
OTHER (SEE REMARKS) = 6

THESE CODES ARE USED TO INDICATE HOW THE FIELD EXAMINER VERIFIED THE INFORMATION
USED FOR THIS FIELD EXAMINATION. INDICATED ARE ALL CODES WHICH APPLY.

MICROFILMED

JAN 21 1993

STATE OF IDAHO
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
ADJUDICATION CLAIM VERIFICATION REPORT

17:07:54
06/28/90

PAGE: 1
GENERAL INFORMATION

CLAIM NUMBER: A36-00034B

LAST: SHAFFER
ADDRESS: P.O. BOX 123
CITY: HAGERMAN
PHONE NUMBER: 208-837-4724

FIRST: ROGER
STATE: ID ZIP: 83332

OWNER CODE: C

FIELD EXAM REQUIRED?

VERIFICATION REQUIRED DUE TO REMARKS?

NO REMARKS IN CLAIM

DATABASE
N

FIELD
EXAMINER

FIELD EXAMINATION INFORMATION

CONTACT PERSON: Harold - drive by

PHONE NUMBER: () -

DATE OF FIELD EXAM: 9/13/90

APPOINTMENT MADE: Y() N()

TIME: : A() P()

ACCOMPANIED BY: _____

PHONE NUMBER: () -

RELATIONSHIP TO CLAIMANT: _____

DIRECTIONS TO PROPERTY / REMARKS:

See Harold Smith Conversation memo

1 ac. irrigated verified.

MICROFILMED
JAN 21 1993

A. AJ CLAIM: # A36-00034B PRIORITY DATE: 03-30-1884 STAGE: D
B. WR RECORD: # 36-00034 PRIORITY DATE: 03-30-1884 STAGE: D

C. FIELD EXAM REQUIRED?

DUE TO PRIORITY DATE DISCREPANCY? (Y/N) DATABASE N FIELD EXAMINER N

ARE THERE OBVIOUS REASONS TO QUESTION THE PRIORITY DATE CLAIMED? Y() N(X)
IF YES EXPLAIN.

REMARKS:

=====

RECOMMENDED PRIORITY DATE:
03-30-1884

REVIEWED BY

DATE

See 10-9-90

MICROFILMED

JAN 21 1993

A. AJ CLAIM POINT OF DIVERSION AND SOURCE:

RIGHT	TWP.	RGE.	SEC.	1/4	1/4	1/4	LOT	CNTY
A36-00034B	07S	13E	11		SE	NE		GOODING

OTHER AJ CLAIMS WITH SAME AJ POD

A PREFIX	R PREFIX
A36-00025	NONE
A36-00087	
A36-07658	
A36-10409	

WR RECORDS IN SAME 40 ACRE TRACT

36-00003
36-00005
36-00019
36-00021
36-00024
36-00025
36-00026
36-00027
36-00035A
36-00035B
36-00039A
36-00039B
36-00040
36-00051
36-00052
36-00064
36-00065
36-00067
36-00071
36-00076
36-00077
36-00082
36-00083
36-00085
36-00087
36-00088
36-00089
36-00090
36-00091
36-00094
36-00095
36-00096
36-00103
36-00108
36-00112A
36-00112B
36-00113B
36-00113C
36-00113D
36-00125
36-04008
36-04115
36-04261
36-07271
36-07658
36-07739

MICROFILMED
JAN 21 1993

36-07834
36-07840
36-07853
36-07875
36-07957
36-08058
36-08319
36-08335

SOURCE: BILLINGSLEY CREEK

TRIB: SNAKE RIVER

B. WR POINT OF DIVERSION AND SOURCE:

RIGHT	TWP.	RGE.	SEC.	1/4	1/4	1/4	LOT	CNTY
36-00034	07S	13E	11		SE	NE		GOODING

OTHER WR RECORDS WITH SAME WR POD

AJ CLAIMS IN SAME 40 ACRE TRACT
A PREFIX R PREFIX

36-00003	A36-00025	NONE
36-00005	A36-00087	
36-00019	A36-07658	
36-00021	A36-10409	
36-00024	A36-00051D	
36-00025	A36-10119	
36-00026	A36-10120	
36-00027	A36-10751	
36-00035A	A36-10752	
36-00035B	A36-10755	
36-00039A	A36-10061	
36-00039B	A36-00103B	
36-00040	A36-00108A	
36-00051	A36-00003G	
36-00052	A36-00027	
36-00064	A36-00039C	
36-00065	A36-00090E	
36-00067	A36-00051B	
36-00071	A36-00076A	
36-00076	A36-00089B	
36-00077	A36-00089D	
36-00082	A36-10283	
36-00083	A36-10312	
36-00085	A36-00090A	
36-00087	A36-00003D	
36-00088	A36-00090B	
36-00089	A36-00090C	
36-00090	A36-00090D	
36-00091	A36-00090F	
36-00094	A36-00108F	
36-00095	A36-00125A	
36-00096	A36-00026	
36-00103	A36-00051C	
36-00108	A36-00071A	
36-00112A	A36-00089A	
36-00112B	A36-00108C	
36-00113B	A36-00108D	
36-00113C	A36-00108E	

MICROFILMED
JAN 21 1993

36-00113D
36-00125
36-04008
36-04115
36-04261
36-07271
36-07658
36-07739
36-07834
36-07840
36-07853
36-07875
36-07957
36-08058
36-08319
36-08335

A36-00108H
A36-04008
A36-04115
A36-04261
A36-00113B
A36-10316
A36-10588
A36-00039J
A36-00096A
A36-00113F
A36-00113G
A36-10559
A36-00048B
A36-00048C
A36-10223
A36-00103A
A36-00003B
A36-00003C
A36-00003E
A36-00003F
A36-00003J
A36-00039G

MICROFILMED

JAN 21 1993

SOURCE: BILLINGSLEY CREEK

TRIB: SNAKE RIVER

C. FIELD EXAM REQUIRED?

DUE TO POD DISCREPANCY? (Y/N)
DUE TO SOURCE DISCREPANCY? (Y/N)

DATABASE
Y
N

FIELD
EXAMINER

N
N

REMARKS:

RECOMMENDED POINT(S) OF DIVERSION AND SOURCE:

TWP.	RGE.	SEC.	1/4	1/4	1/4	LOT	COUNTY
<u>7S.</u>	<u>13E.</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>NW</u>	<u>SE</u>	<u>NE</u>	<u>---</u>	<u>47</u>

change chain

SOURCE: BILLINGSLEY CREEK

TRIB: SNAKE RIVER

REVIEWED BY: SEC

DATE: 10-9-90

VIA BELL DITCH

MICROFILMED

JAN 21 1993

A. ROOT WATER RIGHT NUMBERS: A36-00034A
A36-00034B
A36-00034C
A36-00034D

B. POU LISTING

TWP	RNG	SEC	1/4	1/4	LOT	NAME	AJ #/ACRES	WR #/ACRES	LANDSAT DATA	FIELD EXAM.	RECOMM. ACRES
07S	13E	27	NW	NE		SHAFFER , ROGER	A36-00034B / 1.0	- /	38.0	1.0	1.0
						STURTEVANT , JOYCE	A36-07252 /	36-07252 /			
						SMITH , HAROLD	A36-07437 /	36-07437 /			
						SMITH , HAROLD W.	A36-10207 /	- /			
						DRAGE , GORDON	A36-10392 /	- /			
						SCHEUERMANN , RUTH F.	A36-10472 /	- /			
						BEUTLER , KAY E.	A36-10616 /	- /			
						SMITH , HAROLD W.	A36-00034A /10.0	- /			
						DRAGE , GORDON	A36-00034C / 5.0	- /			
						SMITH , WILMA A.	A36-00033A /10.0	- /			
						CLIFFORD , EDNA N.		36-00033 /			
						CLIFFORD , EDNA N.		36-00034 /			
						BIG BEND IRRIGATION & MINING C		36-00133 /			
						BIG BEND IRRIGATION & MINING C		36-00192 /			
TOTAL ACRES							A36-00034B	1.00	38.00		1.0

ARE IRRIGATED ACRES FOUND IN TRACTS OTHER THAN INDICATED ABOVE, Y() N(~~X~~)
IF YES SEE ATTACHED SHEET:

C. FIELD EXAM REQUIRED?

DUE TO POU DISCREPANCY? (Y/N)

DATABASE
Y

FIELD
EXAMINER

~~Y~~

MICROFILMED

JAN 21 1993

PRESUMPTION FLAG:

REMARKS:

RECOMMENDATIONS:

TOTAL ACRES: 1.0

REVIEWED BY: SCC

DATE: 10-9-90

MICROFILMED

JAN 21 1993

MICROFILMED
JAN 21 1993

A. AJ CLAIMED USES:

PURPOSES
A36-00034B IRRIGATION

MONTH/DAY
FROM 03-15 TO 11-15

DIVERSION QUANTITY
.020 CFS

TOTAL DIVERSION RATE/VOLUME CLAIMED

.020 CFS

4-1 10-31

B. WR RECORDED USES:

PURPOSES
36-00034 IRRIGATION

MONTH/DAY
FROM TO

DIVERSION QUANTITY
1.500 CFS

TOTAL DIVERSION RATE/VOLUME CLAIMED

1.500 CFS

PRESUMPTION FLAG:

C. FIELD EXAM REQUIRED?

	DATABASE	FIELD EXAMINER
DUE TO WATER-USE DISCREPANCY? (Y/N)	N	<u>N</u>
DUE TO DIVERSION RATE DISCREPANCY? (Y/N)	Y	<u>N</u>
DUE TO PERIOD FROM/TO DISCREPANCY? (Y/N)	Y	<u>N</u>

D. PERIOD OF USE:

RECOMMEND AS CLAIMED?

Y(X) N()

RECOMMEND TO WR STANDARDS OR OTHER?

Y() N(X)

Explain in remarks, below.

REMARKS:

4-1 to 10-31

See
2-5-91

A. DOES DIVERSION RATE CLAIMED AGREE WITH EXISTING RECORDS?

Y() N(☒)

AJ TOTAL CLAIMED DIVERSION RATE: 0.020 CFS
WR ROOT RIGHT TOTAL DIVERSION RATE: 1.500 CFS

*** IF NO or IF STATUTORY CLAIM or BENEFICIAL USE then flow measurement required. ***
** If an amount smaller than the original diversion rate is **
** claimed and an exam is NOT required, explain in remarks. **

FLOW MEASUREMENT REQUIRED?

DUE TO STAGE OR DIVERSION RATE DISCREPANCY? (Y/N)

FIELD
DATABASE EXAMINER
Y N

B.

FLOW MEASUREMENT

MEASUREMENT METHOD _____, SEE ATTACHED CALCULATIONS.

C. ARE OTHER WATER RIGHTS USED? ~~Y(Y)~~ N(☒) IF YES COMPLETE OVERLAP REVIEW.

OTHER RIGHTS LISTED IN CLAIM: ~~SEE REMARKS~~ NONE

← change claim

LIST ALL RIGHTS WITH A SAME POU AND IRRIGATION USAGE:

CLAIM	SOURCE	NAME	DIV. RATE	DIV. RATE/ACRE	DIV. VOL.	DIV. VOL./ACRE
A36-00034B	BILLINGSLEY CREEK	SHAFFER , ROGER	0.020	0.020		
A36-00034A	BILLINGSLEY CREEK	SMITH , HAROLD W.	0.160	0.016		
A36-00034C	BILLINGSLEY CREEK	DRAGE , GORDON	0.200	0.040		
A36-00033A	WEATHERBY SPRINGS	SMITH , WILMA A.	0.320	0.032		

LIST ALL RIGHTS WITH SAME POU BUT NOT USED FOR IRRIGATION.

CLAIM NO.	PURPOSES	NAME	MONTH/DAY	RATE	VOLUME
A36-07252	STOCKWATER	STURTEVANT , JOYCE	FROM 01-01 TO 12-31	.002 CFS	.70 AF/A
A36-07252	DOMESTIC	STURTEVANT , JOYCE	FROM 01-01 TO 12-31	.030 CFS	.60 AF/A
A36-07437	DOMESTIC	SMITH , HAROLD	FROM 01-01 TO 12-31	.030 CFS	.50 AF/A
A36-10207	DOMESTIC	SMITH , HAROLD W.	FROM 01-01 TO 12-31	.040 CFS	
A36-10392	DOMESTIC	DRAGE , GORDON	FROM 01-01 TO 12-31	.040 CFS	
A36-10392	STOCKWATER	DRAGE , GORDON	FROM 01-01 TO 12-31	.040 CFS	
A36-10472	DOMESTIC	SCHEUERMANN , RUTH F.	FROM 01-01 TO 12-31	.040 CFS	
A36-10616	DOMESTIC	BEUTLER , KAY E.	FROM 01-01 TO 12-31	.040 CFS	

MICROFILMED
JAN 21 1993

D.

RATE/VOLUME CALCULATIONS: (IRRIGATION)

1a. IRRIGATION RATE

ACRES 1.0 x 0.02 CFS/ACRE = 0.02 CFS
(Taken from p/u review)

DOES AMOUNT CLAIMED EXCEED 0.02 CFS/ACRE? Y() N(X)

*** IF YES ADDITIONAL WATER USE FORM FILED AND ATTACHED? Y() N() ***

2. IRRIGATION CONSUMPTIVE USE

CLAIMED CONSUMPTIVE USE = 3.0 AF/A

ACRES 1 x CONSUMPTIVE VOL. AF/A FOR AREA 3 =

TOTAL CONSUMPTIVE USE 3 AF/A

3. IRRIGATION DIVERSION VOLUME

CLAIMED DIVERSION VOLUME = AF/A

ACRES 1 x DIVERSION VOL. AF/A FOR AREA 4 =

TOTAL DIVERSION VOLUME 4 AF/A

4. CALCULATIONS FOR USES OTHER THAN IRRIGATION:

SEE ATTACHED SHEET? Y() N()

REMARKS: (Include limitations on CONSUMPTIVE USE for combined rights.)

1b. CALCULATIONS

Sprinklers - allow 0.02

*No conveyance loss allowance
due to div. rate recommended
equal to claim.*

MICROFILMED
JAN 21 1993

RECOMMENDATIONS:

REC. PURPOSES	REC. PERIOD FROM TO	REC. DIV RATE CFS	REC. DIV VOL. AF/A	REC. CONS. USE
IRRIGATION	4 1 10 3 1 03-15 11-15	DATABASE EXAMINER 0.020	DATABASE EXAMINER 4.0	DATABASE EXAMINER 3.0
TOTAL FOR THIS RIGHT		0.020		3.0

REVIEWED BY: See DATE: 10-9-90

MICROFILMED

JAN 21 1993

F01

A. CHECK ONLY THE FOLLOWING THAT APPLY:

OWNERSHIP _____
FORFEIT/ABANDON _____
ADDITIONAL DIV. AMOUNT _____

ACCEPTED
AS CLAIMED

☒
☒
☒

NEEDS
REVIEW

()
()
()

B. SPLIT WATER RIGHT REVIEW

ROOT WATER RIGHT NUMBER: 36-00034

TOTAL DIVERSION RATE OF WR SPLITS

DATABASE
1.500

EXAMINER

CFS

SPLITS:

OLD RIGHT NUMBERS	CURRENT CLAIM NUMBERS	DIVERSION RATE	CURRENT "R" CLAIM NUM.	"R" DIV. RATE	WR RIGHT NUMBERS	DIVERSION RATE
A36-00034	A36-00034A	0.160	-		36-00034	1.500
A36-00034	A36-00034B	0.020	-		36-00034	1.500
A36-00034	A36-00034C	0.200	-		36-00034	1.500
A36-00034	A36-00034D	0.400	-		36-00034	1.500
TOTAL CFS		0.780				1.500

DIVERSION RATE REVIEW

DO THE SUM CFS RATE OF THE SPLITS EQUAL THE ROOT RIGHT CFS RATE? (Y/N)
IF NO, is the written review sheet attached? (Y/N)

DATABASE
N

FIELD
EXAMINER

☒

REMARKS:

attached
See ~~A36-00034~~ for Split Review

AUTHENTICATION:

SIGNATURE: _____

Steve Chell

DATE: _____


10-9-90

NAME OF FIELD EXAMINER: _____

TITLE: _____

MICROFILMED

JAN 21 1993



MICROFILMED
JAN 21 1993

A. ROOT WATER RIGHT NUMBERS:

A36-00033A
A36-00033B

A36-00033C

A36-00033D

A36-00033E

B. POU LISTING

TWP	RNG	SEC	1/4	1/4	LOT	NAME	AJ #/ACRES	WR #/ACRES	LANDSAT DATA	FIELD EXAM.	RECOMM. ACRES
07S	13E	27	NW	NE		SMITH, WILMA A.	A36-00033A / 10.0	-	38.0	10.	
						STURTEVANT, JOYCE	A36-07252	36-07252			
						SMITH, HAROLD	A36-07437	36-07437			
						SMITH, HAROLD W.	A36-10207	-			
						DRAGE, GORDON	A36-10392	-			
						SCHEUERMANN, RUTH F.	A36-10472	-			
						BEUTLER, KAY E.	A36-10616	-			
						SMITH, HAROLD W.	A36-00034A / 10.0	-			
						SHAFER, ROGER	A36-00034B / 1.0	-			
						DRAGE, GORDON	A36-00034C / 5.0	-			
						CLIFFORD, EDNA N.		36-00033			
						CLIFFORD, EDNA N.		36-00034			
						BIG BEND IRRIGATION & MINING C		36-00133			
						BIG BEND IRRIGATION & MINING C		36-00192			
TOTAL ACRES							A36-00033A	10.00	38.00	10	

ARE IRRIGATED ACRES FOUND IN TRACTS OTHER THAN INDICATED ABOVE, Y() N(X)


IF YES SEE ATTACHED SHEET:

C. FIELD EXAM REQUIRED?

DUE TO POU DISCREPANCY? (Y/N)

DATABASE
YFIELD
EXAMINER
Y

NOT TO SCALE

1ST = House Hoffman (Bentler)2ND = House - Rented owned by Smith3RD House = (see above) SHAFER

MARK AND CONDITION CODE WORKSHEET

SYSTEM CLAIM NUMBERS

R36 00034B

AGENT

INITIALS

HAT

DATE

8/5/92

CLAIM NO.
OR ENTRY
ORDER

REMARK
CODE

ENTRY DATA

CONDITION
CODE

STAGE
OR
STATUS

PRESUMPTION
CODE

F01

Bell Ditch

Add Water District 36A

✓ 8-6-92 SC

MICROFILMED

JAN 21 1993

PARENT RIGHT NO. 36-00034 TOTAL DIVERSION RATE 1.50 cfs
PREPARATION DATE 8-7-92 Sc

MICROFILMED
JAN 21 1988

CONVEYANCE LOSS - WORSTELL

REACH	LENGTH (FT)	AVE WIDTH (FT)	SEEPAGE (FT/DAY)	WORSTELL LOSS (CFS)	DELIVERY (CFS)	DELIVERY LEFT (CFS)	LOSS FACTOR	DELIVERY LOSS (CFS)	FLOW (CFS)
1	5100	7.0	2.00	0.90	0.17	7.13	0.1265	0.02	10.90
2	11700	7.0	2.00	2.07	2.24	6.96	0.2973	0.95	9.83
3	2500	7.0	2.00	0.44	0.19	4.72	0.0937	0.10	5.52
4	900	7.0	2.00	0.16	1.55	4.53	0.0351	0.86	4.88
5	1100	7.0	2.00	0.19	2.98	2.98	0.0653	1.84	3.17
6				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00
7				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00
8				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00
9				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00
10				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00
11				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00
12				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00
13				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00
14				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00
15				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00
16				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00
17				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00
18				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00
19				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00
20				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00
TOTALS	21300			3.77	7.13			3.77	

4 nbs @ BELL DITCH
0.03 each

A36-00034B

Analysis exceeds claimed
diversion rate; no allowance
for conveyance loss.
HAF

MICROFILMED

JAN 21 1993

REACH = SECTION OF CHANNEL
 LENGTH = LENGTH OF REACH, THE CHANNEL CAN BE DIVIDED INTO EQUAL LENGTH REACHES
 OR ACTUAL LENGTHS BETWEEN DELIVERY POINTS
 AVE WIDTH = AVERAGE TOP WIDTH OF REACH
 SEEPAGE = SOIL SEEPAGE RATE OF REACH
 WORSTELL LOSS = CONVEYANCE LOSS IN REACH BASED ON WORSTELL METHOD
 DELIVERY = TOTAL DELIVERIES IN REACH
 DELIVERY LEFT = SUM(DELIVERIES OF CURRENT AND REMAINING REACHES)
 LOSS FACTOR = WORSTELL LOSS/DELIVERY LEFT
 DELIVERY LOSS = SUM(LOSS FACTORS OF CURRENT AND PREVIOUS REACHES) X DELIVERY,
 REPRESENTS THE LOSSES ASSIGNED TO THE DELIVERIES IN THE REACH
 FLOW = TOTAL FLOW AT BEGINNING OF EACH REACH